Fewer can be more - Nuclear safety and security culture self-assessment in the Hungarian Public Ltd. for Radioactive Waste Management

K. HORVÁTH¹, M. SOLYMOSI² (presenter), GY. VASS³

¹Hungarian Atomic Energy Authority (HAEA) Budapest, Hungary, ²National University of Public Service Budapest, Hungary, ³National Directorate General for Disaster Management, Ministry of the Interior (NDGDM) Budapest, Hungary, ¹<u>horvathk@haea.gov.hu</u>, ²<u>mate.solymosi@somos.hu</u>, ³gyula.vass@katved.gov.hu

Although there is a **relationship between nuclear safety and security culture**, especially in the process and methods, these connections were researched detailed only in recent years. **The large amount of connections between safety and security culture inspired me to research a new methods and test them in practice.** The presented self-assessment was conducted **in August-September 2015 at the Public Limited Company of Radioactive Waste Management** (**PURAM**) and was entirely based on both domestic and international [1-5] guidance.

Although the entire self-assessment was initiated, laid out and conducted by myself, beside that "behind the scene" obviously there were a lot of cooperation and communication. To determine the optimal method together with the management, that is responsible for the nuclear security and safety divisions. We

The applied techniques and innovations:

Correlation analysis



Safety is a clearly recognized value

Leadership for safety is clear

Accountability for safety is clear

Safety is integrated into all activities

Safety is learning driven

S	Age	Position
	Weaker	Stronger

YSISA significant
shows wheth
strongly ans
pairs of vari
I made clust
the org.**No correlation**A significant
shows wheth
strongly ans
pairs of vari
I made clust
the connection
answers and
attributes. If
was signific
average of the
low or extrem
further analy
is required.No correlationImage clust
the connection
answers and
attributes. If
was signific
average of the
low or extrem
further analy
is required.No correlationStrong and w
the strength
the organisa
correlation attributes

A significant connection shows whether and how strongly answers and pairs of variables are related. I made clusters and examine the connections between answers and all of their attributes. If the connection was significant and the average of the answer was low or extremely high, than further analysis or assessment is required. To point to a strong and weak characteristic, the strength and weaknesses of the organisation. This kind of correlation analysis is capable to show the trends of these

Process of the self-assessment

STEP 1 Culture Coordinator (CC) Develops Action Plan





STEP 6

NUCLEAR SECURITY CULTURE

CHARACTERISTIC

BY NST-026

Professional conduct

CC Reviews Action Plan to Address Obstacles & Modifies Actions Based on Achievements to Date



STEP 5 CC Reviews Outcomes, Including Results from NSC Self-Assessment

STEP 2 CC reviews Action Plan with the Head of Facility/Activity



STEP 3 CC Incorporates Any Changes & Head of Facility/Activity



inside the organisation.

strength and weaknesses



STEP 4

The relations of the characteristics of different guidance

The presented method is appropriate to combine different guidance and Personal Accountability characteristics, to measure safety and security attitudes with a single survey. The first Hungarian combined self-assessment that was Adherence to originally applied by **three** different **INSAG-15**, **GS-G-3.5** and Procedures **NST 026** guidance. Between two characteristics the more Teamwork and visible the lines are, the Cooperation stronger the connections. **NUCLEAR SAFETY CULTURE** As it can be seen on the table, **NUCLEAR SAFETY CULTURE** CHARACTERISTIC Vigilance CHARACTERISTIC every action, opinion and attitude BY INSAG-15 **BY GS-G-3.5** Information has an effect on the characteristics by (2.18 HUNGARIAN GUIDE) security Commitment the different guides. About 3-5 indicator of every characteristic was compared from Safety is a clearly Use of procedures recognized value all the Guidance. The point of the chart is Conservative no other to demonstrate the huge number of Leadership for decision making <u>connections between safety and security culture</u> safety is clear A reporting culture characteristics. Although GS-G-3.5 created new A^ccountability characteristics, but every action and opinion are fit for safety is clear Challenging unsafe into both guidance, just have to be categorised different, acts and conditions Safety is integrated which explains the large number of connections.

Independent questions, which were related to both, nuclear safety and security culture indicators and was a combination of them. The given answer about the question determines the result of both, one or more safety and security culture characteristic.



Dependent questions. These can be related to only one, either a safety or a security culture characteristic. (Information security)

- 1. IAEA International Safety Advisory Group 15: Key practical issues to strengthen safety culture, Vienna (2002),
- IAEA: The Management System for Nuclear Installations, Safety guide, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GS-G-3.5, Vienna, (2009) <u>http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Pub1392_web.pdf</u>
 IAEA: NSS 07 Implementing Guide, Nuclear security culture, Vienna, 2008 <u>http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Pub1347_web.pdf</u>
- 4. IAEA: NST 026 Technical Guidance, Self-assessment of nuclear security culture in facilities and activities DRAFT, Vienna, (2014) http://www-ns.iaea.org/downloads/security/security-series-drafts/tech-guidance/nst026.pdf
- 5. IAEA: NST 027 Technical Guidance NOT AN OFFICIAL DRAFT, Enhancing nuclear security culture in organisation associated with nuclear and / or radioactive material, Vienna, November 2015 version