**Overview of EAST Experiments on the Development of High-Performance Steady-State Scenario** 

### **B.N. Wan on behalf of EAST team & collaborators**

Email: bnwan@ipp.ac.cn



ASIPP

**Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences** 

### **Collaborators**



### **Scenario Developments on EAST**



#### Step I

Improve heating efficiency and develop the SSO-relevant fundamental physics and key diagnostics;

#### **Step II**

Develop the SSO high performance plasma scenarios and demonstrate (≥100s) longpulse H-mode plasmas;







#### Step III

Optimize the SSO plasma and extend the EAST operation domain towards long-pulse, high beta, high power, high performance regime.

## Outline

#### Introduction

- Facility upgrade in support of steady-state long-pulse scenarios
- Exploration of Steady-State Plasma Operation with ITER-like Tungsten Divertor
  - Scenario development with RF dominated heating
  - Hot spot issues
- Progress of Key Physics Issues towards Steady-State Operation Regimes
  - LHCD at high density
  - RMP ELM control
  - Particle/power exhaust control
  - MCM physics at low collisionality
- Summary and Future Plans

## Outline

#### Introduction

- Facility upgrade in support of steady-state long-pulse scenarios
- Exploration of Steady-State Plasma Operation with ITER-like Tungsten Divertor
  - $\circ~$  Scenario development with RF dominated heating
  - Hot spot issues
- Progress of Key Physics Issues towards Steady-State Operation Regimes
  - o LHCD at high density
  - o RMP ELM control
  - Particle/power exhaust control
  - MCM physics at low collisionality
- Summary and Future Plans

## **EAST SSO Capabilities**





- Elevated capabilities in last two years allow EAST to play a key role for developing advanced SS scenarios
  - > Fully non-inductive CD, high bootstrap current fraction  $(f_{bs})$ .
  - Active control of ELM and stationary heat load on divertors

- LHCD 4+6 MW (2.45/4.6GHz)
  - Fast Electron Source
  - Edge Current Drive /Profile
- ◆ ICRH 6+6 MW (25-75MHz)
  - > Ion and Electron Heating
  - Central Current Drive
- **ECRH 2(4) MW (140GHz)** 
  - Dominant electron heating
  - > Steering mirror,  $j_{\phi}$  tailoring
- NBI 4+4 MW (co/counter, 80kV)
  - Sufficient power to probe β
    limit
  - Variable rotation/ rot-shear

# Outline

#### Introduction

- **Facility upgrade in support of steady-state long-pulse scenarios**
- Exploration of Steady-State Plasma Operation with ITER-like Tungsten Divertor
  - $\circ$  Scenario development with RF dominated heating
  - Hot spot issues
- Progress of Key Physics Issues towards Steady-State Operation Regimes
  - o LHCD at high density
  - o RMP ELM control
  - Particle/power exhaust control
  - MCM physics at low collisionality
- Summary and Future Plans

### Fully non-inductive, high $\beta_P$ long-pulse Hmode operations

- The goal is to develop fully non-inductive scenarios
  - High LHCD with moderate  $f_{bs}$
- Recent EAST results show that
  - Zero loop voltage is achieved at moderate density
  - Extension for ITER and CFTER
  - Optimization of P<sub>CD</sub>, η<sub>CD</sub> and f<sub>bs</sub>



Scatter plot of EAST  $\beta_P$  versus lineaveraged density of low loop voltage plasmas



## **Minute-scale H-mode operation (>60s)!**



- > Pure RF heating:
  - $P_{LHW, 2.45GHz}=0.4MW$  $P_{LHW, 4.6GHz}=2.1MW$  $P_{ICRF}=0.8MW$ ,  $P_{ECRH}=0.3MW$ ;
- Good confinement with H<sub>98(y2)</sub>~1.1;
- Good control of impurity level- assisted by ELMs and ECRH, and an edge coherent mode;
- Inter-ELM divertor heat flux ~3 MW/m<sup>2</sup>

ASIPP

## **Minute-scale H-mode operation (>60s)!**



> Pure RF heating:

