

V.V. Plyusnin (1), C. Reux (2), V.G. Kiptily (3), A.E. Shevelev (4), J. Mlynar (5), M. Lehnen (6), P.C. de Vries (6), E.M. Khilkevitch (4), A. Huber (7), G. Sergienko (7), R.C. Pereira (1), D. Alves (1), B. Alper (3), U. Kruezi (7), S. Jachmich (8), A. Fernandes (1), M. Brix (3), V. Riccardo (3), L. Giacomelli (9), C. Sozzi (10), S. Gerasimov (3), A.Manzanares (11), E. de La Luna (11), A. Boboc (3), H.R. Kozlowski (7), G. F. Matthews (3), F. Saint-Laurent (2) and JET contributors\*

JET-EFDA Culham Science Centre OX14 3DB, Abingdon, UK

(1) Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal; (2) CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France:

(3) CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK;

(4) A.F. loffe Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg, 194021, Russia;

(5) Association EURATOM/IPP.CR, IPP AS CR, CZ-18200 Prague, Czech Republic;

(6) ITER Organization, Route de Vinon sur Verdon - CS 90 046 - 13067 St Paul Lez Durance, France;

(7) Institute for Energy Research - Plasma Physics, FZ Juelich, Trilateral Euregio Cluster, 52425 Juelich, Germany;

### INTRODUCTION

- High-energy runaway electrons (RE) generated in major disruptions could result in severe melting of the Be-based plasma-facing components (PFC) in ITER. Therefore, an avoidance or suppression of RE generation is a task of high priority for ITER
- Massive Gas Injection (MGI) from specially designed Disruption Mitigation Valve (DMV) is considered to be the most promising candidate method for suppression of RE generation and disruption mitigation [1].
- The latest results on studies of RE generation during disruptions in JET with full-metal ITER-like wall (JET-ILW) are presented. The database on RE generated at major disruptions in JET with carbon-fibre composite (CFC) tiles (JET-C) [2-4] has been used as reference for development of disruption scenarios for RE generation study in JET-ILW [5].
- Experiments provided new results on disruption physics and sufficient data for comparison to JET-C results. The influence of MGI and ITER-Like wall conditions on RE generation process is analysed. Large RE current densities (up to 1.5 MA/m<sup>2</sup>) have been evaluated from measured data.

### MASSIVE GAS INJECTION SYSTEM AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP



Disruption Mitigation Valve (DMV) Setup for Massive Gas Injection at JET and layout of MHD diagnostics DMV parameters:

- Injection volume 650 ml maximum pressure 36 bar:
- Injected Particles = 2.5x10<sup>23</sup> ~ 100x Ne tot;
- Injected species: Ar, Ne, He, D<sub>2</sub>, Ar/D<sub>2</sub>, Ne/D<sub>2</sub>

The number of injected argon or  $Ar+D_2$  atoms has been varied from (4-6)\*10<sup>22</sup> to

(21-24)\*10<sup>22</sup>. The total number of injected argon atoms in GIM scenarios did not exceed (2+8) ×10<sup>20</sup> particles. The L-mode target plasmas in divertor and limiter configurations were used: 1.2 MA  $\leq I_{pl} \leq$  2 MA; 1 T  $\leq B_0 \leq$  3 T; 1.E19  $\leq n_e \leq$  4.5E19 m<sup>3</sup>, and central T<sub>e</sub> ~ 1.5+3 keV immediately preceding the MGI

### **DISRUPTION PHYSICS STUDY**



Disruption of JPN#85978 triggered by MGI with 100% of Ar in DMV1. Left chart general view of plasma parameters evolution; Right chart - detailed view of plasma parameters evolution during thermal quench stage.



neutron and HXR measurements, and CsI detector for HXR registration



(9) Department of Physics, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

ILW.

(8) Laboratoire de Physique des Plasmas-Laboratorium voor Plasmafysica, ERM/KMS, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium;



limiter (boxes) configurations in JET-(circles) and JET-C (diamonds, [3]) vs. toroidal field value Bo

## **EFFECT OF MGI ON RUNAWAY ELECTRON PARAMETERS**



40 60 Ar fraction in DMV, % 1E+5 2E+5 Normalized HXR and neutron yields vs. RE currents and impurity gas (Ar)

quantity at MGI in JET-ILW; Comparison of normalized neutron yields for divertor and limiter configurations at GIM and MGI in JET-C; RE generation in JET-C & JET-ILW MGI & GIM injection @ Divertor & Lim

JET-C MGI@divertor
JET-ILW MGI@divertor vs. P<sub>Ar</sub>
JET-ILW MGI@limiter vs. P<sub>Ar</sub>

