

Technical Meeting on Nuclear Heating Theory and Data

19-22 April 2022

Toward a consistent calculation of prompt and beta decay observables from fission fragment by TALYS

Shin OKUMURA¹, Kazuki FUJIO², Arjan KONING¹

Nuclear Data Section, International Atomic Energy Agency
 Tokyo Institute of Technology



Introduction





Required consistencies among fission observables

- Number of total neutron emissions (MF1 MT452)
 - Number of prompt neutron emissions (MF1 MT456)
 - Prompt neutron spectrum (MF5 MT18)
 - Number of beta-delayed neutron emissions (MF1 MT455)
 - Beta-delayed neutron emission probabilities (branching ratio) in the decay data
- Independent fission product yield (MF8 MT454)
 - Number of beta-delayed neutron emissions (MF1 MT455) via Decay data
 - Cumulative fission product yield via Decay data
 - Decay heat via Decay data
- Cumulative fission product yield(MF8 MT459)
 - Decay data
 - Independent fission product yield (MF8 MT454)
 - Number of beta-delayed neutron emissions (MF1 MT455)

In order to ensure consistencies among fission observables, it is important to calculate from fission fragment to beta decay in one flow.

Identifying Inconsistencies in Fission Product Yield Evaluations with Prompt Neutron Emission P. Jaffke, *J. Nucl. Sci. Eng.*,190,258-270 (2018).

Hauser-Feshbach statistical decay for fission fragments

- To treat a primary fission fragment as a compound nucleus
- Distributions of primary fission fragment characterized by Y(Z, A, E_{ex}, J^Π) are generated and integrated deterministically for all primary fission fragment pairs (no MonteCarlo sampling).



Neutron emission multiplicity

$$\overline{\nu}_{l,h}^{(k)} = \int dE_x \sum_{J\Pi} \int d\epsilon \ R(J,\Pi) G(E_x) \phi_{l,h}^{(k)}(J,\Pi,E_x,\epsilon)$$

• Distribution of excitation energy, *G(E)*

$$G(E_x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta_{l,h}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(E_x - E_{l,h})^2}{2\delta_{l,h}^2}\right\}$$
$$\delta_{l,h} = \frac{\delta_{\text{TXE}}}{\sqrt{E_l^2 + E_h^2}} E_{l,h}$$

 Probability of nucleus having the state of spin J and parity Π, R(J, Π)

$$R(J,\Pi) = \frac{J + 1/2}{2f^2\sigma^2(U)} \exp\left\{-\frac{(J + 1/2)^2}{2f^2\sigma^2(U)}\right\}$$

σ²(U): spin cut-off parameter
 U: Excitation energy
 f: scaling factor

Okumura et al. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 55, 1009-1023, (2018)

Prompt decav

Inputs for Hauser-Feshbach calculation in TALYS



2. Reconstruct fission fragment distributions ($G(E_x)$ and $R(J^{\Pi})$)

- 3. Run Hauser-Feshbach statistical decay calculation
 - neutron(s) and gamma(s) emission from the fragment



Prompt decay

Fission fragment yield models stored in TALYS (1.96)



GEFDesigned with global fitting parameters based on experimental data(ffmodel 1)F. Nordström, Technical Report UPTEC ES21016, Uppsala university, 2021.From 76Os to 115Mc, 737 nuclides

HF³D Designed with a fully deterministic technique with fitting functions

(ffmodel 2) S. Okumura, T. Kawano, P. Jaffke, P. Talou, and S. Chiba, JNST, 55(9),1009–1023, 2018.

²³⁶U, ²³⁹U, and ²⁴⁰Pu, 3 nuclides

- **SPY** Designed with a statistical scission point
- (ffmodel 3) model using microscopic calculation J.-F. Lemaître, S. Goriely, S. Hilaire, and J.-L. Sida, PRC99, 034612, 2019.

²⁴⁰Pu, ²⁴¹Pu, ²⁴²Pu, and ²⁴³Pu, 4 nuclides

... more and updated fission fragment distributions are expected for GEF and SPY model



LocalArbitrary fission fragment data provided by users.(ffmodel 0)(will be implemented in next update of TALYS)

Please see details in the IAEA NDS report

https://nds.iaea.org/publications/nds/iaea-nds-0239/



Table 1: Representative fission quantities comparable to experimental data.

