

Recent nuclear decay and structure data research at Jilin University

Yang Dong

College of Physics, Jilin University Changchun 130012,China dyang@jlu.edu.cn

2022.4.7, Changchun



For last year, three relative works have been done under the funding support in China:

1. Decay data evaluation for CENDL-DDL database of CNDC

2. Systematics study for the ground states' spin of odd-Z nucleus;

3. Statistical analysis of half-lives measurement results (in process)



1. Decay data Evaluation for CENDL-DDL database

- > 22 nuclides' decay data has reevaluated and submitted to the CENDL-DDL 1.0 decay database of CNDC.
- Some differences compared with the ENSDF for several nuclides: 1. New measurements;
 - 2. Adopted only the latest measurement from one laboratory;
- 3. Not just used the newest measurement as the recommended value;
- 3. Normalization factor: strong-intensity γ -rays feeding the ground state with large ICC will bring large uncertainty for calculation so the measured value is preferred;
 - 4. Other considerations about physics and measurement method.



2. Systematics study for the ground states' spin



Fig1. Low-lying levels of odd-Z As isotopes

> Purpose:

1. Provide more information to support the spin assignment for which lackes the measurement;

2. Help to get better understanding of the nuclear structure properties;

Target: odd-Z nuclides with Z=25 to Z=67;

> Work:

1. Systematical comparision as in Fig. 1;

2. Physical analysis: orbitals of the valence particles and nulear deformation;

 3. Theoretical calculation: Shell model and covariant density functional theory (CDFT) calculation.



- 2. Systematics study for the ground states' spin
 - Conclusion: discussion finally focused on about thirty nuclides for spin assingment and physical invesitigation, and results have summarized for publications.



"Low-lying state investigations of odd-A Mn isotopes around N = 28", (Submitted to PRC)



3. Statistical analysis of half-lives measurement (in process)



Fig. Measured ¹⁴¹Ce half-lives and uncertanties

Purpose:

- The 1972La14 measurement is higher than most others and with a very small uncertainty. Thanks for the 1976Va30 measurement, the final calculated recommended value is consistent with the most measurements;
- Proper uncertainty can ensure the reasonable weight estimation, and hence more suitable recommended value;
- Underestimation of the uncertainty?



3. Statistical analysis of half-lives measurement (in process)

- Idea: To systematically review the half-life measurements and analyze the data by different analysis methods to check whether there is statistical character in measurement results;
- target: nuclides of half-lives ranging from nearly one days to a few years
- ➤ work:

1. build the proper sample set with the same distribution, considering method, equipment (detector and aquisition system), time, lab (time consuming but the data amount still not big enough!);

2. covariance analysis or machine learning.

> What do we expect:

1. a lower-limit of the systemaic error or a method separating the systemaic error and statistical uncertainty?

2. At least, getting better understanding of the half-life data measurement will definitely benifit for the evaluation.



Thank you

