TH/7-1 Multi-phase Simulation of Alfvén Eigenmodes and Fast Ion Distribution Flattening in DIII-D Experiment

> Y. Todo (NIFS, SOKENDAI) M. A. Van Zeeland (GA) A. Bierwage (JAEA) W. W. Heidbrink (Univ. California, Irvine) M. E. Austin (IFS, Univ. Texas at Austin)

25th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference (October 13-18, 2014, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation)



## Anomalous Flattening of Fast ion Profile on DIII-D



- Anomalous flattening of the fast-ion profile during Alfvén-eigenmode activity
- A rich spectrum of TAEs and RSAEs with reversed q profile in current ramp-up phase

# Theoretical studies related to the DIII-D experiments

- Alfvén eigenmodes
  - An excellent agreement in  $\delta$ Te profile between NOVA prediction (TAE mode) and ECE measurement [Van Zeeland (2009)]
  - Shearing of 2D AE profile was compared with TAEFL code [Tobias (2011)]
  - EP nonperturbative effects on TAE profile and freq. [Wang (2013)]
  - Validation of GK codes on transition from RSAE to TAE [Spong (2012)]
- EP transport
  - Multiple low amplitude modes (dB/B~10^-4) can account for significant modification of fast ion distributions [White (2010)]
  - Modeling of fast ion losses and stability of AE modes [Van Zeeland (2011, 2012)]
- Nonlinear simulations [Vlad (2009), Y. Chen (2013)]

No studies have ever tried a comprehensive simulation of AE amplitude and EP transport !



- AE stability and amplitude depend on EP distribution
- EP transport depends on AE amplitude
- AEs and EP distribution should be solved in a selfconsistent way. Difficulty arises from a gap between time scales:
  - slowing down time ~ 0.1-1 s, AE period ~ 10^-5 s

Life of an energetic particle: idea of multi-phase simulation



- in the slowing down process, energetic particle resonates with multiple AEs
- resonance regions have finite width ( $\Delta v$ ) in velocity space
- interaction with AEs can be simulated at intervals shorter than  $\tau_s^{\ *}\Delta v/v$

## **Multi-phase Simulation**

[Y. Todo, Nucl. Fusion 54, 104012 (2014)]

Classical	Hybrid	Classical	Hybrid				
4ms	1ms	4ms	1ms				
			Classical	Hybrid	Classical	Hybrid	
			4ms	1ms	4ms	>1ms, until a ste state appears	eady

- Hybrid simulation of energetic particles and an MHD fluid
- Multi-phase simulation =
  - classical simulation w/o MHD perturbations for 4ms
  - EP-MHD hybrid simulation for 1ms; performed alternately
  - reduce computational time to 1/5

# Objectives

- Multi-phase simulation of a DIII-D experiment (#142111) and validation on
  - -anomalous flattening of fast ion profile
  - electron temperature fluctuation:
     frequency, spatial profile, and amplitude
- Analysis of fast ion transport process in the simulation result

# An extended MHD model coupled with energetic particles

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) + v_{n} \Delta (\rho - \rho_{eq}),$$
  

$$\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{MHD} = -\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{MHD} + \rho \mathbf{v}_{pi} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}) - \nabla p + (\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}'_{h}) \times \mathbf{B}$$
  

$$+ \frac{4}{3} \nabla (v \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{MHD}) - \nabla \times (v \rho \vec{\omega}),$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} , \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left[ p(\mathbf{v}_{\text{MHD}} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{tor}}) \right] - (\gamma - 1) p \nabla \cdot \left[ p(\mathbf{v}_{\text{MHD}} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{tor}}) \right] + (\gamma - 1) \left[ v \rho \omega^2 + \frac{4}{3} v \rho (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{MHD}})^2 + \eta \mathbf{j} \cdot (\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}_{\text{eq}}) \right] + \chi \Delta (p - p_{\text{eq}}), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{v}_E \times \mathbf{B} + \eta (\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}_{eq}) , \qquad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{\text{MHD}} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pi}} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{tor}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{\text{pi}} = -\frac{m_i}{2e_i\rho}\nabla \times \left(\frac{p\mathbf{b}}{B}\right),$$
 (6)