 $P_{LHW, 2.45GHz}=0.4MW$  $P_{LHW, 4.6GHz}=2.1MW$  $P_{ICRF}=0.8MW$ ,  $P_{ECRH}=0.3MW$ ;

- Good confinement with H<sub>98(y2)</sub>~1.1;
- Good control of impurity level- assisted by ELMs and ECRH, and an edge coherent mode;
- Inter-ELM divertor heat flux ~3 MW/m<sup>2</sup>

#### **Core confinement for RF heated long-pulse fully noninductive H-mode plasmas**





- Stationary peaked T<sub>e</sub> profile was typically maintained in the series of long pulse modes on EAST
- Power balance analysis shows the significantly reduced  $\chi_e$  in plasma core
- The core T<sub>e</sub> profile meets the ITB criterion [G. Gresset, NF 2002]
  - $> \rho_{Te}^{*}(max) = 0.02 > \rho_{ITB}^{*} \sim 0.014$
- The improved confinement was sustained very stably for tens of seconds!

# W transport in RF-dominated ELMy H-mode

#### **ASIPP RF** heating plays a crucial role in regulating impurity exhaust



### ELM suppression by RMP in long pulse H-mode with W divertor operation!





- ELM suppression in longpulse (~ 20s) operational senario was realized with small effect on plasma performance (H<sub>98</sub>>1)
- Using n=1 RMP with optimized spectrum
- Clear pump-out effect on W, which is helpful for sustaining long-pulse high-performance
- Compatible with long-pulse RF-heated H-mode plasmas

EX/P7-4; EX/P7-10

## Hot spot issue and solutions



- Strong hot spots observed on the guard limiter of 4.6GHz LHCD antenna: limiting the pulse length due to strong impurity influx and damage to the limiter
- Global parameter scan identified a threshold LHCD power was around 2.5~3.0MW
- Possible mitigation from rotating RMP was observed by tuning the particle flux hitting on the guard limiter
- New guard limiter design was proposed with inclined surface to lessen direct particle deposition on the surface





# Outline

#### Introduction

- Facility upgrade in support of steady-state long-pulse scenarios
- Exploration of Steady-State Plasma Operation with ITER-like Tungsten Divertor
  - $\circ~$  Scenario development with RF dominated heating
  - Hot spot issues
- Progress of Key Physics Issues towards Steady-State Operation Regimes
  - LHCD at high density
  - o RMP ELM control
  - Particle/power exhaust control
  - MCM physics at low collisionality

Summary and Future Plans

## **Higher CD driving at 4.6GHz**



- HXR measurement suggested higher current drive capability at 4.6GHz than 2.45GHz;
- Less parametric instability (PI) behavior with 4.6GHz wave

### LHCD still effective at high density in Hmode



- Even if at n<sub>e</sub>~4.5×10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>, part of current is still driven by LHW!
- Simulation show that N upshift improves accessibility of LHW at high density. EX/P7-5



**ECRH** plays a crucial role for achieving high-performance H-mode plasmas on EAST

ASIPP

## **Profile control with LH spectrum tuning**



The best CD effect is obtained with  $N_{\prime\prime}^{peak}=2.04$ 

li in 49464  $(N_{//}^{peak}=2.04)$  is the largest, indicating more current is driven in the core region compared to other cases.

GENRAY/CQL3D simulation suggest different driven current profiles, qualitatively consistent with the experiments.

ASIPP

## ELM suppression with low *n* RMP





ELM suppression with *n*=1 RMP in slow-rotating RF heated plasmas

[Sun Y. *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 115001 (2016)]  $\checkmark \Omega_{\varphi} \sim 0$ 



- Full ELM suppression was accessed using low n RMP in low rotating plasma with RF dominant heating in EAST
  - ✓ 3MW LHCD + 1MW ICRF
  - ✓ *n*=1, 2 RMP

19

## Nonlinear plasma response observed





- Linear plasma response determines the best spectrum for ELM suppression.
- Nonlinear plasma response suggests that a critical level of magnetic topological change taking into account plasma response plays a key role in accessing final ELM suppression

#### EX/P7-4

# **Control of 3D divertor footprint**





Allowing further heat flux control using 3D footprint with regulated divertor conditions.

