Photon Strength Function Update

S. Goriely IAA-ULB, Belgium

 Provide theoretical estimates in 1 MeV energy bins using the D1M+QRPA and SMLO models to compare with data systematics from action #3
 → Provided on 14 Dec. 2022

• Provide theoretical estimates in 1 MeV energy bins using the D1M+QRPA and SMLO models to compare with data systematics from action #3

→ Provided on 14 Dec. 2022

- Test the new microscopic PSF model based on BSk27+QRPA with MSC spectra
 → Provided on 13 Dec. 2022 & 9 June 2023
 - \rightarrow Discussion with Milan on the results:
 - spherical (BSk27+QRPA spin flip) nuclei satisfactory,
 - deformed nuclei may be improved wrt the SMLO scissors mode & upbend
 - Paper still to be written



See Conclusions with Milan

FIG. 1. (Color online) The MD (left) and MSC (right) spectra from decay of 2^+ resonances in ⁹⁶Mo. Simulations performed with HFB-based NLD. The gray area corresponds to C = 20, while black hatched one to C = 40.

 Provide theoretical estimates in 1 MeV energy bins using the D1M+QRPA and SMLO models to compare with data systematics from action #3

→ Provided on 14 Dec. 2022

- Test the new microscopic PSF model based on BSk27+QRPA with MSC spectra

 Provided on 13 Dec. 2022 & 9 June 2023
 - \rightarrow Discussion with Milan on the results:
 - spherical (BSk27+QRPA spin flip) nuclei satisfactory,
 - deformed nuclei may be improved wrt the SMLO scissors mode & upbend
 - Paper still to be written
- Meeting with Prof. Dr. J. Kopecky on 24-25 July 2023
 Discussion on thermal p capture data and PSE
 - → Discussion on thermal n-capture data and PSF
 - Interesting low-energy PSF can be extracted

 \rightarrow cf presentation of JuKo



 Provide theoretical estimates in 1 MeV energy bins using the D1M+QRPA and SMLO models to compare with data systematics from action #3

 \rightarrow Provided on 14 Dec. 2022

- Test the new microscopic PSF model based on BSk27+QRPA with MSC spectra
 → Provided on 13 Dec. 2022 & 9 June 2023
 - \rightarrow Discussion with Milan on the results:
 - spherical (BSk27+QRPA spin flip) nuclei satisfactory,
 - deformed nuclei may be improved wrt the SMLO scissors mode & upbend
 - Paper still to be written
- Meeting with Prof. Dr. J. Kopecky on 24-25 July 2023
 - → Discussion on thermal n-capture data and PSF (cf presentation of JuKo)
 - Interesting low-energy PSF can be extracted (see ⁵⁷Fe primary data)
- Test the new PSF 2023 library

- Test the new PSF 2023 library
 - (p,p') data (Bassauer et al.)
 - Problem with f1_exp_050_120_PP_2016BA61.readme: Copy of ²⁰⁸Pb file ?
 - Use of capital letters in the file name and small letters in the file (fE1 vs fe1 in different libraries, arcdrc, pp, pg, thc, ...)
 - For ^{114,116,118,120}Sn, first PSF values are around 1e-23
 → not realistic obtained by subtraction (fm1=f1-fe1)

 \rightarrow not realistic – obtained by subtraction (fm1=f1-fe1) – should be removed if |f1-fe1|<0.01 ?

```
# fm1 exp 050 114 pp.dat
#
\# Z = 50, A = 114
# No. of entries: 35
# Col 1: photon energy E in MeV
# Col 2: bin width dE in MeV
# Col 3: dipole strength fm1 in MeV^-3
# Col 4: uncertainty dfm1 in MeV^-3
# Format: 2f10.3, 2e12.3
# Author: S. Bassauer, P. von Neumann-Cosel
                     f
#
   E
              dE
                                df
    6.000
          0.200 0.336E-22 0.241E-23
    6.200 0.200 0.385E-27 0.269E-28
    6.400 0.200 0.260E-22 0.178E-23
    6.600
          0.200
                   0.273E-23 0.185E-24
    6.800 0.200
                    0.166E-08
                              0.172E-09
    7.000 0.200
                    0.803E-08
                               0.142E-08
    7.200
            0.200
                    0.472E-08
                               0.746E-09
```

- Test the new PSF 2023 library
 - (p,p') data (Bassauer et al.)
 - Problem with f1_exp_050_120_PP_2016BA61.readme: Copy of ²⁰⁸Pb file ?
 - Use of capital letters in the file name and small letters in the file (fE1 vs fe1 in different libraries, arcdrc, pp, pg, thc, ...)
 - For ^{114,116,118,120}Sn, first PSF values are around 1e-23
 → not realistic obtained by subtraction (fm1=f1-fe1) should be removed if |f1-fe1|<0.01 ?



- Test the new PSF 2023 library
 - (p,p') data (Bassauer et al.)
 - Problem with f1_exp_050_120_PP_2016BA61.readme: Copy of ²⁰⁸Pb file ?
 - Use of capital letters in the file name and small letters in the file (fE1 vs fe1 in different libraries, arcdrc, pp, pg, thc, ...)
 - For ^{114,116,118,120}Sn, first PSF values are around 1e-23
 → not realistic obtained by subtraction (fm1=f1-fe1) should be

removed if |f1-fe1|<0.01?





- Test the new PSF 2023 library
 - (p,p') data (Bassauer et al.)
 - Problem with f1_exp_050_120_PP_2016BA61.readme: Copy of ²⁰⁸Pb file ?
 - Use of capital letters in the file name and small letters in the file (fE1 vs fe1 in different libraries, arcdrc, pp, pg, thc, ...)
 - For ^{114,116,118,120}Sn, first PSF values are around 1e-23

 → not realistic obtained by subtraction (fm1=f1-fe1) should be removed if |f1-fe1|<0.01 ?



M1 PSF from (p,p'): D1M vs SMLO vs BSk27



Test the new PSF 2023 library
 > (p,γ) data
 Format to be improved ?

For example: # f1 exp 030 066 PG 1980ER05.dat # # Z = 30, A = 66# No. of entries: 22 # Col 1: photon energy E in MeV # Col 2: bin width dE in MeV # Col 3: strength f1 in MeV^-3 # Col 4: uncertainty dfl in MeV^-3 # Format: 2f10.3, 2e12.3 # Author: B. Erlandsson Nuclear Physics A343, 197 (1980) Ε # dE f1 df1 10.820 0.000 5.870E-08 7.500E-09 9.780 0.000 4.120E-08 5.100E-09

Problematic cases:

f1_exp_023_051_PG_1979ER05.dat f1_exp_027_059_PG_1982NI05.dat f1_exp_029_061_PG_1982NI05.dat f1_exp_029_062_PG_1982NI05.dat f1_exp_031_065_PG_1987NI14.dat f1_exp_031_069_PG_1983NI04.dat f1_exp_033_071_PG_1979SZ06.dat

Author: Wiedeking?

Test the new PSF 2023 library
 > (p,γ) data
 Format to be improved ?

11.379

0

9.030E-08

```
# f1 exp 040 090 PG 1983SZ02.dat
\# Z = 40, A = 90
# No. of entries: 48
# Col 1: photon energy E in MeV
# Col 2: bin width dE in MeV
# Col 3: strength f1 in MeV^-3
# Col 4: uncertainty df1 in MeV^-3
# Format: 2f10.3, 2e12.3
# Author: P. Dimitriou/M. Wiedeking 1.761MeV subtracted, Szeflinska et al., Phys.Lett. 126B,
159 (1983)
#
     Ε
              dE
                       f1
                                    df1
                     5.790E-08
     9.229
               0
                                6.170E-09
     8.909
               0
                     6.040E-08
                                8.610E-09
     9.019
               0
                     6.100E-08
                                  7.980E-09
     9.129
                     6.130E-08
                                  1.030E-08
                0
                     6.990E-08
     9.579
                0
                                  7.950E-09
     9.709
               0
                  7.100E-08
                                 7.240E-09
     9.339
               0
                  7.670E-08
                                  6.140E-09
     9.979
               0
                  7.740E-08
                                  9.650E-09
     9.469
               0
                  7.910E-08
                                  8.540E-09
```

6.690E-09

0.174E-07

Test the new PSF 2023 library
 RM data
 Format to be improved ?

0.950

```
# f1_exp_042_095_RM.dat
#
\# Z = 42, A = 95
# No. of entries: 64
# Col 1: photon energy E in MeV
# Col 2: bin width dE in MeV
# Col 3: dipole strength f1 in MeV^-3
# Col 4: uncertainty dfl in MeV^-3
                              → 2e13.3
# Format: 2f10.3, 2e12.3
# Author: M. Wiedeking (iThemba LABS)
#
        Ε
                  dE
                           f1
                                        df1
```

0.500

0.401E-07