Overview of Gyrokinetic Studies on Electromagnetic Turbulence

P.W. Terry¹, D. Carmody¹, H. Doerk², W. Guttenfelder³, D.R. Hatch⁴, C.C. Hegna¹, A. Ishizawa⁵, F. Jenko ^{2,6}, W.M. Nevins⁷, I. Predebon⁸, M.J. Pueschel¹, J.S. Sarff¹, and G.G. Whelan¹

¹University of Wisconsin-Madison
²Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany
³Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
⁴Institute for Fusion Studies, University of Texas at Austin
⁵National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
⁶University of California at Los Angeles
⁷Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
⁸Conzorzio RFX, Padua, Italy



Recent Discoveries from Gyrokinetic Studies of Turbulence and Transport at Finite β

OV/5-1

This overview describes

- Discoveries concerning saturation of microinstabilities at finite β
 - -Effect of stable modes
 - -Effect of stable modes on magnetic fluctuations
 - -Modifications of zonal flows
 - -Effect magnetic configurations with short magnetic field scale lengths
- Comparative modeling across different magnetic configurations
 –Special focus: RFP <–> Tokamak
- Synthesis of saturation understanding, modeling and theory allow us to determine scaling behavior of critical β values for confinement effects

Key conclusions:

- Stable modes (nonlinearly excited) change saturation, transport
- Short magnetic length scales push critical β 's and gradients to higher values

Background

Finite β operation is highly desirable for fusion

•Fusion reactions rates, bootstrap current benefit from high β Finite β affects confinement as shown in prior gyrokinetic studies



- •Various instabilities arise Kinetic ballooning mode (KBM) Microtearing mode (MTM)
- •Overtake electrostatic modes Ion temperature gradient (ITG) Trapped electron mode (TEM)
- •Finite β affects saturation mechanisms Zonal flows decrease more slowly with β than ITG growth rate
- Damped modes saturate ITG What does finite β do to them?

Pueschel 2010

Outline



Saturation Studies Tearing parity stable mode Non zonal transition KBM

Modeling MTM in NSTX MTM in RFX MTM in MST TEM/ITG in MST

Scaling Analysis

Introduction: Gyrokinetics

Gyrokinetics: eliminate the fast gyrophase from the equations of motion

 \Rightarrow significant speed up



⇒gyrokinetic Vlasov, field equations Capabilities used:

- Nonlinear gyrokinetic equations
- Radially local simulations
- • δf approach
- Multiple geometries and equilibria
- •Electromagnetic, binary collisions
- •Codes: GENE, GYRO, GS2, GKV

Nonlinearity excites damped modes in unstable k-space range



In CBC ITG turbulence: O(10⁴) damped modes excited

Energy transfer: • High k modes (traditional cascade)

• Damped modes at same k

Damped modes: • Thousands excited

• Significant sink for saturation



Makwana 2014

A significant subset of damped modes have tearing parity

- Damped modes sample z, v₁₁
- Unstable mode: ballooning parity
- Damped modes: ballooning, tearing, mixed parities

Zonal flows catalyze transfer to tearing parity modes, leading to

- Stochastic field at low β
- Flutter-induced electron heat transport



Hatch 2012, 2013

Tearing parity modes: outward magnetic fluctuation-induced electron heat flux

Unstable (ITG) mode: inward flux (low k)

Tearing parity modes: outward flux at lowest k's and high k





OV/5-1

Away from $k_y \rho_s = 0.2$, flux not attributable to unstable mode

Not captured by quasilinear theory

Hatch 2013

20

40

0

x/ρ,

Above a critical β zonal flows are disabled and transport 'runs away' to high values

<u>у/р.</u>

-20

-40

-40

-20

- Very large fluxes
- $\beta_{crit}^{NZT} \simeq 0.9\%$ Cyclone base case

Zonal flows are disabled through magnetic field stochasticity

- Allows charge to stream from flux surfaces
- Confirmed by residual flow calculation

Pueschel 2013, Terry 2013



-20

0

x/ρ,

20

40

-40

 $eta_{
m crit}^{
m NZT}$ set by a form of overlap criterion

- •When $\Delta r_{1/2} \approx \lambda_{Bxx}$ • $\Delta r_{1/2}$ is radial displacement of perturbed \bowtie field $\langle B_x \rangle$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ poloidal turn • λ_{Bxx} is radial correlation length
- $\Delta r_{1/2}$ depends on gradients through $\langle B_x \rangle$ => β_{crit}^{NZT} increases with weaker gradients

$$\frac{\beta_{\text{crit}}^{\text{NZT}}}{\beta_{\text{crit}}^{\text{KBM}}} \propto \frac{1}{\left(\omega_T - \omega_{T,\text{crit}}\right)^{\xi/2}} \qquad (0.5 < \xi < 1)$$

where
$$\omega_T = \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \frac{R_0}{T}$$



β / %

Pueschel 2013

In absence of zonal flows (high β) kinetic ballooning mode saturates by developing particular structures



Ishizawa 2013

- Tokamak: twisted modes along the field line saturate KBM
- LHD: most unstable KBM has finite radial wavenumber, k_r
- => Saturation caused by nonlinear interactions between oppositely inclined finite k_r modes





KBM (beta=1.7%) regulated by oppositely inclined modes

OV/5-1

Transport at finite-beta

- Zonal flow of KBM turbulence is much weaker than that of ITG turbulence
- KBM turbulence is less effective in driving transport than ITG turbulence



