26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Kyoto, Japan

Activation, decay heat, and waste classification studies of the European DEMO concept

M.R. Gilbert¹, T. Eade¹, C. Bachmann², U. Fischer³ and N.P. Taylor¹

¹Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, UK; ²Eurofusion PMU, Germany; ³Karlsruhe Insitute of Technology, Germany

October 20, 2016



EUROfusion

CCFE is the fusion research arm of the United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053 and from the RCUK Energy Programme [grant number EP/1601045]. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the Europane Commission



Introduction

- Inventory simulations of materials under neutron irradiation have a key role in designing future fusion (DEMO) power plants
 - can predict the time evolution in chemical composition, activation, decay heat, γ-dose, gas production, damage (dpa) dose, etc.
 - can provide information about the neutron shielding requirements, maintenance schedules & strategies, and waste disposal prospects
 - thereby guiding future design developments
- This work: inventory calculations for a reference European DEMO reactor model
 - to define in-vessel component activation, decay-heat and waste classifications
 - part of the 2015 EUROFusion programme





Overview of analysis

- Activation inventories as a function of time after shutdown for:
 - Divertor & Vacuum Vessel (VV)
 - including:
 - poloidal variation in activity & decay heat
 - breakdown of activity contributions by radionuclide
- Waste classification and recycling assessment for entire model (including VV, divertor, & blanket):
 - Mass per class as a function of time
 - based on IAEA classification system with UK limits
- For four European DEMO blanket concepts:
 - HCLL Helium-Cooled Lithium Lead
 - HCPB Helium-Cooled lithium orthosilicate Pebble Bed
 - WCLL Water-Cooled Lithium Lead liquid breeder
 - DCLL Dual-Cooled Lithium Lead liquid breeder





Inventory equations



- coupled differential equations solved numerically by the FISPACT-II $\,^{\!\$}$ inventory code

one equation for each nuclide i at concentration N_i

• σ_i, σ_{ji} :

Energ

- energy-dependent reaction cross sections from EAF2010 nuclear data
- folded with energy dependent (normalised) neutron spectra from neutron transport (neutronics) simulations
- total fluxes ϕ (also from neutronics)
- decay constants λ_i, λ_{ji}

FEC | October 20, 2016 | M. Gilbert





Neutron transport model

- 1.6 GW DEMO design
- Eurofer for in-vessel structures & SS316 Vacuum Vessel
- tungsten FW and (water-cooled) divertor armour
- homogenized blanket modules (no detailed structures)
- neutron flux spectrum simulated in each region (cell)







Typical simulation results

- Extensive inventory calculations with systematic analysis allow large scale comparisons
 - e.g. poloidal variation in vacuum vessel activity behind all four concepts
 - ۹ immediately after shutdown following 22-year operational lifetime[§]



programme



Divertor activation

• Poloidal variation in activity immediately after shutdown:



- In W armour difference between the concepts
 - mainly due to varying production of ^{187}W ($T_{1/2}=24$ hours)
 - caused by differing moderation characteristics of nearby blanket modules
 - variation on short timescales could be important in accident scenarios





Divertor activation

• Divertor-averaged (by mass) variation in time shows that differences between concepts disappear at longer decay times



Activation inventories

- Activity simulation of outboard equatorial VV cell (HCLL)
- The FISPACT-II simulations can also trace contributions from individual (radio)nuclides







Activation inventories

- Activity simulation of outboard equatorial VV cell (HCLL)
- The FISPACT-II simulations can also trace contributions from individual (radio)nuclides







Activation inventories

- Activity simulation of outboard equatorial VV cell (HCLL)
- The FISPACT-II simulations can also trace contributions from individual (radio)nuclides
- e.g. showing long-lived Ni and Nb isotopes in SS316
- contributions from individual radionuclides as a function of time can be extracted→





Activation Inventories



- At short cooling times radionuclides from W (99.7 atm.%) dominate
- But very minor impurities of Co, K, Mo in composition produce all of the activity at decay times beyond 5 years





Activation Inventories





 At very long decay times Ni isotopes do not dominate as they do in SS316, but ¹⁴C is still a problem





Waste classification

- Preliminary waste classes based on IAEA structure and UK limits§:
 - 1) **NAW** (none active waste)
 - $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{IAEA} \ \mathsf{clearance} \ \mathsf{index} \ \mathsf{less} \ \mathsf{than} \ 1 \\$
 - 2) LLW (low-level waste)
 - α activity less than 4 MBq kg^{-1} and combined β and γ activity of less than 12 MBq kg^{-1}
 - 3) ILW (intermediate-level waste)
 - activities above LLW limits
- Recycling assessment:
 - ► component considered as being Recyclable Material (RM) if contact γ dose is below 2 mSv hr⁻¹
- Waste evolution charted during operation and after shutdown
 - replaced components included in waste inventory using additional inventory simulations

(i.e. to simulate the decay-cooling of a removed component while DEMO is still operational)



Total reactor waste results

- jumps in waste masses due to new components
- mainly ILW in first few decades after shutdown
- & very little NAW at any time

Energ



blue time labels are post final shutdown; red during operational life. red regions of plots are for periods of irradiation; grey during shutdown FEC | October 20, 2016 | M. Gilbert



pl p2 HCPB 5×10^4 NAW nass of material (tonnes) LLW 4×10^4 IL.W 3×10^4 2×10^4 1×10^4 1000 100time (years)

VV waste results



- Predominantly ILW for hundreds of years after shutdown due to Ni isotopes in SS316
- but results very sensitive to homogenization of cells & volume-averaged fluxes
- some variation with blanket concept due to under-optimization of designs





Total reactor recycling results

 entire design (including VV+IVCs)
becomes potentially recyclable within 100 years despite waste classification



IVCs - in-vessel components



mass of material (tonnes)



Divertor waste results



- four concepts equivalent (HCPB example shown)
- PFCs[†] remain ILW for much longer than body of divertor
 - due to long-lived ⁶³Ni produced from copper



Divertor recycling results



• But both PFCs and body of divertor recyclable according to 2 mSv hr^{-1} contact dose criterion on 100-year timescale





Summary

- Extensive inventory simulations with FISPACT-II for in-vessel components of the European DEMO model with four different blanket concepts
 - using detailed operational scenarios
 - using irradiation conditions predicted by neutron transport simulations
- Activation results as a function of decay time, blanket concept, & of position
 - processed automatically and consistently, allowing side-by-side comparisons
 - e.g. highlighting a variation in the short-term, post-shutdown activity of the divertor armour
 - higher near WCLL blanket modules due to increased moderation
- Activation inventories identify the dominant radionuclides
 - e.g. very minor impurities in W dominate the activity at long-timescales
- Waste and recycling analysis based on total mass and activity of components
 - mostly LLW and recyclable on 100-year timescale
 - parts of VV and divertor are likely to remain ILW for longer
 - but results sensitive to (lack of) heterogeneity in component modelling



