

# Action #30, 2019 NSDD: Compilation of ENSDF policies adopted at previous NSDD meetings

M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia, LBNL NSDD meeting (virtual), Apr 4 - 7, 2022

#### **NSDD** meeting Reports - checked:

https://www-nds.iaea.org/publications/group\_list.php?group=INDC-NDS

- 14<sup>th</sup> meeting 2000 indc-nds-0422
- 15<sup>th</sup> meeting 2003 indc-nds-0456 and 0421
- 16<sup>th</sup> meeting 2005 indc-nds-0476 @McMaster U., Canada
- 17<sup>th</sup> meeting 2007 indc-nds-0513 @St. Petersburg, Russia
- 18<sup>th</sup> meeting 2009 indc-nds-0559
- 19<sup>th</sup> meeting 2011 indc-nds-0595
- 20<sup>th</sup> meeting 2013 indc-nds-0634 @U. of Kuwait, Kuwait
- 21<sup>th</sup> meeting 2015 indc-nds-0687
- 22<sup>th</sup> meeting 2017 indc-nds-0733 @Berkeley, USA

#### **Adoption vs. Recommendation**



#### **Annex 9. Proposals/Position Papers – page 83**

2. Simple way to avoid underestimating uncertainties of the evaluated values for sets of consistent data: a proposal for an improvement of evaluation, page 87

V.P. Chechev, Radionuclide Data Center, V.G. Khlopin Radium Institute, Russia

The topic was also discussed in other later meetings. In the \*.ave output of "Consistency check" – Jun adopted it as a suggestion



## 15<sup>th</sup> meeting – 2003 – indc-nds-0456:

# Rule for isospin assignment

#### **Annex 7. Proposals/Position Papers** – page 137

#### Response to Action 30 in NSDD2000

F.E. Chukreev (CAJAD, Moscow) – page 138

In the cases, where level energy ( $A \le 61$ ) agrees with the formulae:

E(N,Z) = Eb(N,Z) - Eb(N + 1,Z - 1) + 1.484 (Z - 1/2)/A 1/3 - 1.293 MeV for N>Z

E(N,Z) = Eb(N,Z) - Eb(N-1,Z+1) - 1.484 (Z+1/2)/A1/3 + 1.293 MeV for N<Z

then isobaric spin =isobaric spin (g.s) +1 may be assigned for the level.

In the formulae *Eb* is binding energy.

#### Reference:

2002Bo12 I.N.Boboshin, V.V.Varlamov, B.S.Ishkhanov. Bull.Rus. Acad. Sci; Phys. 66, 813 (2002); Formula for Energy of First Excited Nuclear State with Isospin T>



2.3.12 Adopted data (C.M. Baglin (LBNL)) - page 18

The strong J<sup>™</sup> rule for proton decay was discussed in detail. Baglin declared that for spherical odd-Z, even-N nucleus, the spin and parity of a level exhibiting proton decay can be taken to be equal to a particular set of J<sup>™</sup> values of the emitted proton provided that:

- (a) transition reaches the ground state of the daughter nucleus;
- (b) proton J<sup>π</sup> values are physically reasonable (i.e., supported by systematic studies and/or shell-model calculations);
- (c) calculated proton radioactivity half-life for those  $J^{\pi}$  values is smaller than the experimental value;
- (d) calculated proton radioactivity half-lives for the other physically possible  $J^{\pi}$  values are far larger or far smaller than the experimental value.

These observations and proposals were accepted in full.

In NDS General Policies (40)



## 16th meeting – 2005 – indc-nds-0476: Cont'

Resonance data

- 3. Technical Recommendations: page 19 only a few are copied here
  - 7. Resonance data should be given for all mass regions page 20

Page 23 – Guidelines for Evaluators April, 2021

10. Similar to the decay evaluations (DDEP), other horizontal evaluations should be consulted during mass-chain evaluation.