EX/P7-10; TH/P6-20

FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM

# Active control of particle exhaust





#### ≻LSN, C-divertor, Bx∇B↓





### New Stationary Small/No ELM H-Mode Regime at Low Collisionality



- ➤ A new stationary small/no ELM Hmode at low collisionality (v<sup>\*</sup><sub>e</sub> < 1)</p>
- ► Good energy confinement,  $H_{98(y,2)} \gtrsim 1.1$ ,
- A low-n (mostly n=1 and sometimes n=2) electro-Magnetic Coherent Mode (MCM) at 30-60 kHz in the pedestal region.



- MCM frequency appears to be located in the TAE gap near the local trappedthermal-electron bounce frequency
- Frequency scales linearly with the local Alfv én frequency, indicating the possibility of trapped-electron-driven TAE mode through bounce resonance with trapped thermal electrons. 23

# **ELM pacing with LHCD modulation**







Da (a.u.)

- LHCD-induced flattening of density profile near the separatrix and pedestal density pump-out have been observed.
- Density gradient is steepened near the pedestal top, causing pedestalpressure-gradient increase that may be responsible for the ELM **EX/10-2** triggering.



Vacuum-field modeling of LHCDinduced 3D magnetic topology change indicates that the flat-density-profile region and its radial width expansion are largely consistent with those of the LHCD-induced edge stochastic magnetic field layer, which may explain the observed density profile change, similar to the effect of RMPs.

# Outline

#### Introduction

- Facility upgrade in support of steady-state long-pulse scenarios
- Exploration of Steady-State Plasma Operation with ITER-like Tungsten Divertor
  - $\circ~$  Scenario development with RF dominated heating;
  - Hot spot issues
- Progress of Key Physics Issues towards Steady-State Operation Regimes
  - LHCD at high density
  - o RMP ELM control
  - Particle/power exhaust control
  - MCM physics at low collisionality
- Summary and Future Plans

## **Summary**

Ø

- Stationary RF-heated long-pulse H-mode operations (>60s !) were achieved on EAST with progress in the *relevant physics* in support of SSO on *tungsten divertor* 
  - Extension of the SSO towards high  $\beta_P$  regime (up to 1.8);
  - Achievement of *low-n* (1, 2) *RMP ELM suppression* in the RF dominant slow-rotating long-pulse H-mode plasma (~20s); Observation of the *first evidence of a nonlinear transition* from mitigation to suppression of the ELMs by using RMPs;
  - Extension of the *current drive in high-density domain* (up to 4.5×10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>) with 4.6 GHz and 2.45GHz LHCD systems together;
  - Regulating heat deposition distribution and reducing transient peak heat fluxes on the divertor and PFCs by applying 3D magnetic perturbations at the plasma boundary.
  - Discovery of *a new stationary ELM-stable H-mode*  $(H_{98(y,2)} \gtrsim 1.1, \upsilon_{e,ped}^* < 1)$  *regime*, which exhibited a *low-n MCM* at the pedestal.

### **Future Plans**



### Step I

 Improve heating efficiency and develop the SSOrelevant fundamental physics and key diagnostics;

#### **Step II**

 Develop the SSO high performance plasma scenarios and demonstrate (≥100s) longpulse H-mode plasmas;

### **Step III**

 Optimize the SSO plasma and extend the EAST operational domain towards long-pulse, high β, high power, high performance regime.

