







Fuel Retention and Erosion of Metallic Plasma-Facing Materials under the Influence of Plasma Impurities

A. Kreter¹, L. Buzi^{1,2,3}, G. De Temmerman^{2,4}, T. Dittmar¹, R.P. Doerner⁵, Ch. Linsmeier¹, D. Nishijima⁵, M. Reinhart¹ and B. Unterberg¹

 ¹ Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung – Plasmaphysik, Partner in the Trilateral Euregio Cluster (TEC), 52425 Jülich, Germany
² FOM Institute DIFFER - Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, Edisonbaan 14, 3439 MN, PO Box 1207, 3430 BE Nieuwegein, The Netherlands
³ Gent University, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 41, B-9000, Gent, Belgium
⁴ ITER Organization, Route de Vinon sur Verdon, 13115 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France
⁵ Center for Energy Research, University of California at San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0417, USA

> 25th Fusion Energy Conference (FEC 2014) Saint Petersburg, Russia 15 October 2014







Crucial issues for reactor availability

- Erosion of plasma-facing components
 - ⇒ Limited lifetime of plasma-facing components
- ✤ Fuel retention in bulk wall material and deposited layers
 - ⇒ Accumulation of radioactive tritium in vacuum vessel (amount of in-vessel retained tritium is limited in ITER due to safety regulations to ~1kg)

First wall materials in ITER

- Beryllium for main chamber wall
- Tungsten for divertor and baffle

Impurities in reactor

- Helium from D-T reactions
- Impurity seeding for edge plasma cooling, argon is one of the candidates
 - Influence of impurities needs to be investigated





Beryllium

- Erosion and fuel retention under influence of helium and argon
- Qualification of aluminium as possible substitute for beryllium in relevant studies

Tungsten

- ✤ Influence of the incident ion flux on fuel retention and surface morphology
- ✤ Fuel retention under influence of helium and argon

Experimental studies were performed in linear plasma devices PSI-2, PISCES-B and Magnum-PSI





Linear plasma device PSI-2 (FZJ)







Linear plasma devices **PISCES-B and Magnum-PSI**



PISCES-B (UCSD): compatible with beryllium



[R. P. Doerner et al, Phys. Scr. T111 (2004) 75]

(a) Vacuum pumps Movable plasma Magnum-PSI (FOM-DIFFER): (17500m³/h each ource high particle and heat loads DIFFER Magnetic coils (1.9T max) Fundamental Energy Research Plasma exposure Target exchange [G. De Temmerman et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 88 and analysis Target chamber (2013) 483] manipulator





Parameter	PSI-2	PISCES-B	Magnum-PSI	ITER divertor
Electron temperature	1 - 40 eV	3 - 50 eV	0.1 – 10 eV	~1 - 10 eV
EI. density	~10 ¹⁷ - 10 ¹⁹ m ⁻³	~10 ¹⁷ - 10 ¹⁹ m ⁻³	~10 ¹⁹ - 10 ²¹ m ⁻³	~10 ²⁰ - 10 ²¹ m ⁻³
Particle flux	~10 ²¹ - 10 ²² m ⁻² s ⁻¹	~10 ²¹ - 10 ²³ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	~10 ²³ - 10 ²⁵ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	~10 ²⁴ - 10 ²⁵ m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Particle fluence	up to ~10 ²⁷ m ⁻² per exposure	up to ~10 ²⁷ m ⁻² per exposure	up to ~10 ²⁷ m ⁻² per exposure	~10 ²⁶ - 10 ²⁷ m ⁻² per pulse (400 s)
Incident ion energy	10 - 300 eV (negative bias)	10 - 300 eV (negative bias)	1 - 300 eV (negative bias)	~10 eV
Wall (sample) temperature	300 - 2000 K	300 - 2000 K	300 - 2000 K	500 - 1300 K
Special features		Beryllium compatibility	High particle flux	

> Transients (ELMs, disruptions) can be simulated by laser or pulsed plasma irradiation

- > Fluence per experiment is ~10x 100x higher than in present pulsed tokamaks
- > Exposure parameters can be pre-selected to simulate particular ITER conditions





