# CONFLUX

# A Standard Framework for Reactor Neutrino Flux Calculation

Xianyi Zhang On behalf of the CONFLUX project 1/18/2023 2023 IAEA TM on Nuclear Data

LLNL-PRES-xxxxxx

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by 2023 IALAW PRENDER National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.



### Needs of an accessible calculation software

#### **Existing problem:**

- Prediction methods and data are not commonly used
- Long learning curve for non-experts to use data for reactor neutrino modeling
   Needs of an open-source software:
- Common tools and data used for different prediction methods
- Standardized uncertainty quantification
- Standardized comparison between predictions and data
- Widely accessible to particle and nuclear physicists
- Stay up-to-date with new databases and measurements

Recommended in the 2021 WoNDRAM workshop:

Nuclear Data to Reduce Uncertainties in Reactor Antineutrino Measurements

Summary Report of the Workshop on Nuclear Data for Reactor Antineutring Measurements (WoNDRAM) The development of an open software framework enabling uncertainty quantification for and standardized comparisons between direct neutrino measurements and conversion and summation calculations would greatly facilitate progress and reduce hurdles of participation for use case communities. The following recommendations would benefit all three discussed end user communities: nuclear data, reactor monitoring, and particle physics. *That broadened access and utility can be delivered to both the predictions and direct measurement communities by these tools further strengthens the recommendation for their development (recommendation 5).* 

## **Calculation Of Neutrino FLUX**

- A multi-US-institute project
- Modular software
  - Easy for customization
- Methods integrated in one software
  - Summation β spectra
  - Conversions of reactor  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  spectra
  - Neutrino data
- Ingredients
  - Parsed nuclear data for readability
  - Common, flexible beta theory engine
- Open source in Python





#### **The CONFLUX Framework**

- Prediction with three different modes: summation, conversion, and neutrino data
- Flexible user inputs
- Nuclear DBs (ENDF, ENSDF formats) are parsed into xml formats for accessibility



## **Flexible Inputs of Different Modes**

- User input: Time dependent reactor fission fragments or compositions
- Summation:
  - The β branch info parsed from databases such as ENSDF, ENDF, JEFF, JENDL
  - Parser of ENDF and ENSDF included
  - Updated β decay measurement with TAGS

#### • Conversion:

- β spectrum measurements of fission isotopes at ILL [citations]
- Converted neutrino flux from beta spectra

#### ?xml version="1.0" ?>

#### Beta spectrum DB

Beta tally DB

<isotope HL="2.49 S " Q="6.94" isotope="521370">

<isotope HL="24.5 S " Q="5.88" isotope="531370">

<branch end point E="5.88" forbideness="7" fraction="0.452" sigma E0="0.03" sigma frac="0.005"/> <branch end\_point\_E="5.27895" forbideness="5" fraction="0.004" sigma\_E0="0.03007" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.8938" forbideness="4" fraction="0.0005" sigma\_E0="0.0301" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.662" forbideness="0" fraction="0.089" sigma E0="0.0301" sigma frac="0"/> <branch end point E="4.65993" forbideness="8" fraction="0.009" sigma E0="0.03015" sigma frac="0"/> <branch end point E="4.57727" forbideness="6" fraction="0.019" sigma E0="0.03007" sigma frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.36784" forbideness="0" fraction="0.011" sigma\_E0="0.03007" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end point E="4.34568" forbideness="6" fraction="0.023" sigma E0="0.03007" sigma frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.21187" forbideness="3,2.0" fraction="0.005" sigma\_E0="0.03015" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.16445" forbideness="5,6.0,7.0" fraction="0.0052" sigma\_E0="0.0301" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end point E="4.12744" forbideness="0" fraction="0.0011" sigma\_E0="0.03015" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.11383" forbideness="5,6.0,7.0" fraction="0.0086" sigma\_E0="0.0301" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.08392" forbideness="0" fraction="0.01" sigma\_E0="0.03015" sigma\_frac="0"/> <branch end\_point\_E="4.07125" forbideness="0" fraction="0.0045" sigma\_E0="0.0301" sigma\_frac="0"/>

