

## LG-SIMS oxygen isotope and impurity distribution characterization of U-O-bearing particles as signatures of process history

**Abstract:** Oxygen isotopes and impurities may provide nuclear forensic signatures related to processing of uranium materials. These signatures can be evaluated by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). Here we describe such SIMS characterization of U-O-bearing materials and discuss the need for reference materials to understand signatures from real materials.

**SIMS oxygen isotope characterization:** The various chemical reactions for converting uranium ore concentrates (UOCs) to desired species (e.g.  $\text{UO}_2$ ,  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$ , etc.) can fractionate oxygen isotopes in potentially distinguishable ways. Oxygen from the local environment may also impart their isotope signatures during processing. Bulk analysis of U-O-bearing materials can provide  $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  ratios with a high-level of precision for evaluating process histories. SIMS can complement bulk oxygen isotope data by providing additional signatures related to isotope homogeneity at micron-level scales (e.g. particles from swipes, small fragments of fuel pellets). The isotope homogeneity or heterogeneity of a material may be related to (1) equilibrium kinetics specific to processing reactions and/or (2) the presence or lack of multiple endmember oxygen isotope sources. Figure 1 shows example SIMS particle data from New Brunswick Laboratory certified reference material (CRM) 129-A ( $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$ ) and two UOCs, one consisting of  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  and one consisting of uranyl peroxide (we note the instrument was calibrated to produce a mean  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  value of 0‰ for CRM 129-A). Here, the two  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  samples have resolvable mean  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values, and the uranyl peroxide sample has a lower particle-to-particle variability when compared to the  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  sample datasets. These characteristics likely reflect the specific process histories of each material.

**Mapping impurity distributions by SIMS:** Distributions of impurities within U-O-bearing materials may be related to process history, and can be revealed through SIMS isotope mapping. Figure 2a shows an example of O, F, Cl, and U isotope signals from  $\text{UO}_3$  particles (sample 1). In this case, the F and Cl impurities are co-located with the uranium and oxygen signals from the particles. Figure 2b shows a three isotope plot ( $^{19}\text{F}/^{16}\text{O}$  vs.  $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{16}\text{O}$ ) of (1) sample 1  $\text{UO}_3$  particle data (black data; left side of the plot); and (2) particle data from a second sample consisting of  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  material (maroon and green data; right side of the plot). Whereas the  $\text{UO}_3$  data are tightly clustered, indicating co-location of impurities with the U-O-bearing particles, the  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  material shows three clusters of data, including a high F residue and a high Cl residue. These impurity distribution differences are likely due to low temperature processing that didn't separate F and Cl from U (e.g. the  $\text{UO}_3$  sample) compared to high-temperature sintering that separated U, F, and Cl (e.g. the  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  sample).

**The need for reference materials:** In order to interpret oxygen isotope and impurity distributions of real materials, it is vital to have reference materials with known process histories that collectively represent a meaningful parameter space of material processing. In part, this can be achieved through laboratory synthesis of materials through various chemical reactions and conditions. Subsequent characterization of these materials can then trace oxygen isotope ratios and impurity distributions back to how the material was synthesized, to better understand signatures of real materials.

Through Los Alamos National Laboratory, this document is approved for unlimited release under LA-UR-21-31759.

**Primary authors:** TENNER, Travis (Los Alamos National Laboratory); NAES, Ben (Los Alamos National Laboratory); WURTH, Kim (Los Alamos National Laboratory); STEINER, Rob (Los Alamos National Laboratory); LAMONT, Steve (Los Alamos National Laboratory); MCDONALD, Luther (University of Utah); MATZEL, Jenny (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory)

**Presenter:** TENNER, Travis (Los Alamos National Laboratory)

**Session Classification:** Oral Session #7 – Signature Research on Isotopic Signatures and Age-Dating

**Track Classification:** 1. Nuclear Forensics Capability Building: Initiation and Sustainability: 1.2 New Technologies, R&D and Signature Research in Nuclear Forensics