

#### Non-linear MHD simulations for ITER

*G. Huijsmans*<sup>1</sup>, F. Liu<sup>1</sup>, A. Loarte<sup>1</sup>, S. Futatani<sup>3</sup>, F. Koechl<sup>4</sup>, M. Hoelzl<sup>5</sup>, A. Garofalo<sup>6</sup>, W. Solomon<sup>6</sup>, P.B. Snyder<sup>6</sup>, E. Nardon<sup>2</sup>, F. Orain<sup>2</sup>, M. Bécoulet<sup>2</sup> and JET contributors

# Non-linear MHD modelling of ELMs and their interaction with RMPs in rotating plasmas

M. Bécoulet<sup>2</sup>, F. Orain<sup>2</sup>, J. Morales<sup>2</sup>, X. Garbet<sup>2</sup>, G. Dif-Pradalier<sup>2</sup>,
C. Passeron<sup>2</sup>, G. Latu<sup>2</sup>, E. Nardon<sup>2</sup>, A. Fil<sup>2</sup>, V. Grandgirard<sup>2</sup>,
G. Huijsmans<sup>1</sup>, S. Pamela<sup>7</sup>, A. Kirk<sup>7</sup>, P. Cahyna<sup>8</sup>, M. Hoelzl<sup>5</sup>,
E. Franck<sup>5</sup>, E. Sonnendrücker<sup>5</sup>, B. Nkonga<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ITER Organization,<sup>2</sup>CEA/IRFM, <sup>3</sup>Ecole Centrale de Lyon, <sup>4</sup>TU Wien, <sup>5</sup>IPP/Garching, <sup>6</sup>General Atomics, <sup>7</sup>CCFE/Culham, <sup>8</sup>IPP-ASCR/Prague, <sup>9</sup>Universite de Nice

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the ITER Organization

### **ELM Control in ITER**

- Natural ELMs in ITER
  - -Exp. collisionality scaling:  $\Delta$ W=20 MJ
  - -Mitigation to 0.7MJ required
- ITER ELM control methods
  - -Magnetic perturbation coils (RMP)
  - -Pellet injection
- Alternatives?
  - -Vertical kicks (Y. Gribov, PPC/P3-21)
  - -QH-mode
- Physics basis for ITER
  - -Prediction natural ELM size requires simulations of multiple ELM cycles
  - Physics of RMP ELM mitigation
  - -Minimum pellet size for ELM trigger, pellet triggered ELM size
  - -Conditions for QH-mode in ITER



ITER ELM coils

#### Outline

- Introduction
  - -ITER ELM control
- Non-linear MHD code JOREK –MHD model, flows
- ELMs, multiple cycles – Diamagnetic flow
- ELM control:
  - -RMPs, ELM mitigation
  - -Pellet pacing in JET
  - -QH-mode in DIII-D

*TH/6-1Ra Huijsmans et al.* 

Becoulet et al.

TH/6-1Rb

- Narrow Scrape-off Layer MHD Stability in ITER
- Conclusions



#### Non-Linear MHD code JOREK

- 3D toroidal geometry (reduced) MHD code
  - -full domain, open-closed field lines, resistive wall, vacuum, PF coils
  - -developed within (mostly) European collaboration
- including flows: ion and electron diamagnetic flows, source of toroidal rotation, neoclassical poloidal viscosity
  - -divertor sheath boundary conditions







## Cea ELM Simulation: Mode Rotation



- Observations in MAST, AUG, KSTAR: ELM precursor rotating poloidally mainly in the electron diamagnetic (=ExB) direction
- ELM simulations with consistent poloidal, diamagnetic and parallel flows show linear ballooning mode (~precursor) moving in electron diamagnetic direction (~ $0.5\omega_e^*$ , in the lab frame, Vpol~20-40 km/s)
  - -mode rotation in ion diamagnetic direction in plasma frame (~ $0.5\omega_i^*$ )



- In the **non-linear phase**:
- -Reduction of flows
- Filaments are sheared off in the opposite (ion) direction due to nonlinear driven n=0 poloidal flow



### Cea ELM Simulations with Diamagnetic Flows IRfm

- Experimentally, ELM energy losses are predominantly (2:1) towards the inner divertor
- Previously, ELM MHD simulations (not including diamagnetic flow) yielded larger heat load asymmetry towards the outer divertor
- Including diamagnetic flows leads to a symmetric distribution (1:1) of ELM divertor heat loads



Cea MHD Simulation of Multiple ELM Cycles

- Without diamagnetic flow:
  - –residual MHD after ELM crash prevents pedestal rebuild => single ELM
  - -ELM size depends on initial conditions (no reliable ELM size predictions)
- Including diamagnetic flows: regular ELMy regime
  - -Stabilisation of residual MHD by diamagnetic flows allows pedestal rebuild
  - -Small high frequency ELMs (~500-2000 Hz)





- JET #77329, Error Field Correction Coils (EFCC), n=2
- Large discrete ELMs are replaced by small bursty eventscontinuous MHD
  - Due to non-linear coupling of toroidal harmonics by low-n RMP
  - Threshold in RMP coil current
- Divertor peak heat flux reduced up to factor ~10



