



# Updates on Fe isotopes: DRC calculations and experiments at GELINA

<u>M. Diakaki<sup>1</sup></u>, G. Gkatis<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Mengoni<sup>3,4</sup>, G. Noguere<sup>2</sup>, C. Paradela<sup>5</sup>, E. Pirovano<sup>6</sup>, A. Plompen<sup>5</sup>, M. Nyman<sup>7</sup>, S. Kopecky<sup>5</sup>, Peter Schillebeckx<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, National Technical University of Athens, 15780 Athens, Greece
<sup>2</sup> CEA/DES/IRESNE/DER/SPRC/LEPh, Cadarache, 13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France
<sup>3</sup> European Organisation for Nuclear Research (CERN), Switzerland
<sup>4</sup> ENEA Bologna / INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Italy
<sup>5</sup> European Commission, Joint Research Centre, 2440 Geel, Belgium
<sup>6</sup> Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
<sup>7</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, Fl-00014 Helsinki, Finland



- Direct Radiative Capture calculations since last year's meeting.
- Experiments at the GELINA facility for the production of new nuclear data on <sup>54</sup>Fe, <sup>56</sup>Fe:
  - Neutron scattering with the ELISA setup
  - Transmission measurements with natural Fe samples

This work is the PhD thesis of Georgios Gkatis (CEA Cadarache-NTUA).



Issues with the <sup>56</sup>Fe(n,g) emerged within the CIELO project [1] / Implementation in ENDF/B-VIII.0:

- "Artificial" background added between 10 eV-100 keV (supported by criticality benchmark HEU-MET-INTER-001(ZPR9/34)
- The results above 860 keV based on experimental data RPI [2]: Increase of (n,g) when (n,inl) opens!



- [1] M. Herman et al., Nuclear Data Sheets 148 (2018) 214-253.
- [2] B. McDermott et al., EPJ Web of Conf. 146, 11038 (2017).
- [3] A. Mengoni et al., Phys. Rev. C (rapid) 52, No 5 (1995).
- [4] T. Kikuchi et al., Phys. Rev. C 57, No 5 (1998).
- [5] Y. Nagai et al., Phys. Rev. C 102, 044616 (2020).

- We searched for possible physical interpretation of these issues via the Calculation of direct capture (DRC) on <sup>56</sup>Fe Codes and expertise from Alberto Mengoni, code pdix [3-5].
- First results shown in 2021 and 2022 meetings.
- The model is **sensitive** to changes in the optical model parameters



10<sup>2</sup>

10<sup>1</sup>

10<sup>0</sup>

10<sup>-1</sup>

10<sup>-2</sup>

10<sup>-3</sup>

10<sup>-4</sup>

10<sup>-5</sup>

Cross section (b)

## **Compound + Direct Capture (I)**





## **Compound + Direct Capture (II)**



- If we decrease s-wave DRC to follow INDEN 2022 / JENDL-5 at the dip we need to add negative resonances, eitherwise too low at thermal point.
- pdix results need Doppler broadening.
- Attempted with pdix.



• One negative resonance was changed, from JEFF 3.1.1:



 Neutron induced Scattering (elastic/inelastic) on Iron isotopes at GELINA with highly enriched targets: <sup>56</sup>Fe, <sup>54</sup>Fe



- Transmission measurements using **natural Fe samples** of different thicknesses
- Preliminary results for all measurements



- E<sub>n</sub> ~1-6 MeV:
- No theoretical model able to reproduce the fluctuating behavior present in medium-mass nuclei
- Neutron scattering dominant BUT difficult to measure => Cross section data very limited and scarce even for very important isotopes (double differential and angle integrated)



=> Evaluated (n,el) data often obtained by subtracting total and competing reaction cross sections





cea

- <u>ELISA setup (Elastic and Inelastic Scattering Array)\*</u>:
- > High-resolution neutron scattering double differential cross section data E<sub>n</sub> ~1-8 MeV (unique setup in Europe)
- > 32 liquid organic scintillators at 8 scattering angles : detection of scattered neutrons
- > 4 detectors per angle for redundancy/ cross checks (2 types of detectors per angle : *EJ301, EJ315*).
- **Fission chamber (1.37m from the sample) :** *measurement of the neutron flux*
- > The setup is placed at a **30 m** distance from the GELINA neutron source (FP1\_30m).
- > Already used for (n,el) on **natural Iron** [2].
- Highly enriched targets:

