

Elimination of the Non-Axisymmetric inter-ELM Heat Flux Generated by Resonant Magnetic Perturbations in Detached Divertor Conditions

### &

### Assessment of Divertor Heat Load with and without External Magnetic Perturbation

#### B. Sieglin<sup>1</sup>, A.R. Briesemeister<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany <sup>2</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, 17-22 October 2016







Elimination of the Non-Axisymmetric inter-ELM Heat Flux Generated by Resonant Magnetic Perturbations in Detached Divertor Conditions

#### by A.R. Briesemeister

#### with:

J.W. Ahn, I. Bykov, J.M. Canik, M.E. Fenstermacher<sup>a</sup>, N. Ferraro<sup>e</sup>, H. Frerichs<sup>b</sup>, C. J. Lasnier<sup>a</sup>, J.D. Lore, A.W. Leonard<sup>c</sup>, M.A. Makowski<sup>a</sup>, A.G. McLean<sup>a</sup>, W. H. Meyer<sup>a</sup>, O. Schmitz<sup>b</sup>, M.W. Shafer, E.A. Unterberg, H.Q. Wang<sup>c</sup>, J. G. Watkins<sup>d</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA a Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA b University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA c General Atomics, San Diego, CA, USA d Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, USA e Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

Presented at the 26<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference October 17<sup>th</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> 2016















## Assessment of Divertor Heat Load with and without External Magnetic Perturbation

**B. Sieglin**<sup>1</sup>, T. Eich<sup>1</sup>, M. Faitsch<sup>1</sup>, A. Herrmann<sup>1</sup>, A. Kirk<sup>2</sup>,

A. Scarabosio<sup>1</sup>, W. Suttrop<sup>1</sup>, A. Thornton<sup>2</sup>,

### JET contributors $^{\ast}$ , the EUROFusion MST1 Team $^{\dagger}$

#### and the ASDEX Upgrade Team<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany
 <sup>2</sup> CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Oxfordshire OX14 3DB, United Kingdom
 <sup>\*</sup> EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

#### 26<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, 17-22 October 2016

- \* See the author list of "Overview of the JET results in support to ITER" by X. Litaudon et al. to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: overview and summary reports from the 26th Fusion Energy Conference (Kyoto, Japan, 17-22 October 2016
- See the author list of "Overview of progress in European Medium Sized Tokamaks towards an integrated plasma-edge/wall solution" by H. Meyer et al., to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: overview and summary reports from the 26th Fusion Energy Conference (Kyoto, Japan, 17-22 October 2016)



- Introduction
- L-Mode and Inter-ELM H-Mode divertor heat load
- Divertor electron temperature and density distribution
- Divertor ELM deposited energy density
- Conclusions

### Axisymmetric Divertor Heat Load



- Axisymmetric target heat load profile is quantified by power fall-off length  $\lambda_a$  and by divertor broadening S.
- S is increasing with decreasing divertor electron temperature.

$$S \propto l_{div} \sqrt{\frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\chi_{\parallel}}} \propto T_e^{-5/4} n_e^{-1/2}$$



•  $\lambda_q$  in L-Mode described by the same parametric dependence as in H-Mode, but with about twice the absolute size.  $\lambda_{q,H-Mode} = 0.73 \pm 0.38 B_{tor}^{-0.78 \pm 0.25} q_{cyl}^{+1.07 \pm 0.07} P_{SOL}^{+0.10 \pm 0.11} R^{+0.02 \pm 0.2}$  $\lambda_{q,L-Mode} = 1.45 \pm 0.13 B_{tor}^{-0.78} q_{cyl}^{+1.20 \pm 0.27} P_{SOL}^{-0.14 \pm 0.05}$ 

#### 26th IAEA FEC, Kyoto, 21. October 2016

#### 6

• DIII-D and ASDEX Upgrade are equipped with two rows of saddle coils.

DIII-D

- 12 coils
- n=1,2,3 (n=1,2 rotatable)
- Coil current 7 kAt

#### ASDEX Upgrade

- 16 coils
- n=1,2,3,4 (n=1,2,3 rotatable)
- Coil current 6.5 kAt







- Introduction
- L-Mode and Inter-ELM H-Mode divertor heat load
- Divertor electron temperature and density distribution
- Divertor ELM deposited energy density
- Conclusions

## Heat Flux with Magnetic Perturbation (MP)

ASDEX Upgrade

#### L-Mode

• L-Mode with slow rigid rotation of external magnetic preturbation to measure the 2D heat flux pattern on the divertor target.



#### ASDEX Upgrade #32217

- Non axisymmetric heat flux pattern in the presence of MP
- Averaged heat flux described by same model as unperturbed heat flux

### SOL Heat Transport



L-Mode

• Experiments with n=2 perturbation in resonant, non-resonant, upper and lower coil only configuration.



