## Analysis of Ion Energy Spectrum and Spikes in ECRH TJ-II plasmas, with Fixed and Variable Magnetic Configuration

M. Martínez<sup>1</sup>, B. Zurro<sup>2</sup>, A. Baciero<sup>2</sup>, D. Jiménez-Rey<sup>2</sup>, V. Tribaldos<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganés, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT, Avenida Complutense 40, 28040 Madrid, Spain. <sup>3</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganés, Spain





**Figure 1.** Magnetic configuration scanning, from  $\iota_0 = 1.45$  $\Rightarrow \iota_0 = 1.39$ ; (dot line) Histograms of the suprathermal ions at different times, (solid line) fit distribution. Red arrows point the positions where spikes are observed.



An ion luminescent probe (LP) operated in counting mode was used to measure distributions of suprathermal ions in ECRH discharges, in the range from 1keV to 30keV. Different plasma scenarios were analysed; magnetic configuration scanning ( $\iota_0 = 1.45 \Rightarrow \iota_0 = 1.39$  and  $\iota_0 = 1.39 \Rightarrow \iota_0 = 1.45$ ) versus fixed magnetic configuration ( $\iota_0 = 1.39$  and  $\iota_0 = 1.45$ ), on-axis versus off-axis heating, different injection powers ( $P_{ECRH} = 100$ kW and 200kW) and the effects on suprathermal ion distribution of impurity (impurities LiF, BN and W) injection by laser blow-off. From the measured distributions, suprathermal ion temperature ( $T_{sp}$ ), energy losses by suprathermal ions ( $E_{sp}$ ) and the tails of the energy distributions were analysed, is seen that does not have the same behaviour in all setups. Figure 1 is an example where the magnetic configuration was scanned from  $\iota_0 = 1.45 \Rightarrow \iota_0 = 1.39$ . This example shows how magnetic configuration change along the discharge, also shows increases in the population of suprathermal ions (spikes), well localized at discrete energies. Figure 2 shows the dependence of  $T_{sp}$  with respect to  $E_{sp}$ , which depends on the setup used. For the case of the impurity injection by laser blow-off, there is a greater energy loss for W than for LiF or BN.





