26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Kyoto, Japan Oct 17-21, 2016

Laser-driven ion acceleration on LFEX for fast ignition: State of the art and applications

Akifumi YOGO

Institute of Laser Engineering (ILE), Osaka University, Japan











Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University



N. Iwata, A. Morace, Y. Arikawa, S. Fujioka, S. Tosaki, T. Ikenouchi, K. Matsuo,
T. Gawa, Y. Taguchi, S. Sakata, S. Kojima, S-H. Lee, H. Nagatomo, H. Nihsimura,
M. Nakai, H. Shiraga, Y. Fujimoto, K. Yamanoi, T. Norimatsu, S. Tokita, Y. Nakata,
T. Jitsuno, J. Kawanaka, N. Miyanaga, LFEX laser group, and H. Azechi

Kansai Photon Science Institute, QST



A. Sagisaka, K. Ogura, M. Nishikino, S. V. Bulanov, A. S. Pirozhkov, T. Zh. Esirkepov, and K. Kondo

Hiroshima University



T. Johzaki

National Institute for Fusion Science



T. Ozaki and H. Sakagami

Institute of Laser Technology



A. Sunahara

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences



Z. Zhang

The Graduate School for the Creation of New Photonics Industries



K. Mima



Fusion fast ignition driven by ions. Laser-accelerated protons as an alternative igniter



<u>10 kJ</u>ion-energy deposition onto the ~500 g/cm³ fuel

<u>100 kJ</u> is the technically manageable energy of the driving laser



Fusion fast ignition driven by ions. Laser-accelerated protons as an alternative igniter



Fusion fast ignition driven by ions. Laser-accelerated protons as an alternative igniter



Summary of Achievement 30 MeV proton generation with 5% conversion efficiency



We have achieved these results with 10¹⁸ Wcm⁻² laser intensity. *c.f.* In previous works, similar results were obtained with 10¹⁹⁻²⁰ Wcm⁻² lasers.

Ion acceleration with 10¹⁸-10²⁰ Wcm⁻² laser intensity TNSA model: ion acceleration from the target rear surface.

um

 The laser pulse is focused on a thin foil (nm-µm). ② Fast electrons (> 0.511 MeV) are generated.

Fast ions

③ Charge separation field the separation field pred pred the rear side.

(4) Protons (originating from the surface contaminants) are predominantly accelerated.

A. Macchi et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 751 (2013).

Laser

Maximum ion energy predicted by 1 dimensional (1D) isothermal model

$$\mathcal{E}_{max} = 2T_h \left[\ln \left(t_p + \sqrt{t_p^2 + 1} \right) \right]^2$$

P. Mora Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 185002 (2003)

In this model, the electron temperature is never evolved as time.

Experimental conditions

Ion energy distributions are measured at the rear side of thin-foil targets.



Proton energy increases with the pulse duration.

Our experimental results clearly exceed the prediction of usual model.



Conversion Eff. increases with the pulse duration.



Electron temperature increases with pulse duration. The temperature exceed a usual scaling law.



<u>Conventional scaling law</u> Ponderomotive energy

$$T_{0} = m_{e}c^{2}(\gamma - 1)$$

Wilks et al., PRL 96, 13831992

$$\gamma = \sqrt{1 + a_{0}^{2}/2}$$

$$a_{0} = 0.85\sqrt{I[Wcm^{-2}]\lambda^{2}[\mu m]/10^{18}}$$

 $T_0 = 0.2 \text{ MeV}$ for I = 2.3 × 10¹⁸ Wcm⁻² (No time dependency)

However, in our experiment, $0.45 \Rightarrow 1.10 \Rightarrow 0.96 \text{ MeV}$

Never explained by the ponderomotive scaling

Comparison btw experiment and PIC simulation



The PIC results quantitatively agree with the experiments

Mechanism underlying the heating Electrons are heated during recirculating the target.





When the laser is incoming, electrons are accelerated forward and reflected by the charge-separation field on the rear side and go back to the front side. Then, again kicked by the laser. The electron's energy increases stochastically during the laser pulse duration.

The electron motion above never happens when a seriously large plasma expansion is made by low-contrast laser.

We introduce the temporally evolved temperature into analytical model, based on self-similar solutions.



Maximum proton is analytically reproduced. We find a fairly well agreement with the experiments.



ILE OSAKA

15

Energy absorption into ions increases as time in PIC.



The PIC simulation qualitatively predicts the temporal evolution of the conversion eff.

The temporal evolution of electron temperature enables to improve conversion eff. up to 5%.



Conclusion





Conclusion



The focal spot (60 μ m) in our experiment leads to 1D plasma expansion.

We try to explain the experimental results using 1D PIC simulation.

We have to evaluate the electron heating in the region up to 10 ps for the 4-pulse train case.

2D PIC simulation in the multi-ps time scale is time consuming, almost impossible.

We find that when the focal spot is set to be 60 μ m, the 2D PIC results are well in agreement with the results obtained in 1D simulation, in the case of 1.5 ps pulse duration.

We evaluate the electron heating in multi-ps region by using 1D PIC simulation that probably reproduces the condition of actual experiment.



Proton energy spectra obtained with 2D PIC simulation assuming a 60 μm focal spot (blue) and 1D PIC (red). The laser pulse has 1.5 ps duration and 1X10¹⁹Wcm⁻² intensity.





FIG. 3. (a) Trace of typical electron trajectory in the 1D PIC simulation. The target foil is initially at the position x = 50-55 μ m and the laser (2-pulse train) is incident on the surface at $x = 50 \ \mu$ m. (b) Time evolution of the Lorentz factor of the electron shown in (a).





第71回 日本物理学会年次大会

12

Block diagram of LFEX laser system



Amplifier components of LFEX laser system

Fiber ML osc.

Mechanical structure of rear-end subsystem

Pulse contrast measurement at the front end

Deterioration factors of pulse contrast

Time

February-March, 2015

A thin foil target attached inside the cone, developed in ILE, Osaka.