

Evaluation of Advanced Reactor Spent Fuel Management Facility Deployment

Milos I. Atz¹ and Robby A. Joseph² ¹Argonne National Laboratory

²Idaho National Laboratory

IAEA Technical Meeting on Back End of the Fuel Cycle Considerations for Small Modular Reactors September 20-23, 2022 Vienna, Austria

Presentation outline

- 1. Introduction and background
- 2. Generic milestones and SNF management facilities
- 3. Case studies: management challenges, facilities, and milestones
 - a. Example: sodium-cooled fast reactor
 - b. Other advanced reactor SNF types
 - c. Microreactor SNF management
 - d. Overarching observations
- 4. Conclusions



Acknowledgements

- Gordon Petersen and Josh Jarrell, Idaho National Laboratory
- Mark Nutt, Rob Howard, Natalia Saraeva, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
- Roger Blomquist, Argonne National Laboratory
- Kevin Connolly, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Brian Gutherman, Gutherman Technical Services, LLC

This presentation has been created by UChicago Argonne, LLC, Operator of Argonne National Laboratory ("Argonne"). Argonne National Laboratory's work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Energy under contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.



This is a technical presentation that does not account for contractual limitations or obligations under the Standard Contract for Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and/or High-Level Radioactive Waste (Standard Contract) (10 CFR Part 961). For example, under the provisions of the Standard Contract, spent nuclear fuel in multi-assembly canisters is not an acceptable waste form, absent a mutually agreed to contract amendment.

To the extent discussions or recommendations in this presentation conflict with the provisions of the Standard Contract, the Standard Contract governs the obligations of the parties, and this presentation in no manner supersedes, overrides, or amends the Standard Contract.

This presentation reflects technical work which could support future decision making by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE or Department). No inferences should be drawn from this presentation regarding future actions by DOE, which are limited both by the terms of the Standard Contract and a lack of Congressional appropriations for the Department to fulfill its obligations under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act including licensing and construction of a spent nuclear fuel repository.

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.



Introduction

- Many proposed SMR designs are advanced non-LWRs
- Advanced reactor SNF will take many forms and have variable characteristics
- New management requirements may raise new challenges
- Planning must investigate deployment risks and uncertainties
 - Execution Strategy Analysis
 - Performance Assessment of Strategic Options



Diagrams for various Gen-IV reactor concepts; from left to right: SFR, HTGR, MSR, LFR [2]





Milestones and activities

Milestone: achievement required to reach the final goal of SNF facility deployment *Activity*: any R&D, design, or decision to achieve an intermediate goal



Example portion of a success precedence diagram (from Ref. [3])



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Formal authorization of an organization to site and develop the facility

Determination of organization management structure

Determine financial responsibility



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Develop siting process

Request and evaluate volunteer sites, identifying alternatives

Negotiate consent agreements with host communities

Designate site



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation
 infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Design and test rolling stock

Design and obtain NRC approval of transportation packages

Manufacture and procure transportation fleet

Identify maintenance needs and design maintenance facilities

Plan: select routes, obtain approvals from authorities, and enter contracts



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Establish facility scope and conceptual design

Complete safety analysis

Establish acceptance criteria



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Prepare and submit license application for nuclear facility to NRC

NRC reviews the application, prepares EIS considering alternatives and incorporating public input

Obtain necessary licenses and permits from local, tribal, state, and federal authorities



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Prepare site

Construct facility, all ancillary facilities, and the necessary transportation infrastructure



- Establishing responsibility
- Siting the facility
- Establishing transportation infrastructure
- Designing the facility
- Licensing the facility
- Constructing the facility
- Testing the facility

Conduct pre-operational testing to verify facility performs as designed and licensed

Potentially include pilot-scale demonstration prior to full-scale operation



Generic advanced reactor SNF management operations and facilities

0. After discharge, SNF must be cooled and stored on-site

- 1. SNF may require treatment to remove unacceptable (e.g. chemically reactive or water soluble) fuel components and produce stable waste forms
- 2. SNF may be stored at a consolidated interim storage facility away from reactors
- 3. Ultimately, SNF would likely be disposed of in a geologic repository

Transportation likely required between at least some steps



Generic SNF management flow sheet for direct disposal assuming fuel treatment step and transportation between all facilities [1]



Putting it together: conceptual matrix of operations/facilities and milestones

Consider advanced reactor SNF management requirements: which combinations of operations/facilities and milestones appear to pose challenges?





