IAEA-TM on Long-Pulse Operation of Fusion Devices, Nov. 15, 2022

Avoidance control of high-density collapse based on data-driven prediction in Large Helical Device

<u>T. Yokoyama¹</u>, H. Yamada², S. Masuzaki^{3,4,5}, B. J. Peterson^{3,5}, R. Sakamoto^{2,3}, M. Goto^{3,5}, T. Oishi^{3,5}, G. Kawamura^{3,5}, M Kobayashi^{3,5}, T. I. Tsujimura³, Y. Mizuno³, K. Tanaka^{3,4}, T. Kinoshita⁴, H. Sakai⁴, J. Miyazawa^{3,5}, K. Mukai^{3,5}, N. Tamura^{3,5}, G. Motojima^{3,5}, and K. Ida^{3,5}

¹ Naka Institute, QST, ² GSFS, The University of Tokyo, ³ NIFS, NINS, ⁴ Kyushu Univ., ⁵ SOKENDAI

The authors are grateful to the LHD experiment group for the excellent support of this work. This work is supported by the National Institute for Fusion Science grant administrative budgets NIFS21KLPP068, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 17H01368, 19J20641, and 20K20426.

Contents

Introduction

- Collapses in stellarator-heliotron plasmas
- Data-driven study on radiative collapse

Estimating "collapse likelihood" in LHD

- Feature extraction using sparse modeling
- Estimation and validation of collapse likelihood

Collapse avoidance experiments in LHD

- Development of feedback control system to avoid radiative collapse
- Feedback control experiment to avoid collapse

Conclusion

Introduction: collapses in stellarator-heliotron plasmas

Radiative collapse and density limit in stellarator-heliotron plasmas

- Improvement of density limit is necessary to realize fusion reactor.
- Radiative collapse is the major termination event that limit operational density in stellarator-heliotron plasmas.
 - Sudo scaling is one of the best-known scaling laws of density limit. [Sudo et al., 1990]
 - Theoretical analyses show the impurity radiation loss is important in radiative collapse.
 [K.Itoh et al., JPSJ(2001) and Zanca et al., NF(2019)]
- Prediction and avoidance of radiative collapse are necessary for high-density operation.

Large Helical Device (LHD)

- LHD is the largest superconducting helical device which started operation in 1998 in Toki (Japan).
- In this study, radiative collapses in density ramp-up experiment in LHD have been considered.



Introduction: data-driven study on radiative collapse

Radiative collapse predictor using machine learning

- Aim to give a criteria to avoid radiative collapse.
- Classify stable and close-to-collapse data using support vector machine (SVM) based on high density experiment data in LHD.

Feature extraction of radiative collapse

- Aim to develop new density limit scaling and Sup understand physical background of occurrence of radiative collapse.
- Exhaustive search, which is one of sparse modeling techniques, has been used to select optimal combination of input parameters.
 - It was shown that selecting optimal input parameter can improve performance of classifier in disruption prediction. [Yokoyama et al., FED(2019)]



Introduction of sparse modeling #1

A framework of data-driven science

- Exploits the inherent sparseness in high-dimensional data.
- Extracts the maximum information from data effectively.



Introduction of sparse modeling #2

Parameter selection in classification problem

- Consideration of not only individual distributions but also the effect of parameter combinations is necessary.
- Exhaustive Search (ES) has been used.
 - In ES, all possible combinations of parameters are compared to find out the optimal combination.
 - Parameters are selected considering the effect of parameter combinations.



Construction of dataset

Radiative collapse data

- High density experiment in LHD
- Experiment conditions
 - Hydrogen and deuterium plasma
 - Density ramp-up by gas puff fueling
 - High and low magnetic field
 - Changing NBI heating power

Preprocessing

- Labeling according to \dot{P}_{rad}/P_{rad}
 - Close-to-collapse: $\dot{P}_{rad}/P_{rad} > 2.5$
 - Stable (far from collapse): $\dot{P}_{rad}/P_{rad} < 2$
- Min-max normalization
- Taking logarithms of the dataset



Construction of dataset

Radiative collapse data

- High density experiment in LHD
- Experiment conditions
 - Hydrogen and deuterium plasma
 - Density ramp-up by gas puff fueling
 - High and low magnetic field
 - Changing NBI heating power

Preprocessing

- Labeling according to \dot{P}_{rad}/P_{rad}
 - Close-to-collapse: $\dot{P}_{rad}/P_{rad} > 2.5$
 - Stable (far from collapse): $\dot{P}_{rad}/P_{rad} < 2$
- Min-max normalization
- Taking logarithms of the dataset

