

Role of stationary zonal flows and momentum transport for L-H transitions in JET

26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Kyoto, Japan – October 17-22, 2016

**Presented by** 

### J.C. Hillesheim







This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

#### Contributors



J.C. Hillesheim<sup>1</sup>, E. Delabie<sup>2</sup>, E. R. Solano<sup>3</sup>, C. F. Maggi<sup>1</sup>, H. Meyer<sup>1</sup>, F. Rimini<sup>1</sup>, I. Carvalho<sup>4</sup>, I. Nunes<sup>4</sup>, M. Barnes<sup>5,1</sup>, E. Lerche<sup>6</sup>, M. Stamp<sup>1</sup>, A. Drenik<sup>7</sup>, M. Mantsinen<sup>8,9</sup>, C. Challis<sup>1</sup>, J. Buchanan<sup>1</sup>, F.I. Parra<sup>5,1</sup>, L. Meneses<sup>4</sup>, E. Poli<sup>7</sup>, J. Hobirk<sup>7</sup>, and JET Contributors<sup>\*</sup>



#### EUROfusion Consortium JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

- <sup>1</sup> CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK
- <sup>2</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Laboratorio Nacional de Fusion, CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain
- <sup>4</sup> Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
- <sup>5</sup> University of Oxford, UK
- <sup>6</sup> LPP-ERM/KMS, Association EUROFUSION-Belgian State, TEC partner, Brussels, Belgium
- <sup>7</sup> Max-Planck-institut fur Plasmaphysik, Garching, Germany
- <sup>8</sup> Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>9</sup> ICREA, Barcelona, Spain

\*See the author list of ``Overview of the JET results in support to ITER" by X. Litaudon et al. to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: overview and summary reports from the 26<sup>th</sup> Fusion Energy Conference (Kyoto, Japan, 17-22 October 2016)



#### Power Threshold to Access H-mode Remains a Significant Uncertainty for ITER

- Work on L-H transition physics in JET-ILW:
  - Maggi NF 2014, Delabie EPS 2014, Delabie IAEA 2014, Meyer EPS 2014, Hillesheim PRL 2016
- Factors known to impact threshold not included in scaling law include
  - Rotation, divertor configuration, X-point height, connection length, low density branch, and others





J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 3

#### Outline



- L-H transition power threshold results at high magnetic field and plasma current in JET
- Scaling of zonal flow properties and comparison to width of edge radial electric field well
- Momentum transport during L-H transitions
  - Comparison to linear and non-linear gyrokinetic simulations
- Recent results in hydrogen/deuterium mixtures



#### Outline



- L-H transition power threshold results at high magnetic field and plasma current in JET
- Scaling of zonal flow properties and comparison to width of edge radial electric field well
- Momentum transport during L-H transitions
  - Comparison to linear and non-linear gyrokinetic simulations
- Recent results in hydrogen/deuterium mixtures



### Density, current, and magnetic field scans performed in L-H transition experiments



- Same divertor configuration used for baseline and hybrid scenarios
- Slow power ramp to identify L-H transitions
- Excellent density control in plasma current and magnetic field scans
- Scans of Bt (3-3.4 T) and Ip (2.2-3.2 MA) covering  $q_{95} \approx 2.7 4.0$  at low (~  $2.0 2.3 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$ ) and high density (~  $3.2 3.3 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$ )

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Dependence on plasma current characterized

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

6

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

scaling law prediction

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 7

2

4 Pscal (MW)

O

0

#### Outline

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

- L-H transition power threshold results at high magnetic field and plasma current in JET
- Scaling of zonal flow properties and comparison to width of edge radial electric field well
- Momentum transport during L-H transitions
  - Comparison to linear and non-linear gyrokinetic simulations
- Recent results in hydrogen/deuterium mixtures

![](_page_7_Picture_7.jpeg)

Doppler backscattering measures the radially-localized lab frame velocity and density fluctuation level

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

Starting during 2015 campaign, DBS measurements now routine in JET

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

- A refraction-localized scattering region is created near the cutoff
- Amplitude of backscattered signal related to fluctuation level of density fluctuations
- Doppler shift in backscattered signal induced by lab frame velocity of the turbulence

$$\omega_D \approx k_\perp v_{Lab}$$
$$v_{Lab} = v_{E \times B} + \widetilde{v}$$

- TORBEAM used to determine scattering position and wavenumber
  - $k_\perp \approx 3 5 \ cm^{-1}$