Example: sodium-cooled fast reactor (SFR) SNF

Characteristics

- U-Zr or U-Pu-Zr metal, clad in stainless steel
- Hexagonal pin bundle in stainless steel duct
- Sodium bond to improve fuel-clad heat transfer
 - Proposed annular designs could omit bond sodium

Compared to LWR SNF

- Higher burnup and higher thermal efficiency
- Lower heavy metal discharge per electricity generated (mass and volume)
- Higher decay heat and dose rate



Cross-cut diagram of an example SFR fuel assembly



SFR SNF management requirements



SFR SNF management milestone challenges

Challenge	Milestones	Operations and facilities	Comments
Ownership and financial responsibility	Establishing responsibility	Fuel treatment, disposal	Responsibility for R&D and execution must be established
Designing for operations	Facility design	All	Design must accommodate unique SNF characteristics
Licensing new technologies	Licensing	Storage, treatment, transportation	Limited experience with commercial SFR; if HLW, treatment wastes excluded from ISFSI



Observations for other advanced reactor SNF

High-temperature gascooled reactor SNF

Characteristics

- Robust TRISO-based fuels
- High burnup, thermal efficiency
- Low fissile density

Anticipated challenges

• Material accountancy for SNF pebbles during on-site storage



Observations for other advanced reactor SNF

High-temperature gascooled reactor SNF

Characteristics

- Robust TRISO-based fuels
- High burnup, thermal efficiency
- Low fissile density

Anticipated challenges

• Material accountancy for SNF pebbles during on-site storage



Liquid-fuel molten salt reactor SNF

Characteristics

- F and CI salts; Pu, HALEU, or Th/U-233 fuel
- Salt discharged at shutdown
- Separate fission prod. streams

Anticipated challenges

- Salt instability
- Management of entire SNF inventory at EOL
- Establishing responsibility and reducing uncertainty in salt treatment and waste form prep
- Licensing new technologies and storage classifications

Observations for other advanced reactor SNF

High-temperature gascooled reactor SNF

Characteristics

- Robust TRISO-based fuels
- High burnup, thermal efficiency
- Low fissile density

Anticipated challenges

• Material accountancy for SNF pebbles during on-site storage.



Liquid-fuel molten salt reactor SNF

Characteristics

- F and Cl salts; Pu, HALEU, or Th/U-233 fuel
- Salt discharged at shutdown
- Separate FP streams

Anticipated challenges

- Salt instability
- Management of entire SNF inventory at EOL
- Establishing responsibility and reducing uncertainty in salt treatment and waste form prep
- Licensing new technologies and storage classifications

Lead-cooled fast reactor SNF

Characteristics

- Oxide (UO₂ / MOX) or nitride (UN) fuel
- Helium backfill or lead bond
- High burnup, thermal efficiency

Anticipated challenges

- Acceptability of lead-bonded SNF in a repository
- UN stability as a waste form
- Licensing lack of experience



Microreactor SNF

- Microreactors loosely related by size, but all other features can be different
- Many concepts are non-LWR:
 - SNF will have similar challenges to that from larger reactors
- Common characteristics: long cycle length, HALEU fuel, remote siting



Possible microreactor SNF management pathways [1]



Challenges for microreactor SNF management

Combined reactor/SNF shipment

- Size and weight limitations
 - Transportability
 - Remote deployment limited infrastructure
 - Unclear if coolant can remain in reactor
- Criticality safety for lower-burnup, higher-enrichment SNF
 - Flooded package must be subcritical

Simplifies on-site management, complicates transportation

Separate reactor/SNF shipment

- Necessitates on-site fuel handling:
 - Build/deploy facilities to the site
 - Remote deployment limited infrastructure
 - Need to plan for off-normal conditions, damaged fuel
- Criticality safety and physical protection
 - SNF has higher fissile content than that from larger power reactors

Complicates on-site management, simplifies shipping



Overarching observations

Challenges not uniformly distributed across operations/facilities and milestones.

- More/larger challenges for operations and facilities earlier in the management process: on-site storage and spent fuel treatment
- Some milestones are largely independent of the spent fuel to be managed: siting, construction, and testing

Beyond technical design/demonstration of the necessary technologies, the challenges could require one or more of the following:

- 1. NRC rulemaking
- 2. Congressional legislation
- 3. Unique physical security considerations



Conclusions

- Proposed a framework to consider how challenging aspects of advanced reactor SNF management affect potential facility deployment
 - Generic SNF management pathway for direct disposal back end
 - High-level deployment milestones applicable to any facilities
- Case studies:
 - Overview on sodium-cooled fast reactor SNF management
 - Brief discussion on additional insights from other reactor SNF types
 - Microreactors pose new SNF management challenges potential pathways proposed and investigated
 - Overarching takeaways and observations
- Importantly, none of the identified challenges are disqualifying all can be accommodated with R&D, planning, and regulatory reform
- For more information, details, and discussion, please see Ref. [1]



References

- 1. M. Atz, "Generic Activities and Milestones for Deploying Advanced Reactor Spent Fuel Management Facilities (Rev. 3)." ANL/NSE-21/28 Rev. 3, Argonne National Laboratory (2022).
- 2. Gen-IV International Forum, "Technology Systems." (2013). https://www.gen-4.org/gif/jcms/c_59461/generation-iv-systems
- 3. R. Stoll et al, "Development of an Execution Strategy Analysis (ESA) Capability and Tool for Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel (UNF)," in *IAEA International Conference on Management of Spent Fuel From Nuclear Power Plants*, Vienna, Austria (2015).
- 4. US DOE NE, "TRISO Particles: The Most Robust Nuclear Fuel on Earth." (2019). <u>https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/triso-particles-most-robust-nuclear-fuel-earth</u>

