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Data Acquisition and Real-Time control in long lasting experiments

- In the past two different HW and SW solutions
  - Fast computation and low latency for real-time control
  - Bulk transfer and high data throughput for data acquisition

- Not anymore valid when streaming data in long lasting experiments
  - Current bus and disk technology allow managing high speed data movement from ADC to disk
  - Availability of different cores on computer allows co-existence of real-time tasks with other system activities

- Same Hardware prescribed in ITER for data acquisition and real-time control
  - The only difference in underlying bus (DAN, SDN)
Uniformity in Hardware means Uniformity in Software?

- Separate Frameworks have been traditionally used for real-time control and data acquisition

- MARTe and MDSplus have already been integrated in the past
  - MDSplus used to store data produced by MARTe
  - Configuration data and reference waveforms used in MARTe retrieved from MDSplus pulse files

- Data Plumbing implemented BUT the two systems were mainly independent
  - Two systems to be learnt, maintained and configured in day-per-day operation
MARTe2 is a completely re-written version of MARTe developed under strict quality standards

- MISRA compliance
- Full test units for largest code coverage

MARTe2 improves MARTe platform abstraction

- From bare-metal microcontrollers to full fledged Linux
- OS abstraction performed at several layers, with or without threads

MARTe2 introduces a new and more powerful system abstraction

- MARTe Generic Application Modules (GAMs) now enriched with two new components: **DataSources** and **Brokers**
MARTe2 Data Sources and Brokers

- In the former MARTe GAMs were associated to real-time threads and exchanged data in shared memory
  - I/O carried out by specialized GAMs
- In MARTe2 a GAM can only exchange data with DataSource components
  - Data Sources can implement memory buffers or I/O devices
- A step further: Broker objects manage data exchange between GAMs and Data Sources
  - Broker not exposed in the configuration, but chosen by the Data
Data Sources and Brokers
A way to complicate one’s life?

- The answer is definitely: **NO**
- Three logical components each mapping an activity in real-time control
  - GAM => The *Algorithm*
  - DataSource => The management of *Data*
  - Broker => The management of *Data Flow*
- Data flow can be (among others):
  - *Plain*, i.e. just copy in memory. In this case Data Source implements just the buffer and the broker the copy
  - *Synchronized*, where the broker triggers some action like ADC sampling, DAC output.
  - *Decoupled*, to handle non real-time storage of real-time data stream. The broker will handle buffering and the management of a separate thread
Carried out by two DataSource implementations: **MDSReader** and **MDSWriter**

- **MDSReader** will load reference waveforms in memory and will return appropriate sample whenever the corresponding broker (*MemoryMapSynchronizedInputBroker*) is executed
- **MDSWriter** receives data decoupled from real-time threads thanks to the associated Data Broker (*MemoryMapAsynchOutputBroker*)

Nevertheless the two systems are still mostly independent, and two different configurations must be provided

- MDSplus experiment model for Data Acquisition configuration
- MARTe2 configuration file for the definition of the real-time components (GAMs, Data Sources, Threads)
MDplus Devices

- Model the different object instances in the experiment database
- A **Device** is the container of set of related data (e.g. to describe a piece of HW)
  - A subtree in the data hierarchy associated with every device instance
- Devices are similar to classes and bring a data structure (a subtree) and a set of methods
- A constructor method will instantiate the corresponding data set when the experiment database is built
- A Setup Method will be invoked by the graphical browser to show the content of the corresponding instance
- Other methods will carry out device specific functions (INIT, STORE)
Adding a new device in MDSplus

- MDSplus devices are mapped against **python classes**
- Developing a new device means developing a new python class that inherits from **class Device**

- All the required interaction with MDSplus is carried out by the superclass. The new device has to:
  - Declare the structure of the underlying subtree by means of a **python dictionary**
  - Implement device specific methods. Associated data items are available as instance fields

- **It is therefore natural to import MARTe2 configuration as a set of devices**
Mapping MARTe2 components into MDSplus devices

- A straight mapping is not the best approach.
- It is possible to provide a high level view of the system, including all the required information, and then generating on the fly the corresponding MARTe2 configuration.
- The system can be described by the following devices:
  - MARTE2_GAM: describing a computation carried out in the system
  - MARTE2_IN: describing an input device
  - MARTE2_OUT: describing an output device
- Data flow will be specified by input/output node references in the associated device fields
  - Naturally expressed in MDSplus by means of *Expressions*
- All data handled by the system will be stored in the pulse file, providing a complete picture of the system behavior.
Use case: A simulation program

- Implemented by a (subclass of) MARTE2_GAM device

- It specifies the Parameters, the Inputs and the Outputs

- Input fields refer to stored input signals that are read from the pulse file by means of the generated Data Source instance

- The generated MARTe2 components include a MDSWriter instance to store results in the pulse file
Use case: Waveform generation

- Implemented by a (subclass of) MARTE2_OUT

- It specifies the Parameters and the Inputs.

- Input fields refer to stored input signals that are read from the pulse file by means of the generated Data Source instance.

- The generated MARTe2 components include a MDSReader instance to read data from the pulse file, and a specific DAC DataSource for waveform generation.
Use case: Control Loop

- Implemented by a set of MARTE2_IN, MARTE2_GAM and MARTE2_OUT instances
- Input fields of MARTE2_GAM instance will contain a reference to output fields of MARTE2_IN
- Input fields of MARTE2_OUT will refer to output fields of MARTE2_GAM
- The generated MARTe2 components include two MDSWriter instances to write data into the pulse file, one DAC DataSource for waveform generation, and one ADC DataSource for Data Acquisition.
Conclusions

- The proposed approach provides a full integration of MARTe2 and MDSplus.
- A subset of the possible MARTe2 configurations can be described in this way.
  - However, it covers the use cases of interest.
- A real-world MARTe2 configuration file is composed of many thousands of lines, and editing it manually is impossible.
- Users do not need a detailed MARTe2 knowledge for system configuration.
- Developers can easily wrap MARTe2 DataSources and GAMs into MDSplus devices by inheriting from the python superclasses MARTE2_GAM, MARTE2_IN, and MARTE2_OUT.
- The final target will be the generation of MARTe2 GAM and MDSplus MARTE2_GAM device directly from Simulink.