### **Assimilation of deuterium into relativistic runaway electron beams and the implications for benign terminations in present devices, ITER, and future devices**

**by**

**E.M. Hollmann1 , with L. Baylor2, A. Boboc3, P. Carvalho3, N.W. Eidietis4, A. Fil3, J.L. Herfindal2, S. Jachmich5, A. Lvovskiy4, C. Paz-Soldan6, C. Reux7, D. Shiraki2, R. Sweeney8, A.Yu. Pigarov9, and JET Contributors**

<sup>1</sup>UCSD, <sup>2</sup>ORNL, <sup>3</sup>UKAEA, <sup>4</sup>GA, <sup>5</sup>ITER, <sup>6</sup>Columbia, <sup>7</sup>CEA, **8CFS, 9Comp-X**

**Presented at the 3rd IAEA Technical Meeting on Disruptions Sept 5, 2024**



Eric Hollmann/IAEA/ Thurs Sept 5, 9:00, 2024. 30 min + 10 min questions

# **Outline**

- **Motivation – why are we interested in deuterium assimilation into runaway electron (RE) plateaus?**
- **Overview – quick overview of present data on RE plateaus**
- **1D diffusion model – trying to model deuterium assimilation in present devices**
- **Predictions for ITER**
- **Predictions for some other future devices (SPARC and STEP)**
- **Future work**

#### **Motivation (1/2): Low-Z RE plateaus formed by massive D<sub>2</sub> injection tend to have low conversion of magnetic to kinetic energy**

- Massive D<sub>2</sub> injection "purges" high-Z impurities out **of RE plateau.**
- **Resulting low-Z RE plateau has very fast, high-growth rate final loss MHD instability.**
- **Often, all REs lost to wall in single large instability.**
- **RE current all converted to thermal plasma (Ohmic) current.**

**- Very little conversion of magnetic to kinetic energy.**

• **For high-Z final loss, many small instabilities cause longer loss process.**

**- Significant conversion of magnetic energy into kinetic energy.**



#### **Motivation (2/2): Low-Z RE plateaus formed by massive D<sub>2</sub> injection appear to have large wetted area when hitting wall**

- **Low Z RE plateau has very fast, high-growth rate final loss MHD instability.**
- **RE wetted area very large, giving low heat fluence.**
- **Observed in many machines (JET, DIII-D, TCV, ASDEX).**







## **Even helium gas does not give single loss event - something special**  about  $D_2$ ?

- **Large helium injection does not usually give single loss event.**
- **Usually only observed after massive H2** or D<sub>2</sub> injection.



5 Eric Hollmann/IAEA/ Thurs Sept 5, 9:00, 2024. 30 min + 10 min questions

### Special effect of D<sub>2</sub> thought to be linked to volume recombination

- **He MGI causes rise in thermal electron density and slight drop in HXR level.**
	- **- Partial expulsion of high-Z (Ar) impurities out of core**
- **D2 MGI causes large drop in thermal electron density and large drop in HXR level.**
	- **- Large expulsion of high-Z impurities out of core**



## **Neutrals play major role in cooling RE plateaus at low Z (applies to**  both He and D<sub>2</sub>)

- **At high Z, input power mostly balanced by line radiation.**
- **At low Z, neutral cooling begins to dominate.**
	- **- Cannot model low-Z RE plateaus without considering neutrals!**

#### **DIII-D RE plateau power balance**



## For D<sub>2</sub> purged RE plateaus, line radiation is dominantly from **D<sub>2</sub> lines –** large molecule density!

- **Line radiation power is dominated by D2 lines (Werner and Lyman bands).**
- **D** present also but Ly- $\alpha$  strongly trapped **(~20x).**
- **Molecules are present at significant levels in RE plateau and need to be included for accurate modeling.**

#### **DIII-D D<sub>2</sub> purged RE plateau radiated power**



### **RE plateau cross-field ion transport of order few m2/s**

Vrot (10<sup>5</sup>cm/s)

 $\overline{2}$ 

 $\mathbf 0$ 

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

 $-6_0$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2$ 

 $\overline{\theta}$ -4

 $-0.8$ 

- **Ion diffusion coefficients are larger than classical in both perp and para directions for high Z plateaus.**  $D_{i,perp} \sim 2 - 5$ **m2/s.**
- **Toroidal ion rotation very slow ~ 2 – 5 Hz, poloidal rotation faster ~ 0.5 kHz.**
- **Measured for high Z only! Need to assume similar for low Z.**

#### **DIII-D high-Z RE plateaus**



<sup>9</sup> Eric Hollmann/IAEA/ Thurs Sept 5, 9:00, 2024. 30 min + 10 min questions

 $0.2$ 

 $0.4$ 

 $0.6$ 

**Potential** 

**Rotation velocity** 

## **Current profile appears to be centrally peaked**

- **Polarization angle of Ar-II line emission used to constrain current profile for low Z (He purged) RE plateaus.**
- **Consistent with slightly peaked current profile models (red curves).**
- **Has only been measured for medium Z (He-purged) RE plateau, not D2 purged.**

