

# Summary of MOD2/A+M and goals for future

Martin O'Mullane

D Tskhakaya, L Scarlett, K D Laswon



## **Presentations**

## 4 presentations under the MOD2/A+M strand

• Update on atomic data for H and W – and some modelling developments particularly at low-Te for H recombination.

#### M O'Mullane

• PIC modelling of W prompt deposition – identification of missing fundamental data which significantly affects the results.

## D Tskhakaya

• Update on MCCC calculation and database – lots of progress and desire for prioritization of new data.

### L Scarlett

Why does the measured Lyman series on JET not match CR models?

K Lawson



# Principal needs for W sputtering modelling



## Needs for atomic data

## Charge-exchange CS or rates

$$D^+ + W^{(v)} \rightarrow D + W^+$$

$$T^+ + W^{(v)} \rightarrow T + W^+$$

#### Effective ionization rates

$$e+W \rightarrow e+W^{(v)}... \rightarrow 2e+W^{+}$$

Tskhakaya

IAEA Tec meeting 28.11-01.12.23

714

# Future goals

## Data and models are intertwined

- Ensure advances in both feed back to the other.
- Make better use of GNAMPP WG2 to assemble and assess data sub-groups for atomic and molecular work?
- The ITER re-base has de-prioritized Be and emphasised B. But data for Be is still of interest to benchmark ongoing and post-mortem analysis of JET results.
- Metastable nature of the low stages of tungsten, W<sup>0</sup> W<sup>5+</sup> should be modelled. Metastable-resolved ionization data for W<sup>3+</sup> is available from Lanzhou group. Do we need to go higher?
- Do other machines see a discrepancy in Lyman series?
- Contact non-fusion engaged data producers charge exchange calculations may be a fruitful area.
- W<sup>0</sup> ionization is still a priority.
- Can we add uncertainty quantification to the fundamental data.