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Abstract

Nuclear materials and RDDs, which makes criticality field, emit neutrons whose energy range can vary from thermal to several MeV. In particular, the fast neutrons around over 1MeV have a strong damage for human body. Portable equipment and radiation protection for radiological emergency response team to achieve emergency tasks safely at the incident sites have been developed and evaluated in National Research Institute of Police Science (NRIPS, JAPAN). In this report, we introduce fast neutron shield with water and wireless network personal dosimeters under sampling and categorization. Described next in this report are evaluation tests of real-time neutron dosimeters using low-scatter room (neutron irradiation field) in Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. We evaluated them under fast neutron field and thermal neutron field.

1. Development of neutron shield and neutron dose monitoring system

Neutron shield with water

The thickness of neutron shield in developed prototype equipment is 10cm, which decrease to 1/3 fast neutrons and 1/2 gamma-rays (Co-60). The neutron shield is mounted on an electric cart with DC motor, which maximum speed is 3km/h. A long tong is set to the center of shield, with which first responders can collect samples safely.

10 wireless network personal dosimeters
- Real time monitor system for personal dose with wireless network in the field.
- Maximum range : 100m at open space
- 3 handheld devices : Radioisotope identification, neutron detection, high-dose rate and contamination check
- Air sampling : 502/min at 1m height

2. Past evaluation of personal dosimeters

SILENE 2002 [1]

Nuclear criticality field

This reactor allows the simulation of various criticality accidents, pulse mode, free evolution and steady state. 71% of personal dosimeters are within ± 25% of reference dose value.

3. Evaluation of neutron dosimeters

The dosimeters were attached on a 40 × 40 × 15cm² phantom and located at the distances of 30cm, 55cm and 100cm from the neutron sources (Am–Be, bare CF–252, and moderated CF–252), respectively. The dose rates were 0.866mSv/h, 3.41mSv/h and 3.68mSv/h, respectively. We decided irradiation time in which the accumulated doses were set to about 0.5mSv.

We compared the response for each dosimeters under direct irradiation and irradiation with neutron shield (10cm thickness polyethylene corresponded to water). A 10cm–thickness shield was set 10cm in front of the dosimeters. The neutron spectrum with neutron shield was thermalized to lower energy, which intermediate neutrons increased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector type</th>
<th>AlfaPDM13</th>
<th>AlfaADM353</th>
<th>TomoEPDN2</th>
<th>DCM2000QMN7</th>
<th>NRG13</th>
<th>FNRG13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Res. 10Sv</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res. 100Sv</td>
<td>110/16Sv</td>
<td>8/6/3/19</td>
<td>8/7/4/31</td>
<td>60/78/27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose率 [0]100Sv</td>
<td>1Sv/15Sv</td>
<td>1Sv/15Sv</td>
<td>1Sv/15Sv</td>
<td>300Sv/1000mSv</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>d &lt; 1</td>
<td>d &lt; 1</td>
<td>d &lt; 1</td>
<td>d &lt; 1</td>
<td>d &lt; 1</td>
<td>d &lt; 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results and Summary

Under thermal neutron field (moderated CF–252 source), responses of Alfa dosimeters were 2–4 times higher than those of other dosimeters. We can see same situation in the past results (at criticality field, a spent fuel cask, EVIDOS Project). However the significant difference of each dosimeter’s response was not confirmed under moderated CF–252 source with neutron shield. Under CF–252 irradiation which mean energy is 2.3mE, doses of Alfa and Thermo dosimeters are lower than those of Fuji dosimeters, whereas the opposite tendency for Am–Be irradiation which mean energy is 4.4mE. This is owe to the difference of calibration source (CF–252 or Am–Be) for each dosimeters at factory setting. The difference is consistent with the past result, which we lead to the correct dose using the correction factor for neutron energy.
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