#### **DIII-D low Z (He purge) RE plateaus**



### H<sub>2</sub> appears to behave fairly similar to D<sub>2</sub> on DIII-D



# **1D impurity diffusion model**

- **Approximate actual 3D geometry with 1D cylindrical geometry.**
	- **- REs confined to some radius ra, neutrals up to some radius rw.**
	- **- Estimate ra from magnetic reconstructions.**
	- **- Typically chose r<sub>w</sub> to be halfway between r<sub>a</sub> and r<sub>vv</sub> (vacuum vessel radius)**
- **Ions diffuse radially at some prescribed diffusion coefficient**  $D_i \sim 2 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ **.**
- RE diffusion coefficient typically chosen small  $D_{RE} \sim 0.2 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ .
- **Neutrals diffuse radially with classical neutral diffusion with some enhancement factor D0 to account for convection cells.**
	- **- Simulations suggest D0 ~ 3 [Frolov,2005] at low pressure. Matching data suggests D0 should be somewhat larger, D0 ~ 5 – 9.**
- **RE energy distribution modeled with test particle model.**
- **Loop voltage not modeled by full Ampere/Faraday + control system.**

 $\widehat{\mathsf{E}}$ **-** Input desired I<sub>p</sub> and model tries to adjust loop voltage to match with limited  $\sim$ **slew rate (typically ~0.2 V/ms).** -1

• D molecules  $D_2$  included as well as molecular ions included up to  $D_3$ <sup>+</sup>.



## **1D model able to capture measured T<sub>e</sub> ~ 0.4 eV in low Z D<sub>2</sub> purged RE plateau**

- **Cold plasma in low Z RE plateau appears to be**  in thermal equilibrium with  $T_e \sim T_i \sim T_{vib} \sim T_{rot} \sim T_{kin}$ **~ 0.4 eV.**
	- **- In agreement with 1D diffusion model.**
- **Electron density not always good match.**
	- **- Can be varied by changing rw .**
- **Dominant neutral species is D2.**
	- **- Comparable to cold electron density ne**
- **High Z impurity (Ar) mostly in neutral form and mostly outside plasma.**





13 Eric Hollmann/IAEA/ Thurs Sept 5, 9:00, 2024. 30 min + 10 min questions

# **Power and particle balance change character dramatically when moving from high Z to low Z**

• **1D model of D<sub>2</sub> purge in ITER shown here.** 

**- Dominant processes predicted are similar in DIII-D or JET, but timescales different.**

• **Dominant power loss initially line radiation from thermal electron impact.**

**-** Shifts to neutral cooling after D<sub>2</sub> injection.

• **Dominant free electron balance is RE impact ionization balanced with radial transport initially.**

**- Shifts to RE impact ionization balanced by molecular recombination after D<sub>2</sub> injection.** 



## **Tuning neutral convection correction D0 in DIII-D – matching**  measured n<sub>e</sub> decay rate gives factor D0 ~ 5

- **Decay rate of thermal electron density provides strong constraint on D0.**
- **In DIII-D, D0 ~ 5 gives reasonable match to measured ne decay rate.**
	- **- Use D0 = 5 as default value for this free parameter.**



# Tuning effective wall radius r<sub>w</sub>: matching D<sub>2</sub> radiated power suggests using r<sub>w</sub> halfway between r<sub>p</sub> and r<sub>vv</sub>

- **rw is free parameter which sets volume neutrals can occupy.**
- In DIII-D, matching D<sub>2</sub> radiated power in purged RE plateaus gives  $r_w \sim 0.8$  m.
	- **- This is halfway between r<sub>a</sub> and r<sub>VV</sub>.**
	- **- Use halfway point as default.**



**DIII-D low Z (D<sub>2</sub> purged)** 

## **Tuning D0 in JET – to match measured ne decay rate need to turn up neutral diffusion by factor D0 ~ 9.**

- **Default D0 = 5 appears to be too low to match observed ne decay rate in JET.** 
	- **- D0 ~ 9 works better.**
- **Poor agreement with measured loop voltage in JET.**
	- **- Usually within 2x in DIII-D.**
	- **- Can be off by 5x in JET.**
	- **- Still not resolved, maybe needs higher RE radial diffusion?**
- **Also, poor agreement with measured radiated power.**
	- **- This has been resolved – instrumental artefact; effect of neutrals on JET bolometers (N. Schoonheere work).**

#### **JET low Z (D<sub>2</sub> purged) RE plateaus**



# **Matching high loop measured loop voltage in JET**  challenging for 1D model, even when decreasing rw

- **Big difference with DIII-D cases – no position control.**
	- **- DIII-D RE plateaus held steady on center with dI/dt = 0 until ready to study final loss.**
	- **- JET RE plateaus scraping off against CP and have dI/dt < 0.**
- **For high-Z JET RE plateau, did scan of rw.**
- $r_p = 0.6$  m here and  $r_{vv} = 1.9$  m, so **nominal starting point is 1.2 m.**
- **Even going down to**  $r_p = 0.9$  **m not giving central loop voltage of 28 V.**
- **Need to turn up RE radial transport?**



