#### Critical Gradient Behavior of Fast-Ion Transport from Alfvén Eigenmodes Guides Predictive Models for Burning Plasmas

#### Cami Collins<sup>1</sup>

W. W. Heidbrink<sup>2</sup>, G.J. Kramer<sup>3</sup>,
D. C. Pace<sup>1</sup>, C. C. Petty<sup>1</sup>, M. Podestà<sup>3</sup>,
M. A. Van Zeeland<sup>1</sup>, R.B. White<sup>3</sup>,
& the DIII-D Team

<sup>1</sup>General Atomics <sup>2</sup>University of California, Irvine <sup>3</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

26<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Kyoto, Japan October 20, 2016







#### Critical Gradient Behavior of Alfvén Eigenmode (AE) Induced Fast-Ion Transport Has Been Observed in DIII-D

Key features of critical gradient phenomenon:





[Collins et al., PRL 116 (2016)]

#### Critical Gradient Behavior of Alfvén Eigenmode (AE) Induced Fast-Ion Transport Has Been Observed in DIII-D

Key features of critical gradient phenomenon:





[Collins et al., PRL 116 (2016)]

## Critical Gradient Behavior of Alfvén Eigenmode (AE) Induced Fast-Ion Transport Has Been Observed in DIII-D

• Key features of critical gradient phenomenon:



• Recent experiments at DIII-D show that fast-ion transport becomes stiff when AEs cause fast-ion orbits to become stochastic.



#### Attractive Steady-State Tokamak Operation Requires Confined Energetic Particles

#### • AEs can cause transport that reduces:

- absorbed beam heating power
- current drive
- achievable  $\beta_N$

AEs degrade fusion performance by 40% in DIII-D steady state scenarios







#### Attractive Steady-State Tokamak Operation Requires Confined Energetic Particles

- AEs can cause transport that reduces:
  - absorbed beam heating power
  - current drive
  - achievable  $\beta_{\rm N}$
- AEs are driven by gradients in EP profiles & are predicted to be unstable in ITER
  - Important questions:
    - When is transport significant?
    - How can we effectively predict EP profiles, beam ion profiles, and losses to optimize scenarios?

AEs degrade fusion performance by 40% in DIII-D steady state scenarios





[Heidbrink et al., PPCF 56 (2014)] [Holcomb et al., Phys. Plasmas 22 (2015)] 6



- DIII-D critical gradient experiment & analysis technique.
- Critical gradient transport varies in phase-space.
- •Theoretical analysis indicates stochasticity sets threshold.





### • DIII-D critical gradient experiment & analysis technique.

• Critical gradient transport varies in phase-space.

•Theoretical analysis indicates stochasticity sets threshold.



#### The Experiment: Use NBI Power to Manipulate AEs & Beam Modulation to Measure Fast-Ion Transport

 Control AE activity with NBI power & geometry during the current ramp

(reverse-shear, L-mode plasma)



#### **Density Fluctuations** 120 #159243 6.4 MW NBI (kHz) 80 log<sub>10</sub>(P<sup>1/2</sup>) 80 -3.6 60 -4.0 120 #159257 **3.7 MW NBI** -4.4 f (kHz) 100 -4.8 80 60 400 600 800 1000 Time (ms) amp. ( ∑∆T<sub>e</sub> / T<sub>e</sub> ) **7.0 1.0 1.0 AE** amplitude t=500-900 ms **Tangential Beams** ■ ⊥ Beams \* Mixed Beams 0.0 2 10 4 6 8 **Beam Power (MW)**

# The Experiment: Use NBI Power to Manipulate AEs & Beam Modulation to Measure Fast-Ion Transport

 Control AE activity with NBI power & geometry during the current ramp

(reverse-shear, L-mode plasma)





# The Experiment: Use NBI Power to Manipulate AEs & Beam Modulation to Measure Fast-Ion Transport

 Control AE activity with NBI power & geometry during the current ramp

(reverse-shear, L-mode plasma)



