



26<sup>th</sup> IAEA-FEC 2016 17-22 October, Kyoto, Japan

# **Overview of Recent COMPASS Activities**

## <u>**R. Dejarnac<sup>1</sup>**</u>, on behalf of the COMPASS team<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Plasma Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Za Slovankou 3, 182 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic (IPP.CR).

<u>Corresponding author's email</u>: dejarnac@ipp.cas.cz

### The recent COMPASS activities were focused on:

- Edge and SOL physics (RMP, GAM study, Pedestal scaling, L-H transition vs. X-point height)
- Core physics and high freq. oscillations (Alfven Eigenmode oscillations, Runaways Electrons)
- Plasma-surface interactions (power deposition on LEs in support of ITER divertor monoblock shaping)

B<sup>Vac</sup> [mT]

#### **COMPASS** parameters

0.56 m Major radius 0.18 - 0.23 m Minor radius < 350 kA Plasma current 0.8 - 2.1 T Magnetic field 0.5 - 0.7 Triangularity Elongation 1.6 - 1.8 Pulse length < 1 s 2 x 0.3 MW P<sub>NBI</sub>



#### 1. Edge and SOL physics Resonant Magnetic Perturbation studies

• Poloidal distribution of:

original RMP perturbation

**RMP** response field

measured by a

unique set of 100

saddle loops.

Measurements show that

 $\rightarrow$  full coverage of the vessel

response field is in anti-phase

to the applied field (L-mode).

#### **Geodesic Acoustic Mode in COMPASS**



0.5

-0.5

-1.0

1.0

\*MARS-F code

RMP field

♦ ♦ ♦ Measured vacuum RMP

♦ ♦ ♦ Measured RMP response

▲△▲ Modelled RMP response

. . . . . . .

0.5

0.0

 $\theta$  [ $\pi$ ]





#### **Pedestal scaling** [MST1]

Comparison of EPED Model to 296 Cases on 5 Tokamaks



• HRTS measurements of <u>Type-I ELM</u> in both ohmic/NBI-assisted H-modes  $(160 < I_{p} < 330 \text{ kA}).$ 

+ RMP increases ELM freq. in ohmic <u>H-mode</u>

- Profiles fitted modified hyperbolic tangent  $\rightarrow$  ped. widths & heights.
- Dimensionless param.

-10 <sup>[]</sup>-1.0 -0.5

via a density pump-out.

- 23 selected pedestals reproduced by the EPED
- $\rightarrow$  predictions for 5 other tokamaks.

- GAM freq. 25-40 kHz in diverted ohmic & <u>L-modes</u> discharges.
- Non-local structure w/ constant freq. over several cm inside LCFS.

• Oscillations of  $U_p$ ,  $V_f$ ,  $E_r \& T_e$  of ~1eV.

- δv<sub>\_</sub>/<v<sub>\_</sub>> ~ 1
- GAM freq. increases with NBI heating.
- GAM amplitude changes for different NBI injections (suppressed for co-NBI & increased for counter-NBI).
- $\rightarrow$  "related to a change of tor. plasma flow and parallel current" [Elfimov].

#### L-H transition vs. X-point height







• m/n = 4/(-1) mode in ion diamagnetic drift direction under investigation.

#12202

for high X-point shots

EPED Predicted Pedestal Height (kPa)

#### [see EX / P6-35 on Thursday]

[ITPA task PEP-39] dz<sub>x</sub> [mm]



#### **Runaway electron (RE) experiments** • Ar MGI disruption in I<sub>P</sub> ramp-up is initiated Ar puff $\rightarrow$ post-disruptive beams are generated. • Studies in support of MST1 devices. • Unique results using fast camera (8 kHz) and detailed magnetic diagnostics. Ar puff Initial formation of filamentary structures observed. • Generation and losses of RE in quiescent discharges 972 974 976 t [ms] (no disruption, low density). • Strong correlation between MHD and **RE** loss. Model benchmarking (METIS+LUKE). [see EX / P6-34 on Thursday]





#### 3. <u>Plasma Surface Interactions</u> Power deposition on leading edges in COMPASS







power fluxes from Optical Approximation (OA).

Synthetic T<sup>surf</sup> convoluted w/ IRcam transfer function allows direct comparison with T<sup>surf,IR</sup>.

• OA = valid approach.

• Mini-SOL not responsible for any power mitigation (as in JET). • PIC simulations  $\rightarrow$  Power fluxes.

Larmor smoothing not observed.

Electron-dominated regime 60 show better agreement.



• PIC simulations\* done to assess role of the E-field on the heat flux 1.5 distribution (very long).

 Small differences between IOC &  $PIC \rightarrow E$ -field has negligible effect.

 Ion orbit calculations good approximation.

• However, role of electrons (in an electron dominated regime) has to be assessed.



Heat flux distribution along a TG during a 2500 eV ELM

ELM ions (up to 5 keV) HAVE LARGE LARMOR RADII → THEY CAN PENETRATE EASILY INTO GAPS

\*[M. Komm et al., to be submitted to Nucl. Fus.]

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** 

MEYS project no. LM2015045. GA14-35260S, GA16-25074S, GA16-24724S.



#### <u>COMPASS posters</u>: <u>J. Mlynar</u> (REs $\rightarrow$ EX / P6-34) [Thursday] & <u>M. Komm (Ped. scaling $\rightarrow$ EX / P6-35) [Thursday]</u>

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053.

The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