Туре	Description
$Y_i(A)$	Independent fission yield as a function of mass number
$Y_i(Z, A, M)$	Independent fission yield of all isotopes including meta-stable state
$\overline{ u}$	Average number of neutrons per fission
$\overline{\gamma}$	Average number of γ -rays per fission
$\overline{ u}(A)$	Average neutron multiplicity as a function of fission product mass
$\overline{\gamma}(A)$	Average γ -ray multiplicity as a function of fission product mass
$\langle E_n \rangle$	Average prompt neutron energy
$\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle$	Average prompt γ -ray energy
$\langle E_n \rangle(A)$	Average neutron energy as a function of product mass
$\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle(A)$	Average γ -ray energy as a function of product mass
$P(\nu)$	Neutron multiplicity distribution
$\chi(u)$	Prompt fission neutron energy spectrum (PFNS)
$\phi(\gamma)$	γ -ray energy spectrum

Calculated neutron observables for ²³⁵U+n_{th}

Work from K. Fujio in IAEA-NDS-0239

Prompt decay



Prompt decay

Calculated neutron observables for ²³⁵U+n_{th}

Work from K. Fujio in IAEA-NDS-0239



Energy dependent fission observables for ²³⁵U+n

Work from K. Fujio in IAEA-NDS-0239

Prompt decay



Standalone beta decay program

β decay

- Python 🥐
- 1. Convert ENDF format decay data library files to
 - (1) Simplified format (inspired by the Oyak code)

55	141	0	2.4910000E+01	6.000000E-02	1.3970950E+02	2	5 1920	
1.547	3620E+0	6	1.8786310E+05	1.7248140E+06	5.7510480E+03	7.5359	650E+01	3.0848980E+00
1.000	0000E+0	0	0.000000E+00	5.2560000E+06	1.000000E+04	9.9965	800E-01	2.6533000E-05
1.500	0000E+0	0	0.000000E+00	7.2100000E+05	1.2000000E+04	3.4200	000E-04	1.400000E-05

- (2) JSON format
- 2. Generate β -decay chains from decay data library
- 3. Import independent fission product yield file (TALYS output format)
 - FP yield FF yield Ζ A iso FP xs FF xs 30 74 -1 5.3961E-06 3.0000E-06 1.9191E+00 1.0670E+00 75 -1 30 1.4014E-05 1.1000E-05 4.9841E+00 3.9123E+00 31 75 -1 2.7919E-06 2.0000E-06 9.9294E-01 7.1132E-01
- 4. Solve the Bateman equations
- 5. Calculate beta-decay observables from independent yield
 - Cumulative fission product yield (at 1000 years time period)
 - Decay heat from β and γ rays (time dependent)
 - Delayed neutron yield (at 1000 years time period and time dependent)
 - Spectrum (Under development)
- Preview decay-chain
- Open source in Github
 - Test version: <u>https://github.com/shinokumura/FPY_betadecay</u>
 - Will be moved to Github/IAEA-NDS near future

Features





Method

1. Import calculated independent fission product yield, Y_{IND}(Z, A, M) by TALYS

vieldZA1.00E-06.fis # n + 235U : Z, A Fission yields # E-incident = 1.00000E-06 # # # Ζ A iso FP yield FF yield FP xs FF xs Isom, Ratio 74 -1 5.3961E-06 3.0000E-06 1.9191E+00 1.0670E+00 30 75 -1 1.4014E-05 1.1000E-05 4.9841E+00 3.9123E+00 30 75 -1 2.7919E-06 2.0000E-06 9.9294E-01 7.1132E-01 31 76 -1 30 5.7899E-05 5.0001E-05 2.0592E+01 1.7783E+01 76 -1 31 5.5761E-06 3.0000E-06 1.9832E+00 1.0670E+00 32 76 -1 1.9258E-06 2.0000E-06 6.8493E-01 7.1132E-01 30 77 -1 7.2165E-05 4.0001E-05 2.5666E+01 1.4226E+01 30 77 0 5.3674E-05 1.9090E+01 7.4378E-01 2.5622E-01 30 77 1 1.8490E-05 6.5761E+00 77 -1 31 5.7907E-05 4.6001E-05 2.0595E+01 1.6360E+01 29 78 -1 2.1861E-06 3.0000E-06 7.7751E-01 1.0670E+00

- 235 U + n (E_n= thermal 5 MeV)
- Note: Implementation of multi-chance fission energy range is underway
- 2. Import decay data library (any decay data library in ENDF format)

B decay

Decay heat and delayed neutron yield at E_n= thermal



Decay heat:

Sum of average energies of β and γ rays from fission products as a function of time following a single fission event.

