





Yonghao CHEN (陈永浩)

Institute of High Energy Physics(IHEP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Spallation Neutron Source Science Center

> Technical meeting on Neutron Data Standards IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, October 9-13, 2023



1. CSNS Back-n facility

- 2. Experimental setup
- 3. Analysis and preliminary results
- 4. Future plan







China Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS)

Started running since 2018 1.6 GeV protons bombard tungsten target 25 Hz repetition frequency Current beam power: ~140 kW Double-bunch mode

Back-streaming neutron (Back-n) beamline





1. Back-n facility at CSNS



CSNS-II project



User operation will be almost unaffected during CSNS II construction



Yonghao CHEN. TM on NDS, IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, October 9-13, 2023













- 1. CSNS Back-n facility
- 2. Experimental setup
- 3. Analysis and preliminary results
- 4. Future plan





2. Experimental setup



- A fission chamber (FIXM) is used for measuring the fission events
- Proton recoil telescope (PRT) is used for measuring the scattering protons









2. Experimental setup



• Sample details

U5





	Samp	ole Mass (mg)	Size (mm)	Uncertainty	
	U5	6.319	φ50	0.9%	
Sam	ple	Average thickness (mg/cm ²)	Side length (mm)	Uncertainty	
LDF	ЪЕ	9.989	77	0.39%	
Grap	hite	8.653	77	0.57%	



Yonghao CHEN. TM on NDS, IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, October 9-13, 2023





- 1. CSNS Back-n facility
- 2. Experimental setup
- 3. Analysis and preliminary results
- 4. Future plan





3.1 Analysis of the FIXM



Time-of-flight (TOF) method for determining neutron energy

$$V = \frac{L}{TOF} = \frac{L}{T - T_0}$$

- L is determined by the resonance peaks of ²³⁵U sample
- T_0 is determined by the γ -flash events





3.1 Analysis of the FIXM

- This measurement was campaigned in double-bunch mode: two identical proton bunches with a well-defined interval (410 ns) in each proton pulse
- An iterative algorithm based on Bayes' theorem is developed for unfolding the TOF spectrum (Han Yi et al, *JINST* (2019) **14**: 02011)

$$C_i^{(k+1)} = E_i \frac{C_i^{(k)}}{C_{i-\Delta}^{(k)} + C_i^{(k)}} + E_{i+\Delta} \frac{C_i^{(k)}}{C_i^{(k)} + C_{i+\Delta}^{(k)}}$$







3.2 Analysis of the PRT



TOF method application

- L is determined by the resonance peaks of ^{181}Ta (n, γ)
- T_0 is determined by the γ -flash events









3.2 Analysis of the PRT

- Particle identification by ΔE -E distribution
- A graphite sample with equivalent thickness as LDPE was measured to subtract the contribution from the carbon nuclei







3.3 Preliminary results



Two approaches (references) were used to determin the ²³⁵U(n, f) cross-section

- ① Use H(n, n) cross-section + simulated efficiency (geometric and angular distribution effects are included in the simulation)
- 2 Use differential H(n, n) cross-section directly

Reference ①

H(n, n) cross section:

- IAEA standards (<20 MeV)
- JEFF-3.1.2 (>20 MeV)



Reference ⁽²⁾

Differential H(n, n) cross-section:

- SP07 solution (Arndt) (<20 MeV)
- VL40 solution (Arndt) (>20 MeV)

Thanks to A. Manna and A. D. Carlson for the information of the differential n-p XS data

Transformation: Coordinate system: center-of-mass -> lab Emission particle: (n, n) -> (n, p)

$$\frac{\left(\frac{d\sigma_p}{d\Omega_p}\right)}{\left(\frac{d\sigma_{el}}{d\Omega_{c.m.}}\right)} = \frac{4\gamma_0^2}{\left(1 + \gamma_0^2 \tan^2 \Theta_p\right)^2 \cos^3 \Theta_p}$$
$$\theta_{c.m.} = 180^\circ - \arccos\left(\frac{1 - \gamma_0^2 \tan^2 \Theta_p}{1 + \gamma_0^2 \tan^2 \Theta_p}\right)$$

 γ_0 _ Lorentz factor of the c.m.







Measured ²³⁵U(n, f) cross-section in 10-70 MeV region

- Normalize to the integral value of 10-12 MeV of ²³⁵U(n, f) IAEA standards, since the exact sample quantity in the beam map is uncertainly know.
- Only statistical uncertainties are included for the moment
- Two approaches are generally agreeing with each other











Yonghao Chen et al., EPJ Web of Conf. (2023) 284: 01013







Uncertainty estimation

	J L
Source of uncertainty .	Ş
Counting statistics .	0.7-1.4% -
Double-bunch unfolding	1.9-2.8% ه
Efficiency .	1% ،

 $Uncertainties \cdot of \cdot fission \cdot measurement \cdot by \cdot FIXM \cdot [10-70 \cdot MeV]$

Uncertainties of n-p scattering measurement by PRT [10-70 MeV]

Source of uncertainty .	Ą	<i>ب</i>
Counting statistics .	0.7-8.4%	<i>ي</i>
Double-bunch unfolding .	1.1-14.5% .	<i>ي</i>
Effective efficiency .	1.2 - 4.0% ~	<i>ي</i>
ΔE -E·cut .	0.4% ~	ę
Telescope angle .	0.3% «	ę
Telescope position .	0.2% «	ę

Uncertainty of double-bunch unfolding is highly depending on the statistics







Since double-bunch are well separated in n-p scattering measurement, we may try to give up one bunch to save the unfolding process! In this case, the statistics is reduced by half but the unfolding uncertainty is removed as well!







- 1. CSNS Back-n facility
- 2. Experimental setup
- 3. Analysis and preliminary results
- 4. Future plan





Future plan



Short-term plan

- 1. More detailed analysis will be implemented to improve the data quality
 - more dedicated corrections (detection angle spread, intrinsic efficiency, etc.)
 - Systematic uncertainty estimation
 - ...
- 2. Try to extract the ²³⁵U(n, f) cross-section in 8-10 MeV region, which is meaningful to the evaluation due to its flat behavior
- 3. The finalized data should be submitted for publication next year with high priority







Long-term plan

- 1. PRT is foreseen to be upgraded in the future to lower the detection limit
 - 300 μ m Si + 2.5 cm Csl \rightarrow 100 μ m Si + 300 μ m Si + 2.5 Csl (E)
- 2. Extending the measurement range relative to n-p scattering by upgrading the PRT and increasing the statistics
 - 10-70 MeV → 7-100 MeV
- 3. Bunch-merging mode at CSNS/RCS has been proposed for further study
 - CSNS accelerator is generally (most of the time) operated in double-bunch mode
 - Double-bunch unfolding comprise the large part of the systematic uncertainty
 - Current single-bunch mode reduces the half of the CSNS universal neutron flux
 - Bunch-merging is a very good solution since it will provide single-bunch without losing flux!







Bunch-merging study @ CSNS/RCS

Beam loss

The newly-installed dual rf system for CSNS-II project makes it possible to perform bunch-merging for single-bunch operation mode

Challenges for bunch-merging in the high intensity RCS

- Strong high-intensity effect \rightarrow beam dipole oscillation \cdot
- Very short merging time \rightarrow emittance growth

A fast bunch merging has been proposed

- Desynchronization between the dipole and rf system → increasing the limited merging time
- Optimization/adjustment of the rf phase → compensation of the high-intensity effects

Ref. : Yaoshuo Yuan et al., Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams (2023) 26: 024201



The newly-installed magnet alloy rf cavity for the CSNS-II (Sep. 2022)





Courtesy of Dr. Yaoshuo Yuan (IHEP CAS)





Future plan



Bunch-merging study @ CSNS/RCS

Experiments of bunch merging has been performed with only one rf cavity

- **Conclusion:** the bunch-merging in the CSNS/RCS works with the Desynchronization method.
- **Problems:** is not enough. In the future, the second rf cavity will be installed to supply sufficient rf voltage for bunch merging.



Experimental results of the bunch merging via the wall current monitor (WCM) in the CSNS/RCS

Thanks!

Courtesy of Dr. Yaoshuo Yuan (IHEP CAS)