<sup>54</sup> Fe		<sup>56</sup> Fe	
Isotope	Atomic percent	Isotope	Atomic percent
<sup>54</sup> Fe	97.68	<sup>54</sup> Fe	0.16
<sup>56</sup> Fe	2.24	<sup>56</sup> Fe	99.77
<sup>57</sup> Fe	0.04	<sup>57</sup> Fe	0.07
<sup>58</sup> Fe	0.04	<sup>58</sup> Fe	< 0.01

<sup>\*[1]</sup>M. Nyman et al., EPJ Web of Conferences 239, 17003 (2020) <sup>[2]</sup>E. Pirovano et al., Phys. Rev. C 99, 024601 (2019)











1) <u>Detector characterization</u>:

a) Resolution function: g-ray sources / b) Response function: <sup>nat</sup>C(n,el)

2.6576

2.0851

0.8468

2.5381

1.4082

2) Photon / neutron separation via Pulse Shape Analysis (charge integration method)

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\mathbf{E},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{N_{el}(\mathbf{E},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\Phi(\mathbf{E})\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_T}$ 

- 3) Background subtraction (sample-out measurement)
- 4) Elastic–Inelastic separation
- 5) Multiple scattering correction (Monte Carlo simulations)

6) Cross section calculation:





Validation with <sup>nat</sup>C(n,el) :





#### Neutron scattering on <sup>54</sup>Fe and <sup>56</sup>Fe with the ELISA setup (JRC-Geel)





cea















- Agreement within uncertainties with the (n,tot) of Harvey (1987).
- Analysis ongoing.



 $C\!P\!Z$ 









54**Fe**(n,n) + 54**Fe**(n,n'<sub>1</sub>):



Good agreement with the (n,tot) of JEFF 3.3



- Li-glass detector used.
- Sample at  $\sim$ 24 m flight path, detector at  $\sim$ 50 m.
- Moderated neutron beam used (with <sup>10</sup>B and Pb filters)
- <sup>nat</sup>Fe sample:
- 3 metallic discs available:

ID	Thickness (mm)	Mass (g)
1	1.194	667
2	4.486	2506.4
3	4.488	2504

• Samples **no. 1** and **no. 2** measured during summer 2023.





- Li-glass detector used.
- Sample at  $\sim$ 24 m flight path, detector at  $\sim$ 50 m.
- Moderated neutron beam used (with <sup>10</sup>B and Pb filters)
- <sup>nat</sup>Fe sample:
- 3 metallic discs available:

ID	Thickness (mm)	Mass (g)
1	1.194	667
2	4.486	2506.4
3	4.488	2504



- Samples no. 1 and no. 2 measured during summer 2023.
- Combination of **no. 2** and **no. 3** (=> 9cm, "thick" sample) to be measured as soon as GELINA is back to normal => probe into cross section minima with better statistics.







- The background contribution needs to be taken into account in a consistent way, with the black filters technique (*Na* –*Co* –*W*).
- $B(t) = bo + b_1 e^{-\lambda 1 t} + b_2 e^{-\lambda 2 t} + b_3 e^{-\lambda 3(t+t_0)}$



Calculations by Georgios with the help of Stephan Kopecky, C. Paradela, P. Schillebeckx.



#### **Direct Capture Calculations:**

- DC calculations with the pdix code completed.
- DC calculations to be continued with CONRAD => testing and adjusment of the RRP files already available and/or produced at CEA Cadarache.
- Direct capture seems important.

#### **Neutron Scattering Measurements at GELINA:**

- <sup>54,56</sup>Fe(n,el/inl) reactions measured high resolution for the forst time with enriched targets. Finalisation of the analysis (<sup>56</sup>Fe) is needed.
- New experimental campaign on Neutron Scattering Measurements on <sup>63,65</sup>Cu with enriched samples will be perfomed (APRENDE) at the ELISA setup, in the context of a new PhD thesis (NTUA/CEA).
- Improvement of the ELISA setup (discussions ongoing with the local staff at JRC-Geel).

#### **Transmission Measurements at GELINA:**

- Natural Fe samples of two thicknesses already measured at GELINA, analysis ongoing.
- A "thick" natural Fe sample (~9 cm) will also be measured once GELINA is functional again.

Checks at / Correction of RRP file produced with CONRAD.



### THANK YOU

## This work has been supported by the 2020 ARIEL EU project and EUFRAT project of the EURATOM.