#### <?xml version="1.0" ?>

<HEAD AWR="233.025" FissionZA="92235" LE="3" MT="IFP"> <LIST Ei="0.0253" Ii="2" NFPi="1247"> <CONT DY="1.3122e-19" FPS="0.0" Y="2.05032e-19" ZA="23066"/> <CONT DY="1.54228e-14" FPS="0.0" Y="2.40981e-14" ZA="24066"/> <CONT DY="0.0" FPS="0.0" Y="0.0" ZA="24067"/> <CONT DY="0.0" FPS="0.0" Y="0.0" ZA="24068"/> <CONT DY="1.34924e-18" FPS="0.0" Y="2.10819e-18" ZA="24069"/> <CONT DY="0.0" FPS="0.0" Y="0.0" ZA="24070"/> <CONT DY="4.60767e-12" FPS="0.0" Y="7.19949e-12" ZA="25066"/> <CONT DY="3.44296e-12" FPS="0.0" Y="5.37962e-12" ZA="25067"/> <CONT DY="4.2621e-13" FPS="0.0" Y="6.65953e-13" ZA="25068"/> <CONT DY="5.1387e-14" FPS="0.0" Y="8.02922e-14" ZA="25069"/>

#### **Beta Spectrum Generator**

- A common  $\beta$  spectrum generator for the summation and conversion modes
- Use of state-of-art theoretical calculation with BSG<sup>[CPC 240 (2019) 152]</sup>
- Capable of making virtual beta spectra
- Some corrections are important for low energy beta spectra. Customizable shape factors:
  - Forbidden transitions
  - Weak magnetism factor

Item	Effect	Formula	Magnitude
1	Phase space factor	$pW(W_0 - W$	$\sqrt{2}$ Unity or larger
2	Traditional Fermi function	$F_0$	Unity of larger
3	Finite size of the nucleus	$L_0$	
4	Radiative corrections	R	
5	Shape factor	C	$10^{-1}$ - $10^{-2}$
6	Atomic exchange	X	
7	Atomic mismatch	r	
8	Atomic screening	S	
9	Shake-up	See item 7	
10	Shake-off	See item 7	
11	Isovector correction	$C_I$	
12	Recoil Coulomb correction	Q	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-4}$
13	Diffuse nuclear surface	U	10 -10
14	Nuclear deformation	$D_{ m FS} \ \& \ D_C$	
15	Recoiling nucleus	$R_N$	
16	Molecular screening	$\Delta S_{ m Mol}$	
17	Molecular exchange	Case by case	e
18	Bound state $\beta$ decay	$\Gamma_b/\Gamma_c$	Smaller than $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$
19	Neutrino mass	Negligible	
			CPC 240 (2019) 15.

• ...

#### **Default calculation conditions**

- Summation mode uses ENSDF beta decay info and fission yield from JEFF
  - Databases with similar
- Conversion mode set to repeat the Huber model through virtual spectra fitting



## **Uncertainty calculation**

- Uncertainties in the summation mode:
  - Beta spectra E<sub>0</sub>, correction factors
  - Beta branching correlated branching fraction, ground state feeding correlation
  - Correlated fission products
  - Reactor model uncertainty
- Uncertainties in the conversion mode:
  - Uncertainty of referred data
  - Uncertainty of converted neutrino spectrum – effective atom number, shape factors



## **Processing correlated uncertainty**

- User can provide correlation/covariance matrices for summation uncertainty study
  - Fission fragments
  - Beta branching

matrix





## **Time dependent reactor modelling**

- CONFLUX reactor models with time dependent
  - Fission fragments
  - Beta decaying components (summation only)
  - Reactor simulation results, e.g. MCNP simulation (summation only)



#### **Combined prediction with different modes**

#### Result of two modes can be combined

- Due to common datasets and methods used
- Minimum work to compare results for different modes, data or methods

combination of summation and conversion in different energy range and different fissile isotopes

#### Comparison between referred databases







### **Calculation output with selected conditions**

- Calculation output with selected condition (e.g., specified energy range, specified uncertainty contribution)
- Important to pinpoint isotopes contributing to deficits