#### **Density Fluctuations** 120 #159243 6.4 MW NBI (kHz) 80 log<sub>10</sub>(P<sup>1/2</sup>) 80 -3.6 60 -4.0 120 #159257 **3.7 MW NBI** -4.4 f (kHz) 100 -4.8 80 60 400 600 800 1000 Time (ms) amp. ( ∑∆T<sub>e</sub> / T<sub>e</sub> ) 700 ( ∑∆T<sub>e</sub> / T<sub>e</sub> ) **AE** amplitude t=500-900 ms ▲ Tangential Beams ■ ⊥ Beams \* Mixed Beams 0.0 2 10 6 8 **Beam Power (MW)**

#### The Experiment: Use NBI Power to Manipulate AEs & Beam Modulation to Measure Fast-Ion Transport

- Control AE activity with NBI power & geometry during the current ramp (reverse-shear, L-mode plasma)
- Measure transport by modulating the fast-ion pressure profile with off-axis neutral beam injection (150LT)



#### **Density Fluctuations** 120 #159243 6.4 MW NBI (kHz) 80 log<sub>10</sub>(P<sup>1/2</sup>) 80 -3.6 60 -4.0 120 #159257 3.7 MW NBI -4.4 f (kHz) 100 -4.8 80 60 800 1000 400 600 Time (ms) amp. (∑∆T<sub>e</sub> / T<sub>e</sub> ) **7.0 1.0 AE** amplitude t=500-900 ms Tangential Beams ■ ⊥ Beams \* Mixed Beams **0.0** 10 2 6 8 **Beam Power (MW)**

#### Stiff Transport Causes Clamped Equilibrium Density Profiles and Distortions In Modulated Signals



 Profile resilience has also been observed in off-axis beam injection experiments. [Heidbrink et al.,Nucl. Fusion 53 (2013)]



#### Stiff Transport Causes Clamped Equilibrium Density Profiles and Distortions In Modulated Signals



 Profile resilience has also been observed in off-axis beam injection experiments. [Heidbrink et al.,Nucl. Fusion 53 (2013)]













1. Modulate the fast-ion source with off-axis neutral beam injection (150LT)  $S=S_0+\tilde{S}$ 

#### 2. Measure fast-ion evolution:

 $n = n_0 + \tilde{n}$ 

- fast-ion D $\alpha$  (FIDA) spectroscopy
  - density profiles
- solid-state neutral particle analyzer (NPA)
  - -fast neutrals from charge-exchange
- neutron emission (mostly beam-plasma)
   proxy for volumetric fast-ion population





$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial t} + \tilde{S} - \frac{\tilde{n}}{\tau}$$

- 3. Calculate  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tau$ , solve for  $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}$
- Use TRANSP/FIDASIM to calculate source from classical signal [Heidbrink et al., NF 2016]





$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial t} + \tilde{S} - \frac{\tilde{n}}{\tau}$$

- 3. Calculate  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tau$ , solve for  $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}$
- Use TRANSP/FIDASIM to calculate source from classical signal [Heidbrink et al., NF 2016]





$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial t} + \tilde{S} - \frac{\tilde{n}}{\tau}$$

#### 3. Calculate $\tilde{S}$ and $\tau$ , solve for $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}$

• Use TRANSP/FIDASIM to calculate source from classical signal [Heidbrink et al., NF 2016]



$$\tilde{n} = \int \int \int \tilde{f}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) W(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{E} d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{x}$$
Modulated
Diagnostic

distribution function

→ Flux is not a function of radius alone

Diagnostic sensitivity

 $\tilde{\Gamma} \neq -D\nabla \tilde{n} + V\tilde{n}$ 







#### • DIII-D critical gradient experiment & analysis technique.

#### • Critical gradient transport varies in phase-space.

#### •Theoretical analysis indicates stochasticity sets threshold.







- Diagnostics measure a portion of fast-ions
  - NPA measures narrow band of trapped fast-ions





- AEs are driven by bulk population of fast-ions
- Energy exchange occurs when orbits are in resonance with AE modes







## Different Diagnostics Provide Comprehensive Survey of Fast-Ion Orbit Topology Space





#### Onset Threshold for Transport Differs Between Various Fast-ion Diagnostics





#### Threshold for Significant Transport Is Clearly **Beyond AE Linear Stability Threshold**





#### Varied Beam Geometry Used To Drive Different AE **Resonances By Changing Bulk Fast-Ion Distribution**



## Threshold and Stiffness Change as Beam Deposition Shifts Resonances in Phase-Space



- For a given total beam power, tangential beam injection results in the strongest total AE amplitude.
- However, perpendicular beam injection drives modes that are more efficient at transporting the trapped particle population.





