



# KERMA calculation using TRIPOLI-4<sup>®</sup> and kinematics distributions of secondary particles.

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

Cédric Jouanne (CEA Saclay)

Technical Meeting on Nuclear Heating Theory and Data, April 2022

**Particles : Neutron, Photon, Electron, Positron**

**Neutron** : - GP Files up to 20 MeV (default upper energy)

**Neutron Data Library treatment :**

1/ NJOY2016 + CALENDF :

- RRR reconstruction and Doppler : NJOY2016 (RECONR+BROADR)
- TSL Treatment NJOY2016/THERMR
- Probability Tables in URR provided by CALENDF

2/ GALILÉE-1 (since 2021) :

- Reconstruction, Doppler, PT (URR), TSL

**Photon** : - Photo-Atomic reactions (EPDL97 library), **no treatment**

- Thick Target Bremsstrahlung

- Photonuclear reactions (semi-relativistic treatment) ENDF/B-VII library,  
recent libraries will be added (JENDL-5, TENDL-21,...), **no treatment**

**Electron, Positron** : EEDL + EADL + Mott Scattering, **no treatment**

TRIPOLI-4® keyword : DEPOSITED\_ENERGY ( $E_D$ )

it can be applied for : NEUTRON, PHOTON, ELECTRON, POSITRON

Energy carried by heavy charged particles (proton, alpha, recoiled...) is deposited locally **by using the energy balance equation (the energy of the charged particles does not need to be sampled)**

Energy Balance :  $E_{D,n} = E_{proj,n} + Q - \sum_i E_{i,n} - \sum_j E_{j,\gamma}$

$E_{D,n}$ : Kerma Neutron

$E_{proj,n}$  : Neutron incident energy

$E_{i,n}$  : Neutron outgoing energy

$E_{j,\gamma}$  : Photon outgoing energy

If Photon is not transported, energy carried by photon is deposited locally (the same for electron and positron)

◆ Pure neutron simulation : DEPOSITED\_ENERGY NEUTRON

The energy carried by the photons emitted in nuclear reactions is assumed to be deposited locally :  $E_{D,n} = E_{proj,n} + Q - \sum_i E_{i,n}$

◆ Coupled neutron/photon simulation : adding DEPOSITED\_ENERGY PHOTON

DEPOSITED\_ENERGY NEUTRON :  $E_{D,n} = E_{proj,n} + Q - \sum_i E_{i,n} - \sum_j E_{j,\gamma}$

DEPOSITED\_ENERGY PHOTON :  $E_{D,\gamma} = E_{proj,\gamma} + Q - \sum_i E_{i,n} - \sum_j E_{j,\gamma}$

LRFlag is taken into account

## Kinematics of outgoing particles :

### 1/ Neutron projectile :

- Neutron :
- Elastic scattering : Angular distribution (MF4)
  - Inelastic scattering : Angular distribution (MF4) in CoM  
Double Differential data (MF6)
  - Fission : Energetic distribution (MF5) and Angular distribution (MF4)  
Double Differential data (MF6)
  - (n,2n), (n,3n) : MF5 +MF4  
MF6
  - other reactions : Double differential data (MF6 / Kalbach-Mann)

neutron kinematics are well described

- Photon :
- Discrete inelastic scattering :  
(MF12 or MF13) + MF14. Restriction : Energies are given  
in laboratory frame → No spread  
MF6 : Impossible to reconstruct properly the gamma cascade
  - Other reactions : Average multiplicities and Average distributions

## Kinematics of outgoing particles :

### 2/ Photon projectile :

- Photon :
  - Photo-atomic data : OK (+ EADL – Relaxation data)
  - Photonuclear data : incorrect threshold for various reactions  
Kinematics : classical or relativistic
- Neutron :
  - The near-exclusive use of MT5 (MF3 + MF6) does not allow the kinematics to be built correctly  
Exception : TENDL-21 considers MT50 reaction for all nuclei (only for 8 nuclei in the ENDF/B-VII photonuclear library)

**Coupled neutron-photon calculations** : KINEMATIC\_LIMITS (TRIPOLI-4 score) computes the energy deposited by neutrons in coupled neutron-photon calculations without actually having to simulate photons, based on the laws of kinematics.

	JEFF-3.3 (562 nuclei)	ENDF/B-VIII (556 nuclei)	JENDL-5 (795 nuclei)	JEFF-40T1 (562 nuclei)	TENDL-21 (630 nuclei)
(n,gamma) *	1	141	98	1	0
(n,fission) *	~ 9 / 70		~ 79 / 92	~ 20 / 70	~ 5 / 90
Inelastic *	24	5	4	39	43
Coupled n/ $\gamma$ *	31	9	477	42	46

(n,gamma) \* : No gamma production for radiative capture. MT5 or MT3 ?