[Becoulet, PRL2014]



china eu india japan korea russia usa © 2014, ITER Organization

**Cea ELM Mitigation by RMPs** 



- Ballooning mode structure of natural ELM changes to modes with tearing parity
  - Island chains form at q = m/n = 9/4, 14/6 and 15/6
  - Modes non-linearly driven through coupling with n=2 RMP fields



[Cahyna, TH/P6-1]

 The divertor footprints of the simulated mitigated ELMs mainly exhibit structures created by RMPs (here n=2), modulated by other toroidal harmonics of mitigated ELMs (n=4,6,8)

**Cea RMP Mitigated ELM Divertor Foot Prints** 

- Mitigated ELM perturbation not locked to RMP

RMP off: rotating footprints with main mode structure n=8 ELM



RMP(n=2)+ELMs: n=2,4,6,8

#### **Pellet Triggered ELMs in JET**



- Previous: pellet triggered ELM simulations in DIII-D
  - ELMs (ballooning modes) are triggered by local 3D pressure perturbation created by the pellet
    - Density perturbation moving with sound speed, faster parallel conduction
    - Reasonable agreement on minimum pellet size required for ELM trigger
- Here: complete cycle pellet triggered ELM in JET (#82885)
  - Pellets simulated as adiabatic moving density source using NGS ablation model
    - Pellet size 3.2x10<sup>20</sup>, speed 78 m/s , LFS-mid plane,  $I_p = 2.0$  MA,  $B_0 = 2.1$ T, W = 2.9 MJ



#### **Pedestal Dependence Pellet Triggered ELMs Size**

- "Predicted" ELM size 108kJ ( $\Delta$ W/W=4%) compares to 100-250kJ in JET #82885
- Experimentally, pellet triggered ELM size increases with time since previous ELM
- Non-linear MHD simulations show strong dependence of pellet triggered ELM energy loss on pedestal pressure gradient
  - No sharp transition from stable to unstable
  - This dependence (and imposed pellet frequency) determines the maximum sustainable pedestal gradient and possible performance penalty due to pellet pacing.



#### **MHD Simulations of DIII-D QH-mode Plasmas**

- Quiescent H-mode regime characterised by good confinement without ELMs
  - discovered in DIII-D, reproduced in AUG, JET, JT60-U
- Edge Harmonic Oscillation (EHO) induces density losses and allows a steady state H-mode
  - EHO assumed to be a saturated kink-peeling MHD mode
- DIII-D QH-modes approaching ITER-relevant conditions

#### **Can QH-mode be an option for ITER?**

- Towards validation of non-linear MHD simulations on DIII-D QH-mode plasmas
- Extrapolation to ITER



Guido Huijsmans et al., 25th IAEA FEC 2014, St-Petersburg



#### MHD Simulation of DIII-D QH-mode #145117

- DIII-D #145117 pedestal close (below) to kink-peeling ideal MHD stability limit
- JOREK simulations (ideal wall, no rotation) show saturated kink-peeling mode with dominant n=1 structure (3D stationary state)
  - n=5 mode most unstable mode, n=1 strong growth due to non-linear coupling
  - Bursting behavior found in some cases at high resistivity



Page 14

#### **EHO: Saturated Low-n Kink-peeling Mode**

Poincare

- Toroidal localisation, n=1-5 toroidal harmonics in phase
  - Localised density perturbation at the separatrix
  - Consistent with EHO observations
- Ergodic region (~5cm width in mid-plane)
  - Contributes to mode saturation?



0.08

0.05

0.12

0.16

perturbed

flux

0.2

#### **QH-mode Density Perturbation**

- ExB flows from external kink/peeling mode leading to a significant outflow of density
  - Reduction of pedestal density by ~25%
  - -Provides density/energy loss channel necessary for stationary ELM free H-mode



#### MHD Stability of Narrow SOL in ITER

- Scaling laws for the SOL width (in low-recycling regime) predict narrow SOL widths in ITER attached divertor conditions
  - Is there an MHD limit to the narrow SOL widths in ITER?
- MHD stability analysis shows ITER plasmas with a narrow 1.2mm SOL are MHD stable
  - Pressure gradient is below infinite-n ballooning limit at separatrix
  - Integrated pedestal/SOL MHD stability using JOREK code finds no local SOL MHD limit (n<50)</li>



#### Conclusions

- Nonlinear MHD simulations of ELMs
  - Regular ELMy regime (diamagnetic effects)
  - ELM precursor rotates in electron diamagnetic direction, filaments mostly in opposite direction
- RMP ELM mitigation
  - non-linearly driven by RMP modes with "tearing" like structure, providing reconnections with open field lines before large ELMs have time to develop
- Pellet triggered ELMs
  - reasonable agreement on ELM amplitude
  - pellet triggered ELM amplitude depends on phase in ELM cycle
- QH-mode
  - Simulation of DIII-D QH-mode plasmas show low-n saturated kink-peeling mode, with EHO-like features (density loss, toroidal localisation)
- SOL Stability
  - No MHD stability limit found for SOL widths down to 1.2mm in ITER
  - MHD not likely to limit ITER SOL width