$$\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{MHD}} \cdot \mathbf{b} \ , \ \mathbf{v}_{E} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{MHD}} - \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} \ , \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} , \quad \vec{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{\text{MHD}} , \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}/B , \qquad (8)$$

based on an
extended MHD
model given by
Hazeltine and
Meiss

EP effect

(1)

(2)

+ equilibrium
equilibrium

$$v=\eta/\mu_0=v_n=\chi=$$
  
5×10<sup>-7</sup>v<sub>A</sub>R<sub>0</sub>

# Frequency spectrum evolution in the experiment at t~525ms #142111



- AEs with n=1-5 are observed.
- In the simulation, energetic particle drive is restricted to n=1-5 to reduce numerical noise.

# Setup of simulation

- DIII-D discharge #142111 at t=525ms is investigated using an equilibrium data reconstructed with EFIT code.
- Realistic beam ion deposition profile (full, half, and third energy components) is given by TRANSP code.
- Collisions (slowing down, pitch angle scattering, energy diffusion) with realistic parameters are taken into account.
- Particle losses take place at the plasma boundary (r/a=1).
- 8 million particles are injected with constant time intervals in 150ms.
- Beam injection power is 6.25MW.

### Time evolution of stored fast ion energy and MHD kinetic energy



- Multi phase simulation: classical phase is run w/o MHD for 4ms and then hybrid phase is run with MHD for 1ms. This combination is repeated until stored fast ion energy is saturated at t=70ms.
- After t=70ms, the MHD fluctuation reaches to a steady level.

Comparison of fast ion pressure profiles (classical, multi-phase, exp.)



- Fast ion pressure profile flattening takes place in the multi phase simulation.
- The fast pressure profile in the multi-phase simulation is close to that in the experiment.

#### Fast ion distribution in velocity space



## Comparison among classical phase durations





two classical phase durations(4ms and 9ms) are compared

9ms+1ms

4ms+1ms

0.2

- very good agreement in fast ion pressure profile (top right)
- similar MHD fluctuation level (bottom)

0.4

r/a

0.6

0.8

10

8

6

4

2

0

0

## Bulk temperature fluctuation spectra at r/a=0.49 at t≥70ms



- Comparison in frequency (sim., exp.): n=1 (62kHz, 68kHz), n=3 (69kHz, 74kHz), n=4 (73kHz, 79kHz), n=5 (77kHz, 84kHz)
- n=2 mode is missing at the simulated moment in experiment<sub>15</sub>

# δTe Spatial Profiles in multi-phase simulation



#### Comparison of temperature fluctuation profile with ECE measurement for n=3



- good agreement in spatial profile (left)
- good agreement in **amplitude** within a factor of 2 (left)
- good agreement in phase profile (right)

#### Comparison of temperature fluctuation profiles with ECE measurement for n=4 and 5



- good agreement in spatial profile (left)
- good agreement in **amplitude** within 20% (left)
- good agreement (n=4) and reasonable agreement (n=5) in phase profile (right)

# Time evolution of fast ion energy flux profile



71.5

70.5

71.0 t [ms]

### Summary

- First comprehensive simulation that predicts
  - nonlinear saturated amplitude of AEs
  - and fast ion pressure profile consistent with measured values in experiment
- Temperature fluctuation profiles brought about by three of TAEs in the simulation are compared with experiment.
  - good agreement in radial profiles of amplitude and phase
  - good agreement in absolute amplitude within a factor of 2
- Steady and intermittent fast ion energy flux with avalanches
- The multi-phase simulation is useful for the prediction of AE activity and EP transport in burning plasmas