(n,fission) \* : No gamma production for induced fission. MT5 or MT3 ?

Inelastic\* : Gamma missing in MF12 for discrete inelastic scatterings. Ex : Pu239 / ENDF/B-VIII

MF12 : MT51  $\rightarrow$  MT68 ; MF3 : MT51  $\rightarrow$  MT90

Incoherence with the ENDF-6 Format : Impossible to reconstruct gamma cascade

Coupled n/ $\gamma$  \* : TRIPOLI-4<sup>®</sup> calculation crashes. Transport n/  $\gamma$ . Neutron Source 14 MeV

## Unit Base interpolation for secondary energy distributions is needed

- Negative angular distributions (MF4) : B10, Gd156 & Pu240 (MT2), Mn55 (MT71)
- Wrong or missing Q-Values in MF3 : Cd114, Cd116, Gd155, Gd156, Gd157, Gd158, Gd160, Sn113, Th233
  - Question : Use of an official file for masses : Nubase ?
- Discrepancies on masses : C12, Cd106, Cd113, Li6, Xe134
- Discrete inelastic scattering in MF6 : 46 nuclei
- Missing or underestimated gamma production at threshold for MT91
  - Ex : Ag109 ; Q-value : 1.524 MeV ; Threshold (MT91) : 1.539 MeV
  - Gamma Prod @1.53 MeV : 2 keV
  - second energy point 1.8 MeV
  - Between 1.53 and 1.8 MeV the gamma production is underestimated
- For many nuclei, energy distributions are unchanged between 30 MeV and 200 MeV
- Wrong normalizations of energy distributions : Sn120, Cu67

## Unit Base interpolation for secondary energy distributions is very often used

- Negative angular distributions (MF4) : N14, O16, Sb126, Ra223, Ra224, Ra225
- MF6 is extensively used for discrete inelastic scattering (658 nuclei)  
→ Impossible to reconstruct gamma cascade
- Law 7 is used for MF6 / MT5  $f(E, \mu, E')$  : Tripoli-4 transforms Law7 in Law 1  $f(E, E', \mu)$
- C13 : Threshold MT54 > Sn but No BreakUp Flag
- Gamma Yield < 1 for discrete inelastic scattering. Ex : Cs133, Gd155, Gd157,..  
Gd157 : MF6 MT51 : Ein: 5.488350e+04 ; Gamma Yield < 1 : 7.463000e-02  
Gd157 : MF6 MT51 : Ein: 2.000000e+07 ; Gamma Yield < 1 : 7.463000e-02



## Unit Base interpolation for secondary energy distributions is needed

- Q-value differs from Nubase for 2 nuclei : Pu246 (MT45), Th232 (MT112)
- Anisotropy (MF4) : Threshold (MF4) should be lower or equal to Threshold (MF3)
- Anisotropy (MF4) : Cu63/MT2, Tabulated data (E > 30 MeV), normalization > 1  
Pb208/MT2, Tabulated data (E > 1 MeV), normalization > 1
- Energy Distribution (MF5) / Number of precursor families. U235 MF1 : 8 ; MF5 : 6
- MF6 Normalization  $\neq 1$  : Ag113 (MT104), Cu67 (MT105), In114 (MT105), Mo93 (MT106)
- F19 MF12 : Gamma production reaction by reaction up to 4.63 MeV.  
Use of MF13/MT3 above 4.63 MeV
- N14 : Overestimation of gamma production for (n,alpha) reaction and underestimation of gamma production for radiative capture
- U233 : underestimation of gamma production in radiative capture

Question : Gamma production in Zr Clad.

Case of Zr90 inelastic scattering to 2.319 MeV excited state (Metastable state 0.8s)

Is a prompt or a delayed gamma ? MT53 : discrete inelastic to 2.319 MeV excited state.

Depletion calculation to provide delayed gamma.

JENDL-5 : MF6/MT53 without gamma production and use of MF10/MT4  
to product metastable state → gammaProduction through decay  
of the metastable stata

JEFF-3.3 : MF12/MT53 : Prompt gamma.

In a depletion calculation , if you calculate the production of Zr90M using  
Activation File and a neutron flux, the calculation generates gamma decay  
of the metastable state → Double production of this decay

TENDL-21 : MF12/MT53 : prompt gamma + MF8-10/MT4 : metastable state production  
→ Double production of this decay

- Unit Base Lin-Lin interpolation is more physical than Lin-Lin.  
This is the forced interpolation in NJOY/MCNP
- MF12/MT51-90 : Best way to reconstruct gamma cascade for discrete inelastic scattering
- Effort on secondary particles energy distributions for  $E_{in} > 20-30$  MeV
- Threshold and kinematics for photonuclear reactions
- The use of MT3 or MT5 induces complicated energy release calculations



**Thank you for your  
attention**