IAEA Coordinated Research Project on Challenges, Gaps and Opportunities for

Managing Spent Fuel from SMRs

Ms Amparo González-Espartero, PhD Technical Lead Spent Fuel Management Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Materials Section Department of Nuclear Energy, IAEA <u>a.g.espartero@iaea.org</u>

TM on the Management of Spent Fuel (Pebbles and Compacts) from HTGRs (7 - 11)



Nuclear Fuel Cycle Options

- For Nuclear power to be sustainable, the nuclear fuel cycle must remain
 economically viable and competitive through the optimization of the use of fissile materials in reactor cores or the recycling of valuable materials
- This results in different fuel cycle options, some already implemented and others may be deployed in the future
- Potential future synergies between LWR-SMRs and AMRs will bring new spectrum of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Options



Each Type of Reactor has an Associated Nuclear Fuel Cycle



IAEA-ARIS SMR Booklet 2024

Type of reactor	Number of reactors	Fuel Type	Fuel enrichment < 5%	Fuel enrichment 5% - 15%	Fuel enrichment > 15%	Fuel enrichment TBD	Burnup < 50 (GWd/ton)	Burnup > 50 - 100 (GWd/ton	Burnpup > 100 (GWd/ton)	Burnup TBD
Water cooled SMRs (UO2)	17	17 UO2 pellet	15	0	2	0	10	4	0	3
Water cooled SMRs (Cermet)	3	3 Cermet	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	2
Microreactors Water cooled	3	2 Cermet 1 UO2 pellet	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
High Temperature Gas Cooled SMR (TRISO in Pebble)	5	UO2 TRISO in pebbles	0	2	3	0	0	4	1	0
High Temperature Gas Cooled SMR (TRISO in Prismatic)	7	UO2 TRISO in compacts	0	4	3	0	3	0	3	1
High Temperature Gas Cooled SMR (Fast Neutron Spectrum)	2	1UC (not TRISO) 1HALEU pellet	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
Microreactors High Temperature Gas Cooled	6	UO2 TRISO in compacts	0	1	5	0	1	3	2	0
Liquid Metal Cooled Thermal Neutron Spectrum SMR	1	UO2 TRISO in compacts	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Liquid Metal Cooled Fast Neutron Spectrum SMR (Oxide) (2 Na, 2 Pb)	4	1 UO2 pellet 3 MOX pellet	0	0	4	0	0	3	1	0
Liquid Metal Cooled Fast Neutron Spectrum SMR (Metal) (3 Na)	3	3 U-Zr alloy	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0
Liquid Metal Cooled Fast Neutron Spectrum SMR (Nitride) (2 Pb)	2	1UN 1PuN-UN	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Microreactors- Liquid Metal cooled Fast	1	Metal fuel	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Microreactors- Liquid Metal cooled Thermal	1	U-Zr Hydride	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Molten Salt SMR (Thermal)	7	molten salt 1UO2 TRISO in	6	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
Molten Salt SMR (Fast)	4	Chloride molten salt	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	2
Microreactors Molten Salt cooled	1	TRISU in fuel	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Microreactors. Other. (eVinci+MoveluX)	2	1 Silicide 1 UO2 TRISO in	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Total	69		23	12	30	4	21	20	15	13
			69				69)		



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SMALL MODULAR REACTORS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS 21–25 OCTOBER 2024





Technical Meeting on Backend of the Fuel Cycle Considerations for SMRs, 20-23 September 2022

107 Participating Experts from 32 Member States & **3** International **Organizations**

~ 40 Presentations and Extended Abstracts





IAEA TECDOC SERIES

IAEA-TECDOC-2040

Considerations for the Back End of the Fuel Cycle of Small Modular Reactors Proceedings of a Technical Meeting

Published in Dec. 2023



https://conferences.iaea.org/event/321/

International Conference on Spent Fuel Management: Meeting the Moment, Panel Discussions on SMRs

Arthur Situm

University of Regina

Canada



Scientific Conference Programme from 8:30h to 18:30h



Identified Challenges for Spent Fuels from SMR Types





Overview of Back End of the Fuel Cycle Implications for SMRs

Transport packages are content specific Needed at all stages of the Fuel Cycle



Storage systems are optimized for current fuels New spent fuels will require major changes and research

Reprocessing and recycling

Reprocessing is specialized on current fuels and capacities are limited

Ageing of available Rep&Rec facilities, specially in Europe

Accelerate implementation of Multi-recycling in LWRs as transition to AMRs

Disposal

Designs under development are based on current spent fuel and HLW New spent fuels and wastes will require additional research

Integration of existing and new fuel cycles is key for sustainability



Coordinated Research Project on <u>Challenges</u>, <u>Gaps and Opportunities for Managing Spent Fuel</u> from <u>SMR</u>s

Understanding the implications of the management of new spent fuels is paramount to make informed decisions

MAIN OBJECTIVES:

- To identify viable nuclear fuel cycle options for the different SMR technologies
- To identify common technologies/similarities for various reactor types and/or significant differences
- To prepare a list of generic key parameters for countries to perform their analysis incorporating their specific context





Coordinated Research Project on <u>Challenges</u>, <u>Gaps and Opportunities for Managing Spent Fuel</u> from <u>SMR</u>s

MAIN OUTPUTS

- Development of <u>specific roadmaps</u> for managing spent fuel from the different SMR technologies, identifying what can be derived, optimized or adapted from existing practices, or what needs to be fully developed
- To compare various SMR systems, in terms of efforts required to develop and implement an SFM strategy
 - Nuclear fuel cycle facilities
 - Technology readiness level
 - Nuclear materials involved
 - o Infrastructures (e.g., human resources, financing)
 - o R&D / Demonstrations
 - Enablers/Synergies



Building SMR-COGS Roadmaps: ROADMAP Exercise

- Roadmaps will be developed to support decision makers (e.g. government, industry, ...) to develop plans for future SMR development and implementation
- Potential horizon for the Roadmaps: 2050 or 2070 or beyond??
- SMR-COGS Roadmaps are generic and should be adjusted and revisited to reflect countries' particularities and boundary conditions as well as changes with time in the policies and strategies



First Research Coordination Meeting of SMR-COGS CRP held on 11 to 15 November 2024 in Vienna

STATUS of the Coordinated Research Project SMR-COGS

- 14 Research Contracts from ARG, ARM, CPR, CZR, EGY, INS, LIT, MEX, POL, ROM(2), UKR(3)
- 18 Research Agreements from CAN(2), CPR, DEN, EGY, JOR, NOR, SIN, SPA, SWE, TUR, UK(2), USA(5)



Industry, Operators, Researchers, Regulators, etc. Nuclear Energy Programmes: Embarking (Phase 1, 2 and 3), Expanding, Mature and Not Nuclear (DEN and NOR)

Observers: OECD/NEA, FIN, FRA, NET, RUS

45+ participants from 25 countries





Reactor	Fuel Type
	LEU (< 5%) (Open Cycle)
	LEU (< 5%) (Closed Cycle)
LWR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Open Cycle)
LVVR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Closed Cycle)
	HALEU (10- 20%) (Open Cycle)
	HALEU (10 - 20%) (Closed Cycle)
	Pebble (Open Cycle)
HTGR	Prismatic (Open Cycle)
	Pebble/Prismatic (Closed Cycle)
LMFR	
MSR	
IVIOIN	

- High Level elements for the scenario
- Time scale for development and implementation
- Needs for development and implementation
- What is need and when
- Decision points



SCENARIO A.- COMPACT/PRISMATIC FUEL OPEN CYCLE SCHEME



Reactor	Fuel Type		
	LEU (< 5%) (Open Cycle)		
	LEU (< 5%) (Closed Cycle)		
LWR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Open Cycle)		
	LEU+ (5-10%) (Closed Cycle)		
	HALEU (10- 20%) (Open Cycle)		
	HALEU (10 - 20%) (Closed Cycle)		
	Pebble (Open Cycle)		
HTGR	Prismatic (Open Cycle)		
	Pebble/Prismatic (Closed Cycle)		
LMFR			
MSR			
WOR			

- High Level elements for the scenario
- Time scale for development and implementation
- Needs for development and implementation
- What is need and when
- Decision points



SCENARIO B.- PEBBLE FUEL OPEN CYCLE SCHEME



Reactor	Fuel Type
	LEU (< 5%) (Open Cycle)
	LEU (< 5%) (Closed Cycle)
LWR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Open Cycle)
LVVR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Closed Cycle)
	HALEU (10- 20%) (Open Cycle)
	HALEU (10 - 20%) (Closed Cycle)
	Pebble (Open Cycle)
HTGR	Prismatic (Open Cycle)
	Pebble/Prismatic (Closed Cycle)
I MFR	
MSR	
WISIN	

- High Level elements for the scenario
- Time scale for development and implementation
- Needs for development and implementation
- What is need and when
- Decision points



SCENARIO C.- COMPACT/PRISMATIC and PEBBLE FUEL CLOSED CYCLE SCHEME



Reactor	Fuel Type
	LEU (< 5%) (Open Cycle)
	LEU (< 5%) (Closed Cycle)
IWR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Open Cycle)
LVVR	LEU+ (5-10%) (Closed Cycle)
	HALEU (10- 20%) (Open Cycle)
	HALEU (10 - 20%) (Closed Cycle)
	Pebble (Open Cycle)
HTGR	Prismatic (Open Cycle)
	Pebble/Prismatic (Closed Cycle)
LMFR	
MSR	
WOR	

- High Level elements for the scenario
- Time scale for development and implementation
- Needs for development and implementation
- What is need and when
- Decision points



Topics for Consideration during Break Out Sessions

1. Impact of characteristics of new SNF (e.g., decay-heat, criticality, radionuclide inventories, ...) on:

- a. Storage duration to transition to next stage of the Fuel Cycle
- b. Transitioning from wet to dry storage
- c. Transitioning from buffer storage to reprocessing facility
- d. Reprocessing and Recycling
- e. Transportation
- 2. Impact of potential non-electrical applications (e.g. co-location with industrial areas or populated zones and the impact of having SNF storage facility, SNF transportation, ...)
- 3. Which existing technologies can be adapted, optimized, ...
- 4. Impact of ageing of existing facilities. Anticipating extension of life and/or new facilities needed
- 5. Additional or new capacity needed for the different stages
- 6. ...



Thank You

A.Gonzalez-Espartero@iaea.org

Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs)

The UK Advanced Fuel Cycle Programme (TRL at start of AFCP	TRL at 2021	
Assidant tolerant fuels (ATE) or	High density fuels	1	3
Accident tolerant fuels (ATF) or advanced technology fuels for light	Coated cladding	2	6
water reactors (LWRs)	SiC cladding	2	3
Coated particle fuels (CPF) for high	Kernels	3	4
temperature reactors (HTRs)	Coating	3	3
Fast reactor fuels	3	3	
Advanced aqueous fuel recycle technology	2	4	
Pyro-processing fuel recycle technology		2	2

Table 1 Judgement on technology readiness level of advanced fuel and fuel cycle areas developed as part of AFCP in the UK (based on expert opinion from within AFCP)

Developing technology roadmaps

Trends and Drivers: These define the context within which opportunities will be realised. This theme encompasses the established vision, drivers of change and understanding of future energy system markets.

Opportunity/Applica

tion areas These arise from linking current capabilities with future needs. Each roadmap presents a unique advanced fuel cycle opportunity area, with specific applications within the area identified throughout.

Technologies and Capabilities: Realising the applications within each opportunity area will require certain technologies and capabilities to be developed. This theme includes both the existing and future concepts needed to achieve relevant applications.

Enablers: Key actions – which include strategic planning, industry collaboration and Government support – will enable the development of new and enhanced technologies and capabilities.

Scenarios or Roadmap with different levels of time

- LWR: near or short time (ATF: Enablers have been identified within the roadmap, including international partnering, access to irradiation and post-irradiation examination (PIE) facilities, and nuclear data requirements for new fuel qualification)
- **HTGR: Short and medium term** (Enablers have been identified within the roadmap, including securing a supply of high-assay low-enriched uranium, international partnering, access to irradiation and post-irradiation examination (PIE) facilities, and nuclear data requirements for new fuel qualification)
- FRs: long term
- MSRs: long term

Opportunity Areas

2020 - 2025

Coated cladding advanced technology fuel (ATF) supplied to the domestic and international LWR markets Apply fuel cycle separations chemistry to recovery of commercially valuable isotopes from reprocessed products: (eg for medical applications)

2025 - 2035

Medium Term	Revolutionary advanced technology fuel (ATF) concepts supplied to the domestic and International LWR/ AMR markets	UK developed coated particle fuel (CPF) product supplied to high temperature reactor (HTR) demonstrator(s) (UK and international) CPF product supplied to emerging domestic and international commercial HTR markets with used fuel management options	Advanced recycle technology to produce future fuels credible and competitive technical options for advanced reprocessing of LWR (and MOX) used fuels	Americlum-241 supply production of Am-241 for space power and other applications

2035 - 2050

Fast reactor fuel cycle fuel fabrication and supply to a reactor demonstrator and technology demonstration for recycle of used fuels Advanced recycle of ATF to produce future fuels credible and competitive technical options for reprocessing of used ATF

Supply of molten sait fuels to reactor demonstrator and technology demonstration for used fuel management

	2050+	
VISIOII	UK supplying the fuel cycle needs of Gen III(+) and advanced nuclear technologies (ANTs), enabling a significant nuclear contribution to achieving net zero in the UK and a sustainable future	UK industry has a strong domestic capability from fuel enrichment and manufacture to recycling and waste minimisation, storage and disposal

Understanding the Drivers



Social

- Alternate uses of nuclear
- Climate change awareness
- Public understanding of nuclear as low-carbon energy



Technological

- Delivery of new nuclear technology
- The rate of technological maturity of advanced reactors
- Innovation and delivery of other low-carbon technologies (low cost, rapid deployment)



Economic

- New nuclear build cost and schedule certainty
- Economics of advanced reactors (Gen IV) and fuel cycle
- Competitiveness of nuclear vs other low-carbon technology
- Cost to consumer bills driving demand
- Economic recovery as key focus following COVID-19



- Environmental
- Net zero by 2050
- Sustainability through drive to reduce waste associated with energy production



- Government policy position
- Clean growth policy to decarbonise while benefiting economy
- Net zero in legislation
- Energy security through support for homegrown energy

Drivers of Change