Candidate parameters

- Global parameters
 - \bar{n}_{e} , B_{t} , β_{dia} , a_{99} , Δ_{sh} , and D/(D + H)
- Input and radiation power
 - Absorbed heating power P_{abs}
 - $-P_{rad}$ normalized by P_{abs}
- Ion saturation current at divertor I_{sat}^{7L}
- Impurity line emissions normalized by $\bar{n}_{\rm e}$ CIII, CIV, OV, OVI, and FeXVI
- Electron temperature



Results of feature extraction

Summary of ES results

- The performance of classification was maximized with few parameters
- Some parameters are included in common around K=6



 $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$

marg

Results of feature extraction

Result of ES-5-SVM

- Extracted parameters: \bar{n}_{e} , CIV, OV, and $T_{e,edge}$
 - Combination of those parameters is important to predict collapse
- Decision boundary: $1 = \exp(-5.89) \bar{n}_e^{0.864} \text{CIV}^{0.995} \text{OV}^{-0.395} T_{e,edge}^{-1.85}$



 $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$

Collapse likelihood #1

Calculation of collapse likelihood

- Calculated using extracted parameters (\bar{n}_e , CIV, OV, and $T_e@edge$).
- Corresponding to the distance from the boundary.

$$1 = \exp(-5.89) \,\bar{n}_{e}^{0.864} \text{CIV}^{0.995} \text{OV}^{-0.395} T_{e,edge}^{-1.85}$$



Collapse likelihood

Calculation of collapse likelihood

- Calculated using extracted parameters (\bar{n}_{e} , CIV, OV, and $T_{e}@edge$).
- Corresponding to the distance from the boundary.

$$1 = \exp(-5.89) \,\bar{n}_{e}^{0.864} \text{CIV}^{0.995} \text{OV}^{-0.395} T_{e,edge}^{-1.85}$$

 Operational region has been expressed using collapse likelihood





T. Yokoyama @ IAEA-TM on LP

Predictor model using collapse likelihood

Validation of predictor model

- The model has been validated using discharges outside the training dataset.
 - Magnetic configuration is the same as the dataset.
 - No impurity pellet is injected during the discharge.
- 91 collapse discharges and 444 stable discharges has been considered.

Result of validation

- In most collapse discharges, collapse likelihood was raised by time and reached about 0.9 just before the collapse
- About over 85% of collapses are predicted before occurrence (> 30ms) successfully.
- False alarms are 5-10% of stable discharges.



Feedback control experiment to avoid collapse #1

Overview of feedback control system

- Input: $n_e L$ (FIR), T_e (ECE), CIV and OV (VUV spectroscopy)
- Actuators: 1. Electron cyclotron heating (ECH) boost injection

2. Turning off gas-puff fueling

- Collapse likelihood is calculated in real time by Raspberry Pi.
- If the likelihood exceeds threshold, control signal is turned on.



Collapse Controller GUI

one.lhd.nifs.ac.jp yokoyama

.lhd.nifs.ac.ip

/narameters csv

SSH connection

Connect

tart (wait for trigge

Feedback control experiment to avoid collapse #2

Density ramp-up in H plasma

- Discharge without control
 - Radiative collapse occurred in the early phase of ramp up.
- Discharge with control
 - Collapse in early phase was avoided.
 - The collapse was detected about 65ms before occurrence.
 - Density developed without collapse up to $\bar{n}_{\rm e} > 1.2 \times 10^{20} [{\rm m}^{-3}]$.



Feedback control experiment to avoid collapse #3

Plasma state and operational region

- When avoiding the collapse, it was observed that the plasma returned from the unstable region to stable region.
- While recovering from unstable state, plasma density kept increasing since the recycling existed.





T. Yokoyama @ IAEA-TM on LP

Collapse avoidance with only ECH boost

Motivation

- Collapse could not be avoided only with on-axis boost ECH
- According to the extracted feature (CIV, OV),

the power balance at plasma edge may be important

 Off axis ECH has been used to heat edge region effectively

Off-axis ECH experiment

- In low-density case, collapse was avoided only with off-axis boost ECH
- After density became higher, collapse was not avoided
- Possibility to avoid collapse only with off-axis ECH was shown.



Conclusion

Data-driven approach on collapses in fusion plasma

- In high-performance plasma, collapses are likely to occur and limit plasma performance.
- Data-driven prediction and avoidance of collapses are necessary.

Data-driven control to avoid radiative collapse in LHD

- Collapse likelihood has been estimated quantitatively by means of data-driven method.
 - The feature parameters used to estimate the likelihood has been extracted by sparse modeling
 - When the plasma approaches collapse, the likelihood increases.
- The real-time collapse avoidance control system has been developed and applied to avoid density ramp-up experiment in LHD.
 - If the likelihood exceeds the threshold, fueling is regulated and additional heating is injected.
 - The plasma behavior while avoiding the collapse has been discussed and the attenuation of inward pinch effect has been observed.
 - Possibility to avoid