Isotope	percent-contribution	Q-Value
Br-90	$5.6 * 10^{-3}$	$10.959 { m MeV}$
As-86	$5.5 * 10^{-3}$	$11.541 { m MeV}$
Rb-100	$3.5 * 10^{-3}$	$13.574 { m ~MeV}$
Rb-96	$2.1 * 10^{-3}$	$11.571 { m ~MeV}$
As-84	$1.3 * 10^{-3}$	$10.094~{\rm MeV}$
In-130	$9.7 * 10^{-4}$	$10.249~{\rm MeV}$
Rb-97	$3.8 * 10^{-4}$	$10.432 { m ~MeV}$
Br-92	$2.7 * 10^{-4}$	$12.537 { m ~MeV}$
Cd-131	$1.4 * 10^{-4}$	$12.87 { m MeV}$
Ga-80	$1.2 * 10^{-4}$	$10.38 { m ~MeV}$
Ga-84	$1.1 * 10^{-4}$	$13.69 { m MeV}$
Ga-82	$6.3 * 10^{-5}$	$12.484~{\rm MeV}$
In-132	$6.2 * 10^{-5}$	$14.14 { m MeV}$
Rb-98	$4.0 * 10^{-5}$	$12.054~{\rm MeV}$
Br-93	$3.1 * 10^{-5}$	$11.09~{\rm MeV}$

## Simplified calculation workflow

- Codes are formatted for PIP installation to be used as Python modules
  - Beta spectrum generator
  - Nuclear DB parsers
  - Summing and converting methods
- Documentation and manuals will be available online
- Parsers and methods can be applied generally to ENDF DB format for future DB update
- Examples are provided for most common applications



## **Coming soon**

- Documentation in progress
  - Demonstrating beta-calculation result in with established beta decay measurements and calculations
  - Sanity checking the calculation result with published calculations and synthetic data
- Building the direct prediction mode with neutrino data



## **Potential Scientific Output**

- Neutrino spectra and flux prediction on different reactor types:
  - BSM neutrino measurements
  - Reactor CEvNS

#### • Contribute to the nuclear data community

- Direct cross-database comparisons
- Search for deviations to prioritize beta decay measurements to be revisited
- Studies on the reactor simulation for near field reactor survey

Commercial reactor neutrino

Reactor neutrino flux calculation below IBD



...



- CONFLUX is a framework to predict reactor neutrino flux with three calculation modes.
- The goal is to provide calculation modules with standard, easy-to-access nuclear data and state-of-art theories of beta decays.
- Analyzers can use flexible reactor inputs and corrections.
- The framework is under construction and able to calculate reactor neutrino flux with time dependent reactor inputs.
- CONFLUX is a tool can be utilized to provide a wide range of scientific output for the nuclear and particle physics communities.

# Thank you!

### **Backup – needs from nuclear data**

- Decay information of missing branches:
  - Roughly 6% of beta decay branches missing.
  - Unknown impact in the below IBD range.
- Result of pandemonium effect:
  - Biased branching fractions.
- Correlated uncertainty:
  - Correlation among fission yields needs to be accounted.
  - Program needs to calculate correlated uncertainty.

## **Backup – examples of inputs**

#### Input:

...

. . .

• Time dependent reactor model with fission fractions (all three modes): {{"time\_0", "power\_0", {"235\_Thermal", [frac, d\_frac]}, {"238\_fast", [frac, d\_frac]}, {...}, ...},

{"time\_n", "power\_n", {"235\_ Thermal", [frac, d\_frac]}, {"238 \_fast", [frac, d\_frac]}, {...}, ...}}

• Time dependent radioactive source model with simulated beta branches (summation mode only):

{{"time\_0", "power\_0", {"beta\_branch\_0", [frac, d\_frac]}, {"beta\_branch\_1", [frac, d\_frac]}, ...},

{"time\_n", "power\_n", {"beta\_branch\_0", [frac, d\_frac]}, {"beta\_branch\_1", [frac, d\_frac]}, ...}}