ELM Characterization and Dynamics at Near-Unity A in the Pegasus ST

M.W. Bongard, J.L. Barr, R.J. Fonck, D.M. Kriete, J.A. Reusch, K.E. Thome



26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference

Kyoto, Japan Presentation EX/P4-51 October 19, 2016



PEGASUS Toroidal Experiment



Poster Layout

10:1 scale



MADISON

H-mode Readily Accessed in A ~ 1 PEGASUS ST



Fast visible imaging, $\Delta t \sim 30 \ \mu s$

- Low B_T at $A \sim 1 \rightarrow \text{low H-mode P}_{LH}$
 - $P_{\rm OH} >> P_{\rm ITPA08} \sim B_T^{0.80} n_e^{0.72} S^{0.94}$
 - Limited or diverted topology
 - Facilitated by HFS fueling
- Standard H-mode features observed
 - Unique edge diagnostic access

M.W. Bongard, IAEA FEC 2016





PEGASUS Toroidal Experiment

А	1.15 – 1.3
R (m)	0.2 - 0.45
I _p (MA)	≤ 0.25
$\mathbf{\hat{B}}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T})$	< 0.2
$\Delta \tau_{\rm shot} \left({ m s} ight)$	\leq 0.025
Wall Type	SS + Ti getter



Validated, Predictive Theory Needed to Mitigate ELMs

- Peeling-ballooning model
 - Competing ideal MHD instabilities cause ELM onset
 - Current-driven peeling modes
 - Pressure-driven ballooning modes
- Nonlinear extensions
 - More complete physical models
 - Evolution of P-B mode structures
 - Heat flux deposition projections
- Detailed measurements required to validate theory
 - $P_{edge}, J_{edge}(R,t)$ on ELM timescales

Maggi, Nucl. Fusion **50**, 066001 (2010) Huijsmans et al., Phys. Plasmas **22**, 021805 (2015)



Snyder et al., Phys. Plasmas **12**, 056115 (2005) Hegna, Phys. Plasmas **3**, 584 (1996)



Huysmans et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **51**, 124012 (2009)

H-mode: Pedestal Formation, Increased Confinement

- Short pulse, low T_{e,edge}
- Simple probe access across pedestal
- J_{ϕ} , P pedestals in H-phase
 - $J_{\phi}(\mathbf{R},t)$: multichannel Hall probe
 - p(R): triple Langmuir probe
- Confinement increases 2x
 - Requires time-evolving reconstructions
 - $L: H_{98} \sim 0.5{\pm}0.2$
 - $\ H: H_{98} \sim 1.0{\pm}0.2$







Bongard et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. **81**, 10E105 (2010) Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 035003 (2011) Thome et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 175001 (2016) Thome et al., Nucl. Fusion **57**, 022018 (2017)





P_{LH} Consistent with Global Parametric Scalings— But Significant Differences Arise at Low A



- P_{LH}(n_e) follows ITPA scaling
 - FM³ model: minimum $P_{LH}(n_e) \sim 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$
- Magnetic topology independence
 - Diverted, limited edge topology similar
 - FM³: $P_{LH}^{LIM} / P_{LH}^{DIV} \sim (q_{\star}^{LIM} / q_{\star}^{DIV})^{-7/9}$

Normalized P_{LH} vs. Aspect Ratio



- At low A, $P_{LH} >> P_{ITPA08}$
 - P_{LH} increasingly diverges from expectations as $A \rightarrow 1$
 - $P_{LH}/P_{ITPA08} \sim 15$ at $A \sim 1.2$



Local Helicity Injection Startup Compatible with Access to High-Quality Ohmic H-mode



- High-I_p, long-pulse H-mode plasmas desirable
 - Confinement, edge stability studies; attaining high β_T
- LHI creates tokamak plasmas via edge current drive
 - Taylor relaxation, helicity balance
- No fundamental obstacles to H-mode access from LHI physics



M.W. Bongard, IAEA FEC 2016

Battaglia et al., Nucl. Fusion 51, 073029 (2011) Thome et al., Nucl. Fusion 57, 022018 (2017)



A ~ 1 Regime Well-Suited for Studies of ELMs and their Nonlinear Dynamics

- ELMs create 3D filaments
 - Coincident with D_{α} bursts

- Small ("Type III"):
 - Low-n, peeling-like
 - Observed at $P_{OH} \sim P_{LH}$

- Large ("Type I")
 - Intermediate n
 - Observed at $P_{OH} >> P_{LH}$





Small (Type III) ELM



Large (Type I) ELM







ELM Magnetic Structure Varies with A

- Edge Mirnov array measures
 ELM toroidal mode spectrum
 - $n \le 20$ resolved by multipoint cross-phase analyses
- Type III: A dependent
 - Low $A \le 1.4$: $n \le 1 4$
 - PEGASUS, NSTX
 - Conventional $A \sim 3$: n > 8
- Type I: A independent
 - Intermediate-*n*
 - Low-A devices have lower n
- Increased peeling drive at low-A
 - Higher $J_{edge}/B \rightarrow lower n$



M.W. Bongard, IAEA FEC 2016

Maingi et al., Nucl. Fusion 45, 1066 (2005); Kass et al., Nucl. Fusion 38, 111 (1998) Perez et al., Nucl. Fusion 44, 609 (2004); Thome et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 175001 (2016)