## **List of EAST Contributions**

- > OV/2-2 B.N. Wan: Overview of EAST Experiments on the Development of High-Performance Steady-State Scenario
- EX/4-3 A.M. Garofalo: Development of High Poloidal β Steady-State Scenario with ITER-Like W Divertor on EAST
- **EX/10-2 G.S. Xu:** ELM Pace-Making and Long-Pulse ELM-Stable H-Mode Operation with LHCD in EAST
- > EX/P7-2 X. Gao: Key Issues towards Long Pulse High Operation on EAST Tokamak
- > EX/P7-4 Y. Sun: ELM Suppression Using Resonant Magnetic Perturbation in EAST
- EX/P7-5 B. J. Ding: Recent Experimental and Modelling Advances in the Understanding of Lower Hybrid Current Drive in ITER-Relevant Regimes
- **EX/P7-7 B. Lyu:** Experimental Study of Radio-Frequency Driven Spontaneous Rotation for High-Performance Plasmas on EAST
- **EX/P7-8 X.J. Zhang:** Heating and Confinements by the Waves in the Ion Cyclotron Range of Frequencies on EAST
- **EX/P7-10 L. Wang:** Evidence and Modelling of 3D Divertor Footprint Induced by Lower Hybrid Waves on EAST with Tungsten Divertor Operations
- EX/P7-12 X.D. Zhang: Fishtail Divertor: A New Divertor Concept on EAST for Active Control of Heat Load on Divertor Plate
- > EX/P7-15 G. Li: Predictions of the Baseline Operation Scenario in Chinese Fusion Engineering Test Reactor
- > EX/P7-16 W. X. Ding: Current Transport and Density Fluctuations at L-H Transition on EAST
- > TH/P6-19 T. Y. Xia: Divertor Heat Flux Simulations in ELMy H-Mode Discharges of EAST and Other Tokamaks
- TH/P6-20 J. Huang: EMC3-EIRENE Simulations for the Impact of External Magnetic Perturbations on EAST Edge Plasma
- **FIP/1-1 P. Fu:** Recent Progress of ITER Package in ASIPP
- **FIP/P4-21 Z. Song:** Research and Development Progress of the ITER PF Converter System
- > MPT/1-2Ra G.-N. Luo: Overview on Decade Development of Plasma-Facing Components at ASIPP

### Welcome to the poster session for further discussions!







# **Backup slides**

# **Diagnostics for key profiles**



- Polarimeter Interferometer (POINT): n<sub>e</sub>, j<sub>φ</sub>, q, B<sub>p</sub> profiles
- **Core & edge TS:**  $T_e$ ,  $n_e$
- AXUV & Bolometer: radiation
- **CXRS & XCS: T<sub>i</sub>, rotation**
- **SXPHA & ECE:** T<sub>e</sub>
- **Reflectometry: pedestal n**<sub>e</sub>
- **He-BES:** edge n<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>e</sub>
- **Recip.-LPs:** SOL n<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>e</sub>, flow
- **Bremsstrahlung: Z**<sub>eff</sub>
- **FIDA:** V<sub>fast-particle</sub>
- **High speed CCD**
- **IR camera:** heat flux
- **Div-LPs:** div. particle/heat flux
- **Total: >70 diagnostics**



- Using POINT measurement as constraint, accurate q profile were derived
- Powerful tools for developing scenarios

#### **EAST Experiment Shows New L-H Transition Mechanism**





**Shift accelerates** at the transition, accompanied by turbulence suppression.

**Eddy tilt increase** as approaching the L-H transition.

**First direct observation of L-H** transition mediated by turbulence k<sub>r</sub> spectral shift and eddy tilting.



Reciprocating Langmuir probe array

(a)

3.9955

(d) t = 3.994 s

0

k\_ (rad/cm)

-2

-6

-8

-5

-10

3.996

5

32 G.S. Xu, PRL 116, 095002 (2016)

### **Core confinement improvement and ELM effect for long pulse H-mode operation**





Adding additional central heating power i.e. ECR, is critical for keeping high performance



### Low *n*=1 intrinsic error field measured in EAST





- > The measured n=1 intrinsic error field is of the order  $B_{2/1}/B_0 \sim 10^{-5}$ .
- The amplitude depends on the RMP configuration used, which agrees with linear plasma response modeling by MARS-F.
  - ✓ Non-Resonant:  $B_{2/1}/B_0 \sim 0.6 \times 10-5$  (better coupling)
  - ✓ Resonant:  $B_{2/1}/B_0 \sim 4.4 \times 10-5$