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Comparison between DBS and CXRS in L-mode

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- DBS profiles built up with 2 tunable channels over ~200 ms
- Spline fits performed to CXRS components from carbon impurity to calculate  $E_r$
- Comparison between CXRS and DBS implies  $0 < v_{ph} < v_{dia,e}$  in L-mode well region
  - Later linear gyrokinetic calculations consistent with modes propagating in electron direction
- All later DBS profiles assume  $v_{ph} = 0$

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 10

Fine-scale structure in  $E_r$  profile consistent with zonal flows observed at bottom of edge well

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Wavelength of zonal flow structures varies with density
- Zonal flows stationary in time
- Small experiments at larger  $\rho^*$  have observed variety of oscillatory ZF, but not stationary ZF
  - Conway PRL 2011, Estrada PRL 2011, Xu PRL 2011, Schmitz PRL 2012, Tynan NF 2013

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Measurements from Ohmic to L-mode to Hmode show differences with density

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Simultaneous collapse of density fluctuation levels, turbulence phase velocity, and zonal flows across transition in high density branch, but not low density branch
  - Hillesheim PRL 2016
- No clear 'smoking gun' relating stationary ZF to transition 'trigger'

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 12

### Data with periodic zonal flow structures used to characterize local parameter dependencies

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

- ZF wavelength correlates with radial correlation length of turbulence
  - $k_{ZF}l_r \approx 2.3$
- Radial correlation length much smaller than well width
  - $w_{E_r} \sim 5-8 \text{ cm}$
- When stationary ZF are observed,  $\frac{\ell_r}{w_{E_r}} \ll 1$

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Width of radial electric field well varies in plasma current scan

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Plasma current increased ~50% and edge temperature approximately doubles in Ip scan at 3 T, such that banana orbit width changes only marginally
  - T<sub>e</sub>=T<sub>i</sub> within uncertainties in similar conditions where CXRS available
- Independent variation of  $E_r$  well width and radial correlation length may play role as effective  $\rho^*$  development of the edge transport barrier
  - May explain why stationary zonal flows observed in JET, but not in smaller experiments

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Outline

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

- L-H transition power threshold results at high magnetic field and plasma current in JET
- Scaling of zonal flow properties and comparison to width of edge radial electric field well
- Momentum transport during L-H transitions
  - Comparison to linear and non-linear gyrokinetic simulations
- Recent results in hydrogen/deuterium mixtures

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### In region where $E_r$ dominated by toroidal rotation, flow builds into core at constant gradient value

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Dashed lines at same constant slope
- Critical gradient behavior expected for temperature gradients, but surprising for rotation

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 16

# Linear GS2 growth rate calculations performed in edge

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Propagation direction in electron diamagnetic direction at bottom of well, consistent with DBS vs CXRS comparisons
- Large growth rates across broad wavenumber range
  - Multi-scale effects could be important
- Growth rates insensitive to flow shear, no linear critical gradient

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

Non-linear gyrokinetic simulations used to investigate momentum transport close to plasma edge

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Momentum transport effects could explain apparent ۲ critical gradient behavior
  - Ratio of momentum to heat flux set by NBI input
  - $P_r = \chi_{\phi} / \chi_i \propto \frac{\partial T_i / \partial r}{\partial \Omega_{\phi} / \partial r} \frac{\Pi}{\Omega_i}$ Temperature held at critical gradient
  - Prandtl number constant ٠
    - If above conditions are met, rotation gradient also held constant
- Long wavelengths only, with hyperviscosity
  - $0.02 < k_{\theta} \rho_i < 0.94$
- Kinetic ions and electrons
  - Electrostatic, full GS2 collision operator
- For radius  $\sqrt{\psi} = 0.93$ , shot 86470, where rotation gradient builds up

![](_page_17_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Non-linear consistency relation can explain apparent critical gradient behavior

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$P_r\left(\frac{a}{L_{T_i}}, \gamma_E\right) \sim \frac{\partial T_i/\partial r}{\partial \Omega_{\phi}/\partial r} \frac{\Pi}{Q_i}$$

- **Ion heat transport stiff**, GS2 overpredicts experimental values of Qi,  $\Pi$ 
  - Multi-scale effects could be important
- Flux ratio set by **NBI** & well matched by simulation
- Prandtl number varies systematically over range ~0.5-0.8