 $DH_{\gamma,\beta}(t) = \sum \langle E_{\gamma,\beta} \rangle \lambda_{\gamma,\beta} N_i(t) \quad N_i(t)$: FP Yield at time t

Delayed neutron:

Sum of the number of delayed neutron emissions.

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\rm d}(t) &= \sum P_{\rm n} \lambda_{\rm i} N_{\rm i}(t) & N_{\rm i}(t): \mbox{ FP Yield at time } t \\ \overline{\nu_{\rm d}} &= \sum P_{\rm n} N_{\rm i} & N_{\rm i}: \mbox{ Cumulative yield} \end{split}$$



B decay

Energy dependent cumulative yield



Fig 1. Comparison of cumulative yield of selected fission products showing as function neutron energy



Energy dependent decay heat and delayed neutron



Fig 3. Comparison of total decay heat (–:total, dashed: β and γ) burst functions of ²³⁵U for various neutron energies



β decay

Advertisement: Dataexplorer

- Easy-to-use nuclear data plotter
 - Evaluated cross section with experimental data: <u>https://nds.iaea.org/dataexplorer/xs</u>
 - Evaluated cross section for comparison:
- https://nds.iaea.org/dataexplorer/libs
- Residual production with experimental data: https://nds.iaea.org/dataexplorer/rp
- Fission yields <u>https://nds.iaea.org/dataexplorer/fy</u>
- Data table view and tabulated data download



~

Add more data to the chart by selecting dataset from the following table.

	¢ Author ¢	Year 🗘	#Entry 🗘	Points 🗘	E_inc[eV]
	filter data				
Technical Meeting (Nagy	1978	10798002	9	1.5000e+6
0	Nagy	1978	10798004	30	1.5000e+6

Add more data to the chart by selecting dataset from the following table.

\$ Author	Year	\$ #Entry 🗧	Points	\$ E_inc[eV]
filter data				
Gudkov	1983	40878002	10	1.0100e+6
Deckshanks	2000	41 400000		1 0100



Technical Meeting on Nuclear Heating Theory and Data

Summary

(1) TALYS^[1] fission fragment de-excitation

- Fission fragment distributions by GEF^[2], HF³D^[3], SPY^[4], and user's own model
 - Z_P model fission fragment generator
- Application of the Hauser-Feshbach theory to the fission fragment deexcitation process (currently up to 5 MeV)
- Calculate independent fission product yield, as well as prompt neutron and gamma observables

(2) Beta-decay observables from beta-decay of fission products

- Cumulative fission product yield
- Decay heat from β and γ rays
- Delayed neutron yield

Details will be published in the IAEA NDS report

(Ad) Fission yield plotter

https://nds.iaea.org/publications/nds/iaea-nds-0239/

https://nds.iaea.org/dataexplorer/fy



Koning AJ, Hilaire S, Duijvestijn MC. AIP Conference Proceedings. 2005;769(1):1154–1159.
 Schmidt KH, Jurado B, Amouroux C, Schmitt C. Nuclear Data Sheets. 2016;131:107 – 221.

[3] Okumura S, Kawano T, Jaffke P, Talou P, Chiba S. JNST. 2018;55(9):1009–1023.
[4] Lemaitre JF, Goriely S, Hilaire S, Sida JL. Phys Rev C. 2019 Mar;99:034612.



Acknowledgements



- The IAEA-NDS acknowledges the internship program "The Nuclear Regulation Human Resource Development Program (ANSET: Advanced Nuclear 3S Education and Training)" entrusted to Tokyo Institute of Technology by the Nuclear Regulation Agency of Japan, for supporting this work.
- T. Kawano (Los Alamos National Lab) for his advice and intensive support on our work.
- A. Al-Adili (Uppsala University) for GEF calculations and data supply.
- S. Goriely (Université Libre de Bruxelles) and J.-F. Lemaitre (CEA) for SPY calculations and data supply.



Thank you for your attention.