## **JET current decay during RE plateau scrape-off suggests radial transport could be large in JET?**

- **Matching high Vloop and large current decay in JET high-Z RE plateau challenging.**
- By turning up D<sub>RE</sub> to high values > 10 m<sup>2</sup>/s, get get higher V<sub>loop</sub>.
- Also, starting to get decaying I<sub>p</sub>, just as **observed in the experiment.**
- **Suggests that higher DRE (not default low**   $D_{RE}$  = 0.2 m<sup>2</sup>/s) is more correct JET ?

#### **JET high-Z RE plateau (simulated)**



19 Eric Hollmann/IAEA/ Thurs Sept 5, 9:00, 2024. 30 min + 10 min questions

## **Partial recombination effect captured reasonably well by 1D model**

- **From now on, just keep "default" 1D model free parameters to keep things simple:**
	- $r_w = (r_p + r_{VV})/2$
	- $-$  **D**<sub>RE</sub> = 0.2 m<sup>2</sup>/s
	- $D_0 = 5$
- **Can match equilibrium thermal ne within 2x or so.**
- **Different blue curves are 1D model using different RE kinetic model approximations**
	- **- Indicate uncertainty ~2x based on RE energy distribution.**
- **Define "recombined" as ne < 1018/m3.**
	- **- close to JET noise floor.**
- **Model not capturing observed "un-recombination"**  ("density limit") which happens at very high D<sub>2</sub> number.
	- **-** Possibly due to D<sub>0</sub> dropping at higher D<sub>2</sub> density?



# **Observed recombination trend with Ip captured – harder to** recombine as  $I_p$  is turned up

- **Partial recombination is balance between RE + neutral ionization vs molecular ion recombination.**
- **Turning up Ip = turning up RE ionization source term, causing increase in ne.**
- **This trend is seen experimentally, and trend is also captured in 1D model.**



## **High Z impurity content not critical (as long as small compared with D<sub>2</sub> number)**

- **typically much larger than Ar number.**
- **JET experiments changed Ar number in vacuum vessel.**
	- **- See little effect on equilibrium ne.**
	- **- Lack of trend roughly captured by 1D model.**



## **ITER simulations: should be able to reach recombined state in ITER**

- **For ITER, expect some neon already in plasma for TQ and CQ mitigation ("1st injection").**
- Then fire in  $H_2$  as "2<sup>nd</sup> injection".
- **1D model predicts Ne plasma harder to recombine than Ar plasma.**
	- **- Due to lower molecular recombination via NeD+ vs ArD+.**
- **1D model predicts that H2 better at causing recombination than D2.**
	- **- Recycles off wall faster – gives better neutral cooling.**
- **Higher RE currents harder to recombine, as expected.**



## **Recombination timescales in ITER are expected to be fast enough to beat VDE time**

- **VDE timescale in ITER expected to be of order 100 ms.**
- **Want recombination timescale faster than this.**
- **Once recombination occurs, expect VDE to slow significantly, because dI/dt will slow.**
- **Time to recombination tends to be well under 100 ms for ITER and decreases as**  more  $H_2$  is added.



### **Other future devices – SPARC and STEP**

- **RE plateau recombination was investigated for two other future devices:**
	- **- SPARC (medium-size tokamak, large aspect ratio, high current, D<sub>2</sub> into Ne)**
	- **- STEP (large-size tokamak, low aspect ratio, high current, D<sub>2</sub> <b>into Ar**)
- **Neither achieve recombination within desired**  range of injected D<sub>2</sub> number.
- **Recombination hard to achieve with high current density.**
- **Hard to achieve recombination with D<sub>2</sub> into Ne** (least desirable combination), easiest with H<sub>2</sub> into **Ar.**





### **Summary**

- **D2 injection into RE plateaus is promising because it appears to reduce RE-wall heat fluence = "benign termination".**
	- **– Tied to volume recombination -> low impurity level -> big MHD**
- **1D diffusion model has been developed for purpose of understanding RE plateau volume recombination.**
	- **- Includes main essential ingredients: neutral cooling and molecular recombination.**
- **Present simulations indicate that yes volume recombination should be achievable in ITER.**
- **Simulations suggest achieving volume recombination in higher current density devices (SPARC and STEP) will be more challenging.**
- **This work is just first step, many areas for improvement**
	- **- Need improvements to existing model IonBalance (better neutral transport, better RE transport) to try to capture "density limit" for ITER.**
	- **- Parallel lines of development:**

**CQL3D: Fokker-Planck model, being adapted for this problem (A. Pigarov) DREAM: Fokker-Planck model, hope to adapt for this problem (N. Schoonheere) SOLPS: ionization/recombination balance model (M. Hoppe)**