2.0E+0

ag 1.0E+



# is inferred from the following equation: $2\pi R_0 E_{0//}(t) + L_p \frac{dL_p}{dt} + 0.5 * I_p \frac{dL_p}{dt} = 0$ .

Total plasma inductance is not constant:  $L_p(t)=\mu_0 \cdot R_0 \cdot (t)(ln(8R_0(t)/a_p(t))+l_i(t)/2-7/4)$ Therefore, plasma current decay should be depending on Lp evolution during disruptions. As well the current peeling effect is the result of plasma motion:



**RE GENERATION PROCESS MAPPING IN JET WITH ILW** 

0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020

have beer 1.5E+0

#### 5.0E+5 0.0E+0 1E+8 4E+8 5E+8 2E+8 3E+8 dInla/dt\_max, A/se

IET-C GIM@limite JET-C MGI@limiter IET-C MGI@limiter vs P<sub>Ar</sub>

RE generation trends in JET-C and JET-ILW for GIM and MGI cases of disruption triggering;

**OPERATION WITH TWO DMVs - A STUDY OF DISSIPATION EFFECTS OF MGI ON EXISTING RE BEAMS** 



# DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF RUNAWAY ELECTRONS The BGO spectrometer data has been processed using the DeGaSum code [6]



JPN#85978: HXR spectra and Runaway Electron Distribution Functions (REDF) at the beginning of RE current plateau (t=20.024-20.035 s, left chart) and at the end of RE plateau (t=20.035-20.045, right chart). Measured HXR spectra (in red), compared to the spectra (in green) inferred from reconstructed REDF (in blue) the loss of high-energy RE population is detected.

JPN #85978 ent of RE denisty distribution vs. Energy E 1E+19

15 20 25 30

 $\gamma = \sqrt{(1 + (e / mc \cdot \int E_{\parallel}(t) dt)^2)}$ 

1E+14

5. × 10

RE density vs. energy has been analysed using measured plasma RE current plateau and plasma current decay rate. In this assessment the substitution effect of plasma current by RE current and spatial plasma geometrv evolution

included

R/L plasma column model [4]

MHD activity in disruptions: Left chart - JPN#85445 - JET-ILW, divertor configuration; Right chart - JPN#79414 - JET-C, limiter configuration, in this pulse the DMV was triggered on t=22.0484 sec (not shown); Each diagram presents the pair of signals from MHD sensors placed in each octant of JET (1-2 (red & blue), 3-4, 5-6 and 7-8). Signals are plotted and numbered according to counter-clockwise direction in JET plasmas - in direction of electron flow.



THERMAL QUENCH STUDY: SXR tomography of TQ of disruptions in JET-C (JPN#54047, slow injection of Ar from GIM) and JET-ILW (JPN#85020, MGI). Reconstructions were performed during TQ stage immediately at the beginning of current bump

### HXR AND NEUTRON DIAGNOSTICS

5 scintillation time-resolved HXR monitors and neutron rate fission chamber monitors ( $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{238}\text{U}$ ) at 3 different locations (Oct. 2,6,8) operating in a current mode with 0.1 ms time resolution. Horizontally and vertically viewing Nal(TI), Bi<sub>4</sub>GeO<sub>12</sub> (BGO, Oct. 8) and LaBr<sub>3</sub> spectrometers. JET neutron/gamma profile monitor comprises 2 cameras, vertical and horizontal, with 9 and 10 lines



Disruption scenarios development for RE generation studies in JET with ILW

First attempts to achieve the suppression of existing RE plateau with the aid of direct MGI into beam channel from the second DMV (in Octant 3, using D<sub>2</sub>, argon and krypton) were hindered by poor penetration of the injected gas into the core of RE current-carrying channel. MGI into TQ allowed complete suppression of RE generation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by EURATOM and carried out within the framework of the European Fusion Development Agreement and of the Contract of Association between the EURATOM and Instituto Superior Tecnico and has also received financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal, Also this work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission or the ITER Organization.

REFERENCES [1] M. LEHNEN et al, NUCLEAR FUSION 53 (2013) 093007; [2] V RICCARDO et al, PLASMA PHYS. CONTROL. FUSION 52 (2010) 124018; [3] V.V. PLYUSNIN et al, NUCLEAR FUSION 46 (2006) 271; [4] V.V. PLYUSNIN et al, "Latest progress in studies of runaway electrons in JET" 24th IAEA EC 2012, San Diego, USA [5] C. REUX et al. "Runaway beam studies during disruptions at JET-ILW" PSI2014: [6] A. SHEVELEV et al, NUCLEAR FUSION 53 (2013) 123004 [7] K.O. ALEYNIKOVA et al. 40th EPS Conference on Plasma I

vladislav.plyusnin@ipfn.ist.utl.pt



25th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference 13-18 October 2014, Saint Petersburg, RUSSIA

V.V. Plyusnin (1 of 1)