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 19

#### Outline

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- L-H transition power threshold results at high magnetic field and plasma current in JET
- Scaling of zonal flow properties and comparison to width of edge radial electric field well
- Momentum transport during L-H transitions
  - Comparison to linear and non-linear gyrokinetic simulations
- Recent results in hydrogen/deuterium mixtures

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Mass scaling of L-H transition

- Empirically P<sub>LH</sub>~1/m<sub>i</sub>
  - Consistent with results from multiple experiments
    - Righi NF 1999, Gohil IAEA 2012, Ryter NF 2013
- Very little existing results in mixed species plasmas
  - Results in 50/50 D-T plasmas were consistent with ~1/m<sub>i</sub>
- Zonal flows have been suggested as being responsible for mass dependence through ion collisions

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Power threshold studied in hydrogendeuterium mixtures in JET

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Slow, ~8 s, power ramps used to identify transition
- Same shape used for extensive mixture and isotope data set
- $Z_{eff} \approx 1.0 1.2$
- Minimum threshold moves to higher density due to stronger dependence in low density branch

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Non-linear dependence of power threshold observed in mixed species plasmas

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Largest variations observed at high and low H/(H+D)
- Little variation in range  $0.2 < \frac{H}{(H+D)} < 0.8$
- Experiments at end of campaign with H-<sup>4</sup>He mixtures show drop of power threshold with helium seeding in hydrogen plasmas
  - Effect could be used during nonactive phase of ITER operation

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Summary

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Fine-scale structure in edge flows consistent with stationary zonal flows observed during L-H transitions in JET & can vary independently of well width
- Radial correlation length of turbulence much smaller than well width,  $\frac{\ell_r}{w_{E_r}} \ll 1$ , which may be important effective  $\rho^*$  for development of edge transport barrier
  - Planned diagnostic upgrades at JET will allow DBS measurements at lower magnetic field in future, enabling this to be tested
- Momentum transport limits development of inner shear layer of well
  - Non-linear gyrokinetic simulations show consistency relation between
    momentum and heat flux can explain apparent critical gradient
  - Implies in strongly driven regime that  $\Pi/Q_i$  (e.g. NBI voltage) can act as control knob for rotation shear
- Non-linear dependence of  $P_{LH}$  in mixed species plasmas
  - Reduction of P<sub>LH</sub> in H-<sup>4</sup>He mixture shows potential path to access Hmode in hydrogen during non-active phase of ITER operation

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **Extras**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### L-H transition time traces

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

## L-H threshold on density characterized in three divertor configurations

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 3 T/2.5 MA in C/C and VT; 3 T/2.5-2.75 MA in HT
  - C/C shape used for hybrid and baseline scenario development
- Power threshold lowest in horizontal target
- Threshold similar in C/C and VT, even though pumping and X-point height very different
- Note: Core P<sub>rad</sub> estimated from weighted bolometer chord average; tomographic inversions may modify results

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Variation in edge profiles in different divertor configurations, both at 3 T/ 2.5 MA

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Edge well shallower in C/C in Ohmic conditions
- Fine-scale zonal flow structure in  $E_r$  profile coincident with steeper density gradient in C/C
- Small experiments have observed variety of oscillatory ZF, but not stationary
  - e.g. Conway PRL 2011, Estrada PRL 2011, Xu PRL 2011, Schmitz PRL 2012

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Strong dependence on heating source in hydrogen, but not deuterium

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Similar to Gohil NF 2010, threshold much higher in hydrogen with more input torque
- Power threshold so low in deuterium that NBI provides little momentum input

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Dependence on divertor configuration

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

- VT and corner, with different X-point height and pumping efficiency have similar threshold
- V5OH has lower threshold

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### 3.60 3.65 3.75 3.80 3.70

#### Edge temperatures within uncertainties for ions and electrons

- 90742: 3.4 T/ 3.2 T
  - $< n_e > = 2.2 \text{ m}^{-3}$
- High field, high current, low density
  - Extreme case where one might ٠ expect separation of temperatures
- $T_e = T_i$  within uncertainties during time leading up to L-H transition

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Hydrogen-deuterium mixture scan performed in high density branch

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Multiple H/(H+D) ratio measurements consistent
- Neutron rate consistent with square of thermal deuterium density over broad range

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2016 | Kyoto | October 20, 2016 | Page 32

#### H/(H+D) measurements

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)