Erosion and fuel retention of beryllium and aluminium





PISCES-B / PSI-2 exposure conditions

- Controlled Ar or He seeding 0-100% (controlled by spectroscopy: uncertainty in Ar fraction due to presence of Ar²⁺ and ArD⁺)
- Steady-state and reproducible plasma
- Γ_i ~ 10²² m⁻²s⁻¹
- Φ ~ 1·10²⁶ m⁻²
- E_i = 40-100 eV
- T_s = 350±30 K
- Be (press-sintered Brush Wellman S-65C) and Al targets

Diagnostics and sample analysis

 Erosion from target is measured by spectroscopy and mass loss

PISCES-B data published in A. Kreter et al, Phys. Scr. T159 (2014) 014039

PISCES target in plasma



PSI-2 target in plasma





Surface morphology of Be and Al after exposure to D/Ar plasma



Beryllium in PISCES-B





Aluminium in PSI-2

Fine-scale grass-like structure in pure D plasma Gradual smoothing out of surface with increase of Ar fraction





Aluminium in PSI-2



10 µm

Beryllium in PISCES-B in pure helium plasma [R. Doerner et al., JNM 455 (2014) 1]



Helium does not suppress formation of grass-like structure, unlike argon



Measured and calculated sputtering yields in deuterium-argon plasma



agreement



- Reduced erosion of Be and Al in pure D plasma due to rough grass-like surface and dilution of subsurface layer by deuterium
- Admixture of Ar to D plasma recovers erosion to expected values



Measured and calculated sputtering yields in deuterium-helium plasma





➢ Discrepancy also for pure He plasma → rough grass-like surface still present Influence of surface roughness on effective sputtering



 Rough grass-like structures can significantly reduce effective sputtering yield



Deuterium retention in Be and Al under influence of argon and helium





- Different TDS spectra of AI and Be
 - Typical several-peak structure for beryllium incl. low-temperature supersaturation peak
 - \circ Single broad peak for aluminium
- ✤ Different behaviour of retention AI and Be under influence of argon
- > Aluminium cannot be used as beryllium surrogate for fuel retention studies





Deuterium retention in tungsten as function of incident ion flux

Incident ion flux for this study:Magnum-PSI $\approx 5 \times 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PSI-2 $\approx 1 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ Incident ion fluence kept constantby longer exposures in PSI-2 !





SEM images of tungsten exposed to

low flux in PSI-2



high flux in Magnum-PSI



At high flux, blistering occurred for $T_s > 800 \text{ K}$!

[L. Buzi et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 455 (2014) 316]



Blistering and deuterium retention in tungsten exposed to different ion fluxes





The presence of blisters correlates with the total amount of retained deuterium

At low and moderate exposure temperatures: higher retention for lower flux

Deuterium retention for different ion fluxes

At high exposure temperatures: higher retention for higher flux

[L. Buzi et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 455 (2014) 316]





Deuterium retention in tungsten under influence of helium and argon



Deuterium retention in tungsten under influence of helium and argon



Thermal desorption spectra (TDS) of tungsten exposed to mixed plasmas



Total amount of deuterium retained in exposed tungsten





Effect of helium:

- Total deuterium retention is reduced by a factor of 3
- Nano-size bubbles observed by TEM in depth up to ~10 nm

Effect of argon:

- Total deuterium retention slightly increased
- TDS spectra show different shapes
 - \rightarrow Change in trapping sites due to material damage by argon
- [M. Reinhart et al., PSI 2014 Kanazawa, submitted to JNM]





Beryllium

- Erosion of beryllium and aluminium exhibits similar features
 - Pure D plasma: Fine-scale grass-like structures, reduced measured sputtering yield than calculated (factor ~10)
 - Addition of Ar: Grass-like structures are suppressed, sputtering increases to calculated values
- ✤ Mechanisms of deuterium retention in aluminium are different than in beryllium

Tungsten

- Relation of retention for low and high fluxes is temperature-dependent
- ✤ Blistering occurred for high flux at surface temperatures of >800 K
- Helium significantly reduces deuterium retention in tungsten, while argon slightly increases it



Jülich beyond TEXTOR: integral concept on plasma-material interaction in nuclear environment



- Development and integrated characterization of thermo-mechanical and physicalchemical properties of neutron irradiated and toxic plasma-facing materials under high heat loads and plasma exposure
- Focus on material optimization for plasma-material interaction processes (tritium retention, embrittlement, erosion)

