

Sensitivity analysis and optimization of multi-scale models for microstructural evolution in metal materials under neutron irradiation

Shaoting Wan¹, Chaoxiang Lin¹, **Zhangcan Yang**^{1, *}, Hong-Bo Zhou²

¹ School of Energy and Power Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China
² Department of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

1

Email: yang_zhangcan@hust.edu.cn

Outline



1) Introduction

Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

Surrogate model

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity potentially

4) Surrogate Models

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Fututure Work

Irradiation damage in tungsten





Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects





Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects



Google Scholar	fusion tungsten simulation and modeling
Articles	(bout 55.100 results (0,12 sec) 55,100 results
Any time Since 2025 Since 2024 Since 2021 Custom range	Recent advances in modeling and simulation of the exposure and response of tungsten to fusion energy conditions J Marian, CS Becquart, <u>C Domain</u> , <u>SL Dudarev</u> Fusion, 2017 - iopscience.iop.org the-art in materials simulations of W in fusion environments and highlight modeling and simulation have produced. Often, the simulation paradigm within which computational modeling ☆ Save 50 Cite Cited by 150 Related articles All 14 versions
Sort by relevance Sort by date	[PDF] Recent advances in computational materials modeling of tungsten as plasma-facing material for fusion energy applications

- Thousands of related research work (Google Scholar: 55100 results).
- Most of them use DFT or MD methods, forming a solid foundation for mesoscale methods such as Object kinetic Monte Carlo (OKMC) and Cluster Dynamics (CD).

OKMC

Simulate defect diffusion and microstructure evolution processes

Defect size, concentration

Microstructural morphology

Can be easily compared with experimental findings



Information of microstructures can be fed to further predict the change of mechanical properties..

OKMC bridges the simulation of microscopic mechanisms with the macroscopic observations.

Niu, Y. Z., Li, Y. H., Ren, Q. Y., Li, Z. Z., Terentyev, D., Ma, H. Z., ... & Lu, G. H. (2023). Influence of carbon on the evolution of irradiation defects in tungsten. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 579, 154393. Li, Y., & Ghoniem, N. (2020). Cluster dynamics modeling of irradiation growth in single crystal Zr. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 540, 152312.



Suppression of He-induced damage by Beryllium





(h) 3×10²⁰m⁻² He fluence

Void lattice formation



[1] Zhou et al., Nuclear Fusion. 64 (2024) 106021. [2] Li et al., Acta Materialia. 219 (2021) 117239.

6

The OKMC model





Niu, Y. Z., Li, Y. H., Ren, Q. Y., Li, Z. Z., Terentyev, D., Ma, H. Z., ... & Lu, G. H. (2023). Influence of carbon on the evolution of irradiation defects in tungsten. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 579, 154393.

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis





Reduce calculational costs of parameter calibration

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis



PCE surrogate model + Sobol' indices

(PCE: Polynomial Chaos Expansion)



There have been attempts in nuclear materials field to employ the approach.

Characteristics

A large number of training samples

The increase of uncertainty

LHS + Spearman correlation coefficient

(LHS: Latin Hypercube Sampling)





The method was applied in many studies on severe accidents and thermal-hydraulics in fission reactors.

Characteristics

More universal / Broad applicability

Relatively low computational cost

Robbe, P., Blondel, S., Casey, T. A., Lasa, A., Sargsyan, K., Wirth, B. D., & Najm, H. N. (2023). Global sensitivity analysis of a coupled multiphysics model to predict surface evolution in fusion plasma–surface interactions. Computational Materials Science, 226, 112229. Seo, S. B., & Wirth, B. D. (2023). Sensitivity analysis of cesium and strontium release from TRISO particle under irradiation and high temperature conditions. Nuclear Engineering and Design, 408, 112333.

Peng, C., et al. (2023, May). Best Estimate Plus Uncertainty Analysis of a Pressurizer Surge Line Break LOCA on China's Advanced PWR. In Proceedings of the 23rd Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference, Volume 2: PBNC 2022, 1-4 November, Beijing & Chengdu, China (pp. 490-505). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Yang, Y., Deng, C., & Yang, J. (2021). Best estimate plus uncertainty analysis of a small-break LOCA on an advanced Generation-III pressurized water reactor. International Journal of Energy Research, 45(8), 11916-11929.

Uncertainty quantification: surrogate models



In an inverse UQ study of combustion kinetic models, the test errors of three typical surrogate models are compared.



Wang, J., Zhou, Z., Lin, K., Law, C. K., & Yang, B. (2020). Facilitating Bayesian analysis of combustion kinetic models with artificial neural network. Combustion and Flame, 213, 87-97.

Outline



1) Introduction

Nuclear fusion in renewables-based system Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

Surrogate model

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity potentially

4) Surrogate Models

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Fututure Work

The OKMC model





Sensitivity analysis: flow chart





Hu, X., & et al. (2016). Defect evolution in single crystalline tungsten following low temperature and low dose neutron irradiation. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 470, 278-289.

Experiments



		Fission reactor	Material Type	Irradiation temperature [°C]	Dose [dpa]
		HFIR (mixed neutron	Single crystal	~90	0.02
		spectrum) [21–23,37]		~90	0.39
				460	0.02
		[/33]	K, 0.02dpa	797	0.092
9 B G G G G				700	0.44
Tarat Bundla				770	1.80
In Flux Trap				1100	0.47
			Polycrystalline	800	1.50
				700	2.80
		JMTR (mixed neutron	Polycrystalline	600	0.15
		spectrum) [13,17]		800	0.15
		Joyo (Fast reactor) [8,11]	Polycrystalline	400	0.17
				531	0.44
Horizontal Large Removable				538	0.96
HB-2 Beryllium Facility				583	0.47
	The state barrely			740	0.40
				750	1.54
Position Small Vertical				756	0.42
C Experiment		HFR (mixed neutron	Single crystal	900	1.67
Facility (VXF)		spectrum) [38]	Polycrystalline		
Inner Fuel Element		BR2 (mixed neutron	Polycrystalline	800	1.25
Outer Fuel Element		spectrum) [24,39,40]			
Control Region 0 2 4 6					
Inches					
			Single crystal	600	0.2
				800	
	T 11 . 1			1200	
High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR)	Irradiation test samples		ITER grade	600	0.2
	1			800	
				1200	
				1200	0.18
			Cold rolled pure	600	0.2
			polycrystalline	800	
				1200	

https://neutrons.ornl.gov/hfir

Hu, X. (2022). Recent progress in experimental investigation of neutron irradiation response of tungsten. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 568, 153856.

Sensitivity analysis: flow chart





Hu, X., & et al. (2016). Defect evolution in single crystalline tungsten following low temperature and low dose neutron irradiation. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 470, 278-289.

Sensitivity analysis: sampling settings



Parameters to be analyzed

Physical Meaning	Symbol	Reference value	Range
Capture radius of vacancy	$R_{\rm V}$	1	*(0.8~1.2)
Capture radius of SIA	R_{W}	1	*(0.8~1.2)
Migration energy of monovacancy	$E_{m,1V}$	1.68 eV	+(-0.1~0.1) eV
Migration energy of divacancy	$E_{m,2V}$	1.44 eV	+(-0.1~0.1) eV
Migration energy of tri-vacancy	$E_{m,3V}$	0.83 eV	+(-0.1~0.1) eV
Binding energy of divacancy	$E_{b,2V}$	-0.12 eV	+(-0.1~0.1) eV
Binding energy of tri-vacancy	$E_{b,3V}$	-0.0636 eV	+(-0.1~0.1) eV
Migration energy of SIA	$E_{m,W}$	0.023 eV	+(-0.01~0.01) eV
Rotation energy of SIA	E _{r,W}	0.38 eV	+(-0.1~0.1) eV

Settings

- Number of samples: 60;
- Number of recalculations for one sample: 15.

Surrogate model: PCE



Expansion

Truncation

$$y = F(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in I^d} c_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(X)$$

 $P = \binom{d+p}{p} = \frac{(d+p)!}{d!\,p!}$

- *y*: model outputs, QoI
- F(X): Functional relationship
- *I^d*: d-dimentional parameter space
- c_{α} : PCE coefficient
- $\Psi_{\alpha}(X)$: orthogonal
- multivariate basis function
- *P*: number of polynomial terms
- **p**: highest order of polynomials

Calculation of PCE coefficients

Intrusive methods	Galerkin projection	• Requiring modifications of the numerical code 💛 OKMC
Non-intrusive methods	Spectral projection	Gaussian quadrature rules, Smolyak sparse grid
	Regression	• Least squares methods, compressed sensing methods

•



Multilayer Perception trained with Backpropagation Algorithm



- (1) Randomly assign the weights and compute the network solution;
- (2) Compute the error between the outputs of network and training data, and back propagate the weights to each layer;
- (3) Re-assign the weights to avoid the same error, and so on do iterations;
- (4) Obtain the optimal weight matrix as the best approximation.

- Settings
 - Activation function: the hyperbolic tangent, a = tanh(n)
 - Training method: Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, a second-order Quasi-Newton optimization method

Outline



1) Introduction

Nuclear fusion in renewables-based system

Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

Surrogate model

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity potentially

4) Surrogate Models

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Fututure Work

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa









Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa





Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa





Outline



1) Introduction

Nuclear fusion in renewables-based system

Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

Surrogate model

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity analysis

4) Surrogate model

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Future Work

Potential factor: different ranges of values



We selected one high-sensitivity parameter (**Migration energy of single Vac**, <**left**>) and one low-sensitivity parameter (**Migration energy of SIA**, <**right**>) from the previous analysis results for further study.



Samples concentrating within a smaller range may affect the accuracy of the analysis results.

No clear trends or patterns.

Potential factor: multiparameter analysis





We selected the four sensitive parameters as the target parameters for multi-parameter analysis.

The sample size is 300.

0.980

Emig1v

0.992

0.694

0.680

Emig1v

0.522

Erot

Erot

-0.213

The numbers in red are the sensitivity for single-parameter analysis.

Sensitivity of capture radiuses is reduced.

No other substantial impact

identified for now.

Potential factor: different irradiation doses



At low irradiation doses, recombination may be insufficient and the aggregation of SIAs dominates.

No clear trends or patterns.

Potential factor: different temperatures





Outline



1) Introduction

Nuclear fusion in renewables-based system

Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

Surrogate model

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity potentially

4) Surrogate Models

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Fututure Work

Polynomial Chaos Expansion





Polynomial Chaos Expansion



Gaussian quadrature



Gaussian-quadrature PCE results match OKMC outputs better.

Smoothing and global averaging in the process of integral computation

Training samples that are **more uniformly distributed** in the parameter space

Reducing interference from data noise

Limitation:

Due to the **sample size**, it is difficult to build surrogate models that include more input parameters.

Polynomial Chaos Expansion



Sparse grid



Outline



1) Introduction

Nuclear fusion in renewables-based system

Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

Surrogate model

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity potentially

4) Surrogate Models

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Fututure Work

Artificial Neural Network



34

Settings of ANN surrogate models with 4 inputs and 4 outputs

Number of hidden layers	1	2	3	
Number of	10	8,8	6,6,6	(mm)
nodes in each layer	16	12,12	8,8,8	outputs

Six different surrogate models were built for each of the three sets of training samples in PCE part.

- ANN outperforms PCE in overall surrogate performance.
- MLP [4, 10, 4] and [4, 6, 6, 6, 4] have better performance in ANN surrogate models.
- MLP [4, 10, 4] is more computationally efficient than MLP [4, 6, 6, 6, 4].

MLP [4, 10, 4] with 126 samples



Artificial Neural Network





Outline



1) Introduction

Nuclear fusion in renewables-based system

Irradiation damage in tungsten

Multi-scale modeling of irradiation effects

Uncertainty quantification & sensitivity analysis

2) Method

The OKMC model

Sensitivity analysis

3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity at 733K and 0.02dpa

Factors affecting the sensitivity potentially

4) Surrogate Models

Polynomial Chaos Expansion

Artificial Neural Network

5) Conclusion & Fututure Work

Conclusion

٠



To better characterize the parameters of OKMC and optimize the model prediction, we performed a sensitivity analysis of OKMC and assessed 4 potential factors that may affect the results of sensitivity.

• Four sensitive parameters with significant impacts on the OKMC simulation results were identified:

Migration energy of single Vac	Rotation energy of SIA
Capture radius of Vac	Capture radius of SIA

Four potential factors



Conclusion&Future Work



To **reduce the computational costs** of inverse uncertainty quantification, two types of **surrogate models** constructed for OKMC are analyzed and assessed in terms of method setup, surrogate performance, and error calculation.

• Simple structure ANN models with fewer hidden layers are preferred for building OKMC surrogate models.

Capable of reducing the interference of data noise

Have stable performance

• It is difficult for PCE models to fundamentally solve the **overfitting problem**. The Gaussian PCE model has a

good surrogate performance. However, its obvious defects in high-dimensional problems cannot be ignored.

Future Work

To reduce uncertainty, we need to **characterize** the sensitive parameters **more precisely**.

Inverse Uncertainty Quantification

Bayesian approach + MCMC method

Experimental data

Surrogate model

Parameter calibration



Thank you for your attention!

