Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

Effects of the non-perturbative mode structure on energetic particle transport



G. Meng^{1*}, Ph. Lauber¹, Z.X. Lu¹, X. Wang¹ Acknowledgement: T. Hayward-Schneider and F. Zonca ¹Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 85748 Garching, Germany

Motivation

• The mode distortion can be induced by EP non-perturbative effects [1].



- The non-perturbative EP effects can change the waveparticle interaction, the mode growth rate, the saturation level, in turn, the EP transport changes [2, 3].
- The non-perturbative mode structure can be represented by the "symmetry breaking" in parallel and radial directions [4]. While "symmetry breaking" has been intensively studied in micro-turbulence transport (w/o EPs) due to its effects on intrinsic toroidal rotation, its effects on EP transport are less well understood.

Test particle studies

Particle energy change after 20 poloidal circles with a fixed mode amplitude $\delta B/B = 10^{-3}$ (\approx HAGIS saturation value).



- As predicted, particle energy changes
- significantly at p=2 resonance and s around 0.4.
- Resonance lines are visible in A,B,C,D but can be distorted due to different mode structures.
- A and C are similar; B and D are similar (also see saturation level analyses following).
- B and D, featured with the significant radial propagation in mode structures, are distorted compared with A. 0.4 • For this case, the p=2.5 nonlinear resonance is 0.35 v_{\parallel}/v_A also observed. At the p=2.5, the resonance 0.25 structure is due to the coupling of the two 0.25 0.25 primary resonance p=2 and p=3. • Resonance islands are narrow at p=3. 0.15 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 p=2.5 p=3 p=2 $P_{\mu}/q\psi_{w}$ $P/q\psi_w$ $P_{c}/q\psi_{w}$

Mode structure with Symm. Breaking

EPs induce radial & up-down mode structure symmetry breaking. \rightarrow The radial mode structure $A(s) = \exp\{-\sigma(s - s_0)^2\}$ with complex parameters σ and s_0 are used [4]. s : radial coordinate



- RSAE mode for AUG #31213, f=133 kHz, n=2, m=4 (LIGKA results).
- Case A is fitted mode structure using LIGKA [6] results.
- Cases B, C, D mimic experiment and HMGC simulation results [7].
- Base case A, without symmetry breaking.

Linear resonance

• HAGIS result: linear resonances $(n\langle \omega_{\zeta} \rangle - p\langle \omega_{\theta} \rangle = \omega$, red lines,

Delta f studies

EP Initial distribution



Energy distribution



Pitch angle **isotropic** distribution.

with $E_0 = 93 \text{ keV}, E_c = 37.21 \text{ keV}, \Delta E = 149.9 \text{ keV}$

p=2, 3) in phase space for a 133 kHz n=2 mode in the circular equilibrium matched to AUG #31213. Color bar represents $[n\langle\omega_{\zeta}\rangle - \omega]/\langle\omega_{\theta}\rangle - p$. s is $\sqrt{\psi}$. v_{\parallel} is parallel velocity; v_A is Alfvén velocity. Particle initial $v_{\perp}=0$, $v_{\parallel}/v=1$, initial $\theta = 0$, $\zeta = 0$.

- v_{\parallel}/v_A =0.15 corresponds to E=16 keV
- v_{\parallel}/v_A =0.2 corresponds to E=28 keV.
- NBI birth energy: 93 keV.
- In this range, for co-passing particles p=2 resonance dominates.



Conclusions

- LIGKA-HAGIS [5,6] coupling scheme has been applied to the studies of EP-wave interaction and transport analyses using the analytical mode structure with symmetry breaking properties [4] according to experimental and simulation observations [7,8].
- Analyses based on AUG parameters show that nonperturbative mode structure can be important for EP transport modelling.
- Particle resonance pattern changes due to the mode structure symmetry breaking
 - Mode structure symmetry breaking leads to distortion of wave-particle resonance island structures; the mode radial propagation plays an important role. This provides new features in addition to the analyses using perturbative mode structures [9].

- $f(\psi) = 1/[1 + exp\left(\frac{\psi \psi_0}{\delta \psi}\right)]$, with $\psi_0 = 0.16, \delta \psi = 0.2$.
- EP density at magnetic axis $n(0) = 9.163E+17 [1/m^3]$



Linear growth rate γ_L is fitted during the first 1000 steps; averaged saturation level A_{sat} during 10000-15000 steps (t=3.77-5.65 ms)

- Base case A: $\gamma_L / \omega = 0.98\%$, A_{sat} is 4.2×10^{-3} .
- Compare B with A: due to radial propagation, the linear growth rate & saturation of B decrease by ~5% & 20% respectively.
- Case D: A_{sat} decreases, but γ_L is similar to A. Synergistic effect of $Im\{\sigma\} \& Im\{s_0\}$?
- Case C: γ and A_{sat} slightly change.

δf and particle redistribution



- Alfvén mode leads to the flattening of density and energy profiles ($\delta n, \delta E < 0$ for s < 0.5; $\delta n, \delta E > 0$ for s > 0.5)
- Perturbative mode structures (B,C,D) lead to changes in particle and energy transport with $\delta n, \delta E$ deviating by ~10%
- Parallel velocity profile changes significantly due to the non-perturbative mode structure symmetry breaking. In the inner region (s < c0.5), u_{\parallel} can change its direction (rotation) reversal)
- All figures are averaged over t=3.77-5.65 ms

- With mode symmetry breaking effects:
 - Mode linear growth rate can change by 10% and saturation level can change by 20%.
 - EP density and energy transport can change by 10%.
 - EP parallel velocity u_{\parallel} can change significantly, u_{\parallel} reversal in the inner region is observed when varying the mode structures.

except particle and heat flux averaged over t=0-3.77 ms.



Toroidal rotation reversal of thermal ions has been widely observed (w/o EP) [Rice et al, Nucl. Fusion, 51 (8), 083005 (2011)] EP effects on thermal ion rotation needs to be studied for burning plasmas



[1] Tobias PRL 2011 [2] Zonca, NF 2005 [3] Chen RMP 2016 [4] Lu NF 2018 [5] Pinches CPC 1998 [6] Lauber JCP 2007 [7] Briguglio POP 1995 [8] Z. Wang PRL 2013 [9] Meng NF 2018

*guo.meng@ipp.mpg.de IAEA Technical meeting, Shizuoka, Japan, 2019 Sep 3~6



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