Nonlinear ELM Precursors Observable with Edge-Localized Mirnov Coil Array

Fast Visible Imaging Across Type I ELM



- Simultaneously unstable n during ELM
 - Detectable only within ~ cm of LCFS
 - Nonlinear energy exchange
- Modes grow on MHD timescales
 - n = 8 grows continuously
 - n = 6 fluctuates prior to crash

M.W. Bongard, IAEA FEC 2016 Thome et al., Nucl. Fusion 57, 022018 (2017)



MADISON



PEGASUS Hall Probe Provides J_{edge}(R, t) on Alfvénic Timescales





Bongard et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81, 10E105 (2010)

- Precision $B_z(R, t)$ measurements
 - 16 solid-state InSb Hall sensors
 - 7.5 mm radial resolution
 - 75 kHz large-signal bandwidth
 - 175 kHz small-signal bandwidth
- Carbon Armored
 - Compatible with L, H-mode to date

- J_{ϕ} obtained directly via Ampère's Law
 - Assumes local tokamak equilibrium
 - No profile parameterization constraint

$$\mu_0 J_{\phi} = -\frac{B_Z}{\kappa^2 (R - R_0)} \left(1 - \frac{Z^2 R_0}{\kappa^2 R (R - R_0)^2} \right) - \frac{dB_Z}{dR} \left(1 + \frac{Z^2}{\kappa^4 (R - R_0)^2} \right)$$



Petty et al., Nucl. Fusion 42, 1124 (2002)

\mathbf{S} J_{ϕ}(R,t) Calculable Directly from Ampère's Law

$$\mu_0 J_{\phi} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_{\phi} = \frac{\partial B_R}{\partial Z} - \frac{\partial B_Z}{\partial R}$$

- Simplest test follows from $B_R(Z)$ or $B_Z(R)$ measurements
- Petty solves for an off-midplane B_Z(R) measurement set and an elliptical plasma cross-section:

$$\mu_0 J_{\phi} = -\frac{B_Z}{\kappa^2 \left(R - R_0\right)} \left(1 - \frac{Z^2 R_0}{\kappa^2 R \left(R - R_0\right)^2} \right) - \frac{dB_Z}{dR} \left(1 + \frac{Z^2}{\kappa^4 \left(R - R_0\right)^2} \right)$$

Does not make assumptions on shape of J(R)



J_{edge} Structure Reflected in B_z Measurements



MADISON

Current-Hole J_{edge} Perturbation Accompanies Edge Instability



• Feature observed during ELMs and peeling modes

- Validates mechanism hypothesized by EM blob transport theory
- Type III ELM: smaller perturbation, slower, no filament evident
- Type I: larger, faster, filament expulsion

Myra, Phys. Plasmas 14, 102314 (2007) Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011) Thome et al., Nucl. Fusion 57, 022018 (2017)



Nonlinear Peeling Mode Filaments Model of "Current-Hole" Filament Ejection







Type I ELM J_{edge}(R,t) Dynamics Measured Throughout Single ELM Event

- Challenge: nonlinear ELM dynamics at Alfvénic timescales
- Current profile evolution through ELM cycle shows complex multimodal behavior
 - Less spatial smoothing employed in Hall probe analysis
- Opportunities for detailed comparison to nonlinear MHD simulations
 - e.g. NIMROD, JOREK, BOUT++



Pamela et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 53, 054014 (2011)



Type I ELM Evolution

Thome et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 175001 (2016)





Type I ELM Filament Ejection Coincides with J_{edge} Current-Hole Generation





Nearest Imaging Times; Prior Frame Subtracted

 Outwardly-propagating filament observed with high-speed visible imaging in ELM crash







Initial 3D Edge Current Injection Experiments Suggest Mitigation of Type III ELMs





- Local helicity injection system provides 3D SOL current injection
 - $I_{inj} \leq 5 \text{ kA}, J_{inj} \sim 1 \text{ kA/cm}^2$
 - Strong 3D edge current perturbation
 - Similar to LHCD on EAST
 - Edge biasing: modify rotation
- Low levels of J_{edge} injection into H-mode reduce ELM activity
 - Low $I_{inj} = ELM$ suppression
 - High I_{inj} = edge, shot degradation







H-mode Physics with Pedestal Diagnostic Access

- Standard features: J, p pedestals; low D_{α} , increased τ_e
- Features unique to low-A emerging: P_{LH} threshold

ELM Regimes Identified with Differing n Spectra

- Large, Type I-like: intermediate n
- Small, Type III-like: low n
- Simultaneous spectrum of n present during crash

Nonlinear ELM Dynamics on Alfvénic Timescales

- Nonlinear energy exchange in n modes prior to crash
- Fast J_{edge}(R, t): current-hole perturbation, filament expulsion

Helical Edge Current Injection Affects Type III ELMs

• Potential dual use of LHI injectors as ELM control actuator



Proposed Upgrades:

- Pedestal physics, nonlinear ELM physics and mitigation
- Local Helicity Injection in NSTX-U relevant conditions



PEGASUS-U Supports Focused Physics Mission

- Nonlinear pedestal and ELM studies
 - Simultaneous measurements of p(R,t), J(R,t), $v_{\phi}(R,t)$
 - New edge diagnostics (probe arrays, DNB)
 - Tests of Sauter neoclassical bootstrap model
- ELM Modification and Mitigation
 - Novel 3D-MP coil array
 - LFS array: 12 toroidal \times 7 poloidal
 - Helically-wound HFS coils
 - LHI current injectors in divertor, LFS regions
- Physics of Local Helicity Injection Startup
 - High I_p, long-pulse startup
 - Projections to NSTX-U