Gyrokinetic simulation: MTM in standard tokamaks (Doerk 2011)

NSTX: MTM drives large χ_e (high β , high ν)

- Transport from magnetic "flutter" $\chi_{e,em} {\sim} v_{||,e} \delta B_r$
- Unclear what sets overall saturation and scaling of δB_r
- •Threshold in ∇T_e , or β_e • γ and χ_e depend on ν_e (timedependent thermal force)

• $\chi_e \sim v_e$ consistent with global confinement trends $\Omega \tau_E \sim v_*^{-1}$



- MTM: most unstable mode in transport barriers of helical states (QHS)
- Quasi-linear collisionless form of $\chi_e^{\sim}(\rho_e/L_{Te}) v_{th,e}L_c$, in good agreement with experiment
- Unstable for a/L_{Te} ~2.5 3 for typical values of β

MTM in the RFP is sensitive to grad-B/ curvature drifts in ω_d .

Collisionless MTMs exist, even neglecting trapped electron dynamics.

Retaining $\delta \phi$ is always destabilizing.



MTM is unstable in standard MST discharges at low θ Study with toroidal Bessel function equilibrium

Thresholds:

$$\beta = few \%$$

 $a/L_{Te} = 3 - 4$

Finite growth rate as collisionality -> 0 Requires weak to moderate shear Theory:

Start with DKE, take high freq. fluid limit

Instability as $v \rightarrow 0$ if $\phi \neq 0$

Enabled by $\omega_{
m De}$

($\omega_{\rm De}$ in RFP is larger than tokamak value by R/a)

 $\Theta = \langle B_{ heta}
angle^{\mathrm{wall}} / \langle B_{\phi}
angle^{\mathrm{vol}}$

OV/5-1

(low $\theta \Rightarrow$ low magnetic shear)



Carmody 2013

MST enhanced-confinement discharges show surprising absence of electrostatic turbulence

OV/5-1

Flat current profile (reduce global tearing) High θ (high shear) Instability in outer region (β small)

Gyrokinetic modeling (fitting experimental equilibrium): TEM/ITG



• At $\beta \sim 1 - 2\%$, discharge is below critical β for MTM, NZT, etc.

Saturated turbulence:

Large zonal flows

Large Dimits shift

Transport rates: weaker than experiment by x10 Mock up tearing mode activity using external magnetic perturbation at experimental level

- •Weaker zonal flow
- •Lower Dimits shift close to exp. gradient
- χ_e at experimental level

Key issue:

Despite relatively high β , RPF is below critical β for electromagnetic effects

Why?



Saturation at Finite βPreliminariesModelingCritical ThresholdsScaling Analysis

OV/5-1

RFP equilibrium has smaller length scales than standard tokamak

	Equilibrium	Scale of variation	Connection length	Safety factor q
Tokamak	$B_{\phi} >> B_{\theta}$	R	qR	q > 1
RFP	$B_{\phi} \approx B_{\theta}$	r	r	q < 0.2

Smaller equilibrium scales generally push instability thresholds to higher values Gyrokinetics: $1 = \binom{R}{2} = 1$ (for both electrostatic and electromagnetic)



Saturation at Finite βPreliminariesModelingCritical thresholdsScaling Analysis

In RFP all β thresholds for electromagnetic effects pushed to much higher values Primary causes:

Shorter magnetic shear scale lengths: Smaller *q*

$$\frac{L_{S_{Tok}}}{L_{S_{RFP}}} \sim q_{Tok} q_{0_{RFP}} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^2 \sim O\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)$$

OV/5-1

ITG stabilization
$$\beta \ge \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_T^2 \tau^2 \left[1 + \left(\varepsilon_T / q_0\right)^2 \right]^{-1} q_0^{-2} \left[(\tau + 2\varepsilon_n)(\tau + 1) + \tau^2 \eta_e \right]^{-1} \sim O\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \beta_{crit_{Tot}}$$

NZT $\frac{\beta_{crit_{RFP}}^{NZT}}{\beta_{crit_{Tok}}^{NZT}} \propto \left(\frac{R_0}{r}\right)^{1+\xi/2} q_{0_{Tok}}$ KBM $\beta_{crit_{RFP}}^{KBM} \sim 0.6 \frac{\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)}{q\left[\frac{R_0}{L_n} + \frac{R_0}{L_{T_e}} + \left(\frac{R_0}{L_n} + \frac{R_0}{L_{T_i}}\right)\frac{T_i}{T_e}\right]} >> \beta_{crit_{Tok}}^{KBM}$

Larger shear, smaller q push electromagnetic effects to higher β Higher critical gradients allow steeper gradients in experiment

Conclusions



Microturbulence at finite β subject to new effects

- Stable tearing parity fluctuations excited by ITG => electron heat transport
- Magnetic fluctuations can disable zonal flows => much higher transport
- •New instabilities arise (microtearing, kinetic ballooning mode)
- •Shorter magnetic scale lengths push these effects to higher gradients, beta

Saturation of microturbulence at finite β involves complex feedback loops, especially with zonal flows and magnetic fluctuations (both stable and unstable)

Have demonstrated:

- How interplay between instability, nonlinearly excited stable modes, zonal flows affects saturation and transport (variation of β changes balances to reveal physics)
- •Magnetic field scales push critical gradients and β to higher values