

Compound-Nuclear Reactions and Related Topics (CNR\*24) Jul 8 – 12, 2024 Vienna International Center



# Study of the target-deformation impact on the optimal beam energy for the <sup>51</sup>V +<sup>159</sup>Tb fusion-evaporation reaction. *P. Brionnet, H. Haba, D. Kaji, S. Kimura, K. Morimoto, T. Niwase, S. Sakaguchi, H. Sakai*

# **Context of the study**

The search for new elements beyond oganesson (Z=118) needs the use of beams heavier than <sup>48</sup>Ca on actinide targets and hot-fusion reactions: <sup>50</sup>Ti, <sup>51</sup>V, or <sup>54</sup>Cr. The search for Z=119 is underway at RIKEN [1], using the <sup>51</sup>V+<sup>248</sup>Cm $\rightarrow$ <sup>299</sup>119<sup>\*</sup> reaction. However, limited information regarding reaction parameters and the effect of target deformation with projectiles heavier than <sup>48</sup>Ca is currently known. The <sup>51</sup>V+<sup>159</sup>Tb $\rightarrow$ <sup>210</sup>Ra\* reaction has been investigated through both quasielastic barrier distribution and excitation function measurements to study the optimal beam energy, and the effect of target deformation.



# **Barrier Distrubtion Restults**

<sup>45</sup> The **quasielastic barrier distribution** measurement was perfomed <sup>40</sup> by identifying the **target-like** nuclei at the focal plane:

- Time-Of-Flight and implantation energy correlation (left figure): Target-like identification (A=159, red dashed line)  $N_{QE}[^{159}Tb]$ , and rejection of other contaminants.

- Centering of the implantation distribution for transmission correction.

# **Goal of the study**

Expand the systematic study of the quasielastic barrier distribution and the so-called "sidecollision configuration effect/energy" [2-3], observed in hot-fusion reactions on prolatedeformed actinide targets: optimal beam energy linked to the side-collision configuration rather than the tip-to-tip collision configuration.

The objective of this work is to investigate whether this effect is observed with **similarly deformed lighter targets** : <sup>159</sup>Tb



- The average Coulomb barrier height  $(B_0)$  is extracted from the R(E) distribution:  $B_0 = 164$  MeV (blue arrow/lines).
- The CCFULL Code [4] was used, and the parameters were optimized to reproduce the measured distribution and extract the side-collision energy:  $B_{side} = 171.5$  MeV (orange points/arrow).

- Normalization to the dose  $N_{Ruth}[^{51}V]$ .

- Contamination of deep-inelastic events at energies above the average Coulomb barrier (green points in the bottom figures).

The quasielastic barrier distribution D(E) (bottom) is extracted using the reflection probability R(E) (top):

$$R \equiv C \times \frac{N_{\rm QE}[^{159}\rm Tb]}{N_{\rm Ruth}[^{51}\rm V]}$$
$$D(E) = -\frac{dR}{dE} \equiv -\frac{d}{dE} \left(\frac{d\sigma_{\rm QE}}{d\sigma_{\rm Ruth}}\right)$$



# **Experimental Setup and Method**

The experiment was done using the GARIS-III separator/setup coupled with the newly upgraded SRILAC accelerator [1].



### GARIS-III Setup [1]:

- Gas Field Separator: Q-D-Q-Q-D configuration
- Focal plane detection system:
  - Two Time-of-Flight (ToF) detectors.
- Six Silicon detectors in a box configuration. Experimental Method [2-3]:
- Quasielastic barrier distribution: Transport the recoiled target-like nucleus at 0°.
- Excitation function measurement: Transport of the evaporation residue.





The **excitation function** measurement was perfomed using the decays at the focal plane:

- $\alpha$ -spectrum only, accumulated over 24 hours, without decay time selection.
- Overall spectrum fit (top left figure) using the reported decay properties/errors: For each exit channel (xn, pxn,  $\alpha xn$ , ...), the theoretical spectrum fit function (colored lines) was used to extract all



Dose: Rutherford scattering of <sup>51</sup>V at 45° at the target position.
Experimental conditions:

Beam <sup>51</sup>V<sup>13+</sup> intensity:
1.54 pnA (barrier distribution).
150 to 350 pnA (excitation function).

Metallic <sup>159</sup>Tb on 2.83 µm titanium backing:

293±10 µg.cm<sup>-2</sup> (barrier distribution).
363.8±16.3 µg.cm<sup>-2</sup> (excitation function).

# References

[1] H. Sakai, H et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 58, 238 (2022).
[2] M. Tanaka et al., J. Phy. Soc. Jpn, 91, 084201 (2022).
[3] T. Tanaka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 052502 (2020).
[4] K. Hagino et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 123, 143 (1999).
[5] T. Cap, M. Kowal, K. Siwek-Wilczynska, Eur. Phys. J. A (2022) 8:5231

the populations **simultaneously**.

#### - Transmission correction:

- Dispersion of the implantation profile (top right figure).
- Monte Carlo simulation of the particle evaporation: angular distribution.
- Enhancement of the charged particles channels:
- $\sigma(\alpha 3n)$  = 41.7±5 μb,  $\sigma(p3n)$  = 36.3±5 μb, and  $\sigma(3n)$  = 4.7±1.5 μb.
- Maximum of the *xn* channels: consistent with the average barrier height  $B_0=164$  MeV and NOT with the side-collision energy  $B_{side}=171.5$  MeV.

The discussion and interpretation of this enhancement of charge particle evaporation and orientation effect are currently ongoing using a statistical model [5].