Toroidal Average with MP



- $\lambda_q$  and *S* are the same within the uncertainty for the toroidal averaged heat flux profile compared to the reference without MP.
  - $\rightarrow$  MP does not change perpendicular heat transport significantly!

## Heat Flux Peaking due to MP (Experiment)

ASDEX Upgrade

#### L-Mode

 MP induces local increase of heat flux compared to axisymmetric profile without MP



- Highest heat flux with MP close to the peak position without MP.
- Relative variation increases away from the separatrix.
- Characteristic reduced with increasing density.

# Discharges focused on achieving the high density divertor conditions needed for detachment in H-mode



- This work focuses on inter-ELM divertor conditions
- RMPs reduced average ELM energy by 30%



# Heat flux splitting measured in attached conditions eliminated in strongly detached conditions

Inter-ELM H-Mode



Gas puffing used to create matching core density profiles

• Heat flux measured between ELMs using infrared imaging





- Introduction
- L-Mode and Inter-ELM H-Mode divertor heat load
- Divertor electron temperature and density distribution
- Divertor ELM deposited energy density
- Conclusions

# At moderate densities perturbations appear in the electron temperature in the divertor



- A secondary region of elevated electron temperature measured 7 cm away from primary strike point
  - $T_e$ =20 eV in secondary peak
  - $T_e$ =10 eV around peak
- Without RMPs no such structure is seen



# Electron density shows less pronounced structure than electron temperature



- Electron density also shows structure which do not follow the flux surfaces calculated assuming axisymmetry
  - A region of elevated density is seen on the target just inside the region where elevated electron temperature is seen



# RMP induced perturbations peel away from the floor as density is increased



- Consistent with reduction of heat flux structure as density is increase
- Suggests that reduction in heat flux is likely caused by detachment of
  the lobe structures, rather than changes in the magnetic structure

Langmuir probes also show clear secondary peak only in lower density case



- scattering is at 120°
  - Secondary peaks are at different radial locations
- At higher density there is not clear secondary peak
  - J<sub>sat</sub> shows that higher density case is in **high recycling regime**



# EMC3-Eirene modeling also shows T<sub>e</sub> structures move away from the floor as density increases



- Non-axisymmetric structures in the electron temperature reach the target in lower densities simulations
- Higher density cases show that electron temperature drops before reaching the target
- Same magnetic configuration was used at all densities



# Simulations show T<sub>e</sub> reduction across the profile as the core density is raised



Inter-ELM

H-Mode

- At low densities  $\rm T_e$  within the lobes can be equal to or greater than  $\rm T_e$  at the primary strike outer point
- As density is raised  $\rm T_e$  profile is smoothed
- No recombination in simulations → No detachment

### Peaking at High Density





## Peaking at High Density



- Increasing S is thought to be the reason for the reduced characteristic
- Heat flux modelling using vacuum field approach with field line tracing and experiment are in agreement.

#### **Toroidal Peaking**

$$\sigma_{tor}(s) = \frac{\max(q(s))}{\langle q(s) \rangle}$$

s: Poloidal target coordinate.

• Reduction of toroidal peaking of the heat flux is described by the simple model.





- Introduction
- L-Mode and Inter-ELM H-Mode divertor heat load
- Divertor electron temperature and density distribution
- Divertor ELM deposited energy density
- Conclusions

## ELM Energy Density

- External magnetic perturbation is studied for ELM mitigation.
- Reduction of ELM deposited energy density is often observed to be correlated with reduction of the pedestal pressure and stored energy of the plasr ASDEX Upgrade, #32080



ASDEX Upgrade

H-Mode

#### ELM Energy Density

 H-Mode: ELM
 Semi empirical model describes the ELM deposited energy in dependence of the pedestal pressure. Model gives lower and upper boundaries (3:1).

 $\varepsilon_{\parallel} \approx \mathrm{K} \: 6\pi \: p_{e,ped} \: R_{Geo} \: q_{cyl} \quad \text{[K=1-3]}$ 

- Available data (JET, MAST, AUG) lies within the model boundaries.
- ELMs with and without magnetic perturbation are described by the model, within the scatter of the available data.
- **Proposal:** Assessment of ELM peak energy density reduction needs to correct for the pedestal pressure loss due to pump out.



### Conclusions



- Power fall-off length in L-Mode described by the same parametric dependence as in H-Mode, but with factor 2 in absolute size.
- 2D heat flux pattern with magnetic perturbation
  - L-Mode perpendicular heat transport is unaffected by magnetic perturbation.
  - Characteristic of 2D structure is reduce with increasing density, observed in DIII-D and ASDEX Upgrade.
  - Both EMC3-Eirene simulations as well as simple modelling reproduces reduced characteristic with increasing density / divertor broadening.
  - No change in magnetic structure required to describe the observed decrease of the 2D characteristic.
- ELM deposited energy density is correlated to the pedestal pressure, which needs to be accounted for in the assessment of ELM mitigation.

"This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission."