

 Ω

 \circ \bullet

 00

 000 $\overline{}$

Second Technical Meeting on Long-Pulse Operation of Fusion Devices

G. Motojima, U. Wenzel, T. Morisaki, S. Masuzaki, M. Kobayashi, M. Goto*

*National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki, Gifu 509-5292, Japan *Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, 17491 Greifswald, Germany*

This work was supported by the International Research Exchange Support Program of the National Institutes of Natural Sciences (NINS).

Stellrartor/Heliotron devices can be operated in much higher density regime than tokamaksSOKEND^{AI}

Super Dense Core (SDC): High-density improvement mode in LHD

•The maximum attained central density is $> 1 \times 10^{21}$ m⁻³.

•Central pressure exceeds atmospheric pressure.

•Low density mantle plasma prevents radiation collapse •Attainable density is restricted as follows.

> Lack of central heating power due to high density Pellet cannot ablate effectively at low temperature plasma (<300 eV)

 $H\alpha$ measurement

Why are high sub-divertor pressures important?

1) One needs high divertor pressures for good particle exhaust which is Seff^{*} pdiv.

2) In general, stellarators have only low pressures. In Wendelstein 7-X about 0.01 Pa in the last campaign (see the stars in the figure).

3) Tokamaks are superior in this respect. In ASDEX Upgrade up to 5 Pa was obtained, for ITER up to 20 Pa is predicted.

Therefore, it is important to understand how to obtain high sub-divertor neutral pressures and good particle exhaust in stellarator divertors.

V. Haak et al., FED 2024

Wendelstein 7-X

The rather low sub-divertor pressure (0.01-0.1 Pa) is **due to low divertor density** (absence of high recycling regime) \leftarrow loss of pressure conservation along flux tubes due to enhanced cross-field transport (3D effect) (M. Kobayashi 2019).

The huge differences of the divertor densities cause the huge differences of the sub-divertor neutral pressures between tokamaks and stellarators.

nT (1+M²) (J/m³)

U. Wenzel et al 2024 Nucl. Fusion 64 034002

The divertor density drives the neutral sub-divertor pressure. Therefore, an almost linear scaling is expected, which is true for the $R_{ax} = 3.6$ m configuration with counter-clockwise field (CCW).

In the **Rax = 3.55** m configuration, **more than 1.4 Pa of sub-divertor pressure was obtained**. **The scaling is quite different for densities** larger than 6e19m-3.

Above this density threshold, there must be another physical process.

Scaling of divertor pressure in LHD

Data are from density ramp-up shots.

An almost linear pressure scaling is expected, which is true for the 3.6 m configuration. In the 3.55 m configuration, the scaling is quite different after 3.5 s.

Particle fluxes initially rise and flatten or even decrease.

They do not cause the high neutral pressures directly.

Comparison of the configurations – target strike point

Divertor temperature drops at the transition in $R_{ax} = 3.55$ **m**

 $time[s]$

drop of temperature from 40 to about 5 eV at the transition. By this temperature drop the carbon radiation **moves away from the divertor target** (which is also called "detachment").

Direct view of the divertor without crossing the main plasma (M. Kobayashi)

Carbon line (CIII) shows the radiation in the divertor

Hydrogen Balmer lines give the electron temperature in the divertor

After the transition, hydrogen atoms are populated differently. They follow a Boltzmann distribution which give very low temperatures below **1 eV**. This suggests volume recombination in the helical divertor.

- \checkmark In the Rax = 3.6 m configuration, the carbon emission follows appr. density.
- \checkmark In the Rax = 3.55 m configuration, the carbon emission rises steeply and moves away from the target. From 3.5 s on there is a low temperature mode of the divertor (LTM).
- \checkmark Temperature data are obtained from the evaluation of the hydrogen emission.

What causes the high pressure in LHD? Looking back to W7-AS

By spectroscopy, **volume recombination was identified** as the cause of the enhanced sub-divertor pressure.

With **reversal of the magnetic field**, the high divertor pressure was observed at **bottom** after the transition.

4400

N. Ramasubramanian et al. 2004 Nucl. Fusion 44 992

4200

 $6-2$

4000

High-density zone in W7-AS (heuristic tomography)

N. Ramasubramanian et al. 2004 Nucl. Fusion 44 992

The sequence was as follows

- 1. Sudden movement of the thermal front (carbon radiation) to the Xpoints
- 2. A cool, dense divertor plasma region developed
- 3. Volume recombination by the low temperature in the high-density zone
- 4. High sub-divertor pressures driven by the neutrals generated by 3 body recombination

Physical cause of ultra-high sub-divertor pressures in LHD

Hypothesis:

Ultra-high pressures in W7-AS and LHD have the same physical cause.

- 1. Sudden movement of the thermal front (carbon radiation) to the X-points
- 2. A cool divertor plasma region developes
- 3. 3-body recombination
- 4. Ultra-high sub-divertor pressures

Some details are different:

i. Behaviour at field reversal. Up/down asymmetry of the ultra-high pressure in W7-AS. With field reversal the asymmetry reversed, too. In LHD, this was not observed. Sub-divertor pressures up to

2 Pa were obtained in clockwise field (CW) direction as well. \rightarrow

ii. 3-body recombination not yet directly detected by the Balmer series limit

Possible Explanation – Wall (or Hydrogen) Marfe

Samm 1999 Textor tokamak

a) Standard (thin) Marfe

Large distance to the wall. Density increase by gas puffing possible (beyond the Greenwald limit). b) Wall (fat) Marfe Small Distance to the wall. Density is clamped. Gas puffing results in an increasing size of the Marfe Tokar 1999 Localized recycling as a trigger of MARFE Center of a Marfe can be recombining and a neutral

particle source (virtual target or limiter).

There are striking similarities between W7-AS and LHD resulting in ultra-high sub-divertor neutral pressures above a density threshold. Maximum pressure in LHD is more than **2 Pa** which is the same as for an H-mode discharge in ASDEX Upgrade.

In both devices only low pressures are predicted by the modeling. Predicted scaling over density is approximately linear while we found $p_{div} \sim n^{3.6}$ for $R_{ax} = 3.55$ m.

Most probable explanation is the formation of a hydrogen Marfe (or wall Marfe) when the distance between the X-point and the wall becomes small.

Conclusion and outlook

The question is "Do the ultra-high subdivertor pressures solve the exhaust problem of a stellarator?"

We think "**yes**".

Steady-state operation is possible for inward shifted plasmas with more than 1 Pa in LHD \rightarrow

but the phenomenon has not been studied in sufficient detail to be used in reactor design studies! Not predicted by modeling.