Page 18/partial – Guidelines for Evaluators April, 2021



# 2.4.4. Labelling of bands, configurations and cascades in ENSDF/NDS – page 14

The aim of this initiative was to promote uniformity and presentation in the labelling of bands and cascades. Various guidelines were proposed:

a) to g)

**ACTION:** All network participants to consider the proposals of Singh/Kondev for the labelling of bands, configurations and cascades – follow these established rules, and provide comments/criticisms.

2.5. Transition quadrupole moments (F.G. Kondev (ANL) and B. Singh (McMaster University)) – see also Annex 6 – page 14



#### 6.3. Recommended $J^{\pi}$ assignments for the RIPL library (Firestone) – p 22

Firestone proposed that in order to accommodate the needs of RIPL we define a level continuation record  $TJ^{\pi}$  that would give the evaluator's suggested  $J^{\pi}$  value.  $TJ^{\pi}$  records should only be given when the Adopted Levels  $J^{\pi}$  field is blank or contains multiple possible values and the evaluator can propose a  $J^{\pi}$  value on the basis of nuclear model calculations or systematics. The  $TJ\pi$  record is most important for Adopted Levels datasets in nuclei that are produced by neutron capture on stable targets

 $TJ^{\pi}$  perhaps means 2 L  $J^{\pi}$ 



### 19th meeting – 2011 – indc-nds-0595

## Resonance/Isomer t<sub>1/2</sub>

2. A revised policy for inclusion of p resonances in ENSDF was presented by Balraj Singh, and adopted. While coverage for n resonance was left to the evaluators' judgment, primary transitions from the thermal-n capture state will be included in the Adopted dataset. – page 32

https://www-

nds.iaea.org/nsdd/presentations%202011/Thursday/Singh\_Res\_data\_p olicy NSDD11.pdf

## Page 25 – Guidelines for Evaluators April, 2021

4. Members of the international network of NSDD evaluators agreed to adjust the lower limit of the half-life of a level to be considered an isomer. The current lower limit of 0.1 s was judged to be too high. Therefore, in line with NUBASE, attendees agreed to adopt a lower limit value of 100 ns. – page 33

In NDS General Policies – Jan, 2020: Isomeric limit remains as T≥0.1 s



#### 1.) Charged-particle and neutron resonance data (B. Singh) - p 25

It was decided that the General Policies would be modified to take into account the decisions taken in previous USNDP and NSDD meetings regarding coverage of charged-particle and neutron resonance data.

Page 25? - Guidelines for Evaluators April, 2021



### 21st meeting – 2015 – indc-nds-0687

# Horizontal evaluation and t<sub>1/2</sub>

# 5.4. Proposal to include horizontal evaluations in adopted data sets (P. Dimitriou and B. Singh) – page 26

Several actions were agreed upon during the discussion of this proposal:

**Action on Tuli**: all horizontal evaluations to be made available to evaluators in a single location.

# Appendix: GUIDELINES FOR ENSDF HALF-LIFE EVALUATIONS (GROUND STATES AND LONG-LIVED ISOMERS) – page 41

A.L. Nichols (University of Surrey, UK), B. Singh (McMaster University, Canada)

Final Document adopted at the 21st NSDD Meeting, 20-24 April 2015, IAEA, Vienna.



### 22st meeting – 2017 – indc-nds-0733

#### 4. DEDICATED SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS - page 13

- 4.1. Policies and Procedures Subcommittee
  - 5. Adopt Tropical year to define the days in one year → Tropical year = 365.24219 d. An Action was placed on NNDC-BNL to ensure that tropical year is implemented in all relevant nuclides (see Annex 4, Action #34).
  - 8. A2, A4, DCO and POL should be placed in the 2G record, and both DCO and POL need to be accompanied with explanations for their adoption. Action placed on NNDC-BNL to provide a list of the quantities that can be included in the 2G record and to ensure FMTCHK recognizes POL (see Annex 4, Actions #38, 39) page 14

Page 84, 34 – Guidelines for Evaluators April, 2021



# Thank you