• DIII-D critical gradient experiment & analysis technique.

• Critical gradient transport varies in phase-space.

•Theoretical analysis indicates stochasticity sets threshold.



- Expect to measure transport at intersection of:
  - Diagnostic sensitive space
    Modulated beam orbits
  - AE resonance
- Theoretical analysis shows multiple, overlapping AEs cause stochastic orbits





#### **NOVA AE Mode Amplitudes are Matched to Experiment**

- The linear ideal MHD code NOVA is used to compute AE frequencies and structures for 159243 at t=790 ms (6.4 MW NBI).
- NOVA amplitudes are scaled to match experimental values based on ECE fluctuation measurements.





[Cheng, Chang, Phys. Fluids 29 (1986)]

[Van Zeeland, Kramer, Austin et al., PRL 97 (2006)] 33

#### Stochasticity is Calculated Using ORBIT

• ORBIT code determines which portions of fast-ion phase space have orbits that reside in islands or become stochastic due to AE modes.





[R. B. White, Com. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim. (2012)]

#### Stochasticity is Calculated Using ORBIT

• ORBIT code determines which portions of fast-ion phase space have orbits that reside in islands or become stochastic due to AE modes.





[R. B. White, Com. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim. (2012)]

#### Transport Occurs When Orbits In Diagnostic Sensitive Region Become Stochastic





#### Transport Occurs When Orbits In Diagnostic Sensitive Region Become Stochastic



 Increase in total number of stochastic orbits in diagnostic region coincides with jump in measured transport.



#### Transport Occurs When Orbits In Diagnostic Sensitive Region Become Stochastic





• Diagnostics like neutrons cover entire area of phase space, therefore include more stochasticity.





#### Stiff Transport Causes Bursts of Fast Ion Losses

- In theory, intermittent transport occurs when overlapping resonances cause rapid avalanches of global redistribution and losses.
- Current critical gradient models
   do not account for this mechanism.
- ITER must avoid concentrated alpha losses and excessive wall heating.





## Critical Gradient Transport of Fast Ions Due to Multiple, Overlapping AEs Has Been Observed In DIII-D

- 1. Above threshold, fast-ion profiles are clamped and fusion performance is reduced.
- 2. AE-induced transport is a phase-space dependent quantity.
  - -Transport threshold occurs when orbits become stochastic.
  - -Transport can be varied by shifting beam deposition to move resonances to different portions of phase space.
- 3. Stiff transport causes intermittent losses.

 This work provides a basis for understanding how to avoid AE transport in advanced tokamak scenarios.
 Some AEs can be tolerated before causing significant transport.
 Measurements are being used to validate AE-induced transport models for ITER.



# More on Implications for Predicting and Controlling AEs in ITER

#### R.E. Waltz (TH/P4-14)

A Critical Gradient Model for Energetic Particle Transport from Alfvén Eigenmodes: GYRO Verification, DIII-D Validation, and ITER Projection

#### G.J. Kramer (TH/P4-5)

Improving Fast-Ion Confinement in High-Performance Discharges by Suppressing Alfvén Eigenmodes

#### M.A. Van Zeeland (EX/P3-24)

Electron Cyclotron Heating Modification of Alfvén Eigenmode Activity in DIII-D





#### **Bonus Slides**



#### Goal: Use Critical Gradient In Reduced Models To Calculate EP Transport & Optimize Scenarios For Future Fusion Reactors

• Reduced 'critical gradient' models avoid detailed nonlinear calculations of saturated mode amplitudes.



- Experiments guide model development:
  - -When does transport become stiff?
  - -Is an energy-dependent transport calculation necessary?
  - -Does intermittency cause significant transport?

## Peak Density Gradient of Kick Model Transported EP Density Profile Agrees with Inferred Value From Experiment





[M. Podestà, PPCF 56 (2014)]