

Impact of X-point geometry and neutrals recycling on edge plasma turbulence



<u>P. Tamain</u>¹, H. Bufferand², G. Ciraolo², D.-M. Fan³, N. Fedorczak¹, D. Galassi², Ph. Ghendrih¹, E. Laribi¹, B. Luce^{1,2}, Y. Marandet³, F. Nespoli^{1,3}, F. Schwander², E. Serre²



¹ CEA, IRFM, 13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

² Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, M2P2, Marseille, France ³ Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, PIIM, Marseille, France



Bridging mean field and turbulence modelling

2 complementary but parallel paths in edge fluid modelling:
 mean-field ("transport") and turbulence codes

Code family	Mean-field	3D turbulence TOKAM3X , GBS, BOUT++, GRILLIX ✓ (if flux-driven)	
Example codes	SOLEDGE2D SOLPS, EDGE2D, EMC3		
Mean field	✓		
Turbulence		\checkmark	
3D	(EMC3)	\checkmark	
Realistic plasma geom.	\checkmark		
Realistic wall geom.	\checkmark		
Kinetic neutrals	\checkmark		K
Multi-species (impur.)	\checkmark		Ì
Drifts	(√)	\checkmark	

- Quiescent region systematically observed in X-point vicinity and along the separatrix [D. Galassi, Fluids 4 (2019)]
 - \succ λ_{SOL} reduced vs limited simulation
 - mild edge transport barrier even upstream
 - magnetic shear probably main drive although trong ExB shear also



Experiments: strong interaction between turbulent transport and divertor geometry / density regimes [T. Eich, EPS2019; A. Wynn, NF2018]

- Predictive capabilities possible only with self-consistent treatment of both facets of physics
- <u>This presentation:</u> overview of recent results with TOKAM3X code to bridge the gap = turbulence in X-point geometry and with neutrals recycling
 <u>Parallel effort:</u> new code checking all the above boxes => see poster 33

The TOKAM3X-EIRENE code package

- □ 3D fluid-drift equations (see attached slides)
 - arbitrary magnetic geometry (axisymmetric) made possible by domain decomposition method [P. Tamain, JCP (2016)]



Turbulence with self-consistent neutrals recycling

Compare core particle influx with self-consistent fuelling (GP + recycling) [P. Tamain, PSI2018]

$oldsymbol{ ho}_*$	$\boldsymbol{\nu}_*\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{col}}{\boldsymbol{\omega}_c}\right)$	GP (s ⁻¹)	P _{heat} (kW)	Wall mat.	R _{rec}
$3.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{20}$	105	Be	0.99



□ Change in particle source location leads to major reorganization of profiles and heat transport mechanism from convected to conducted



TOKAM3X coupled to EIRENE via same architecture as SOLEDGE2D-EIRENE 2D transport package [H. Bufferand, NF2015; D.M. Fan, CCP2018]



Turbulent transport in X-point geometry

- Key properties of edge turbulence and flows remain similar to limited plasmas [D. Galassi, NF2017]
 - Large intermittency and fluctuation level increasing with r, k_{//}~0, ballooning

Shaping (flux expansion) plays important role in poloidal distribution of

Response of turbulence very dependent on poloidal position

• Far from targets: drop of \tilde{N} , increase of \tilde{T} , intermittency and structure unchanged

 Close to targets: strong increase of intermittency and fluctuation rate, incl. q_{//} Skewness Ñ, LFS mid-pl.





 $^{0}(T_{e}^{-} < T_{e}^{-})/< T_{e}^{-}$

1.5

X-point turbulent simulation with neutrals?

- Complex steady ExB flux pattern around X-point [D. Galassi, NF2017]
 - Poloidal shear of radial ExB velocity at X-point as new mechanism for filament disconnection identified [F. Nespoli, submitted to NF]

- X-point geometry enhances source relocation effect
- Turbulence regime strongly impacted
 - Intermittency replaced by quasicoherent mode
 - Relevance of new regime?

 u_E^{θ}

LFS SOL

HFS

SOL

1111

PFR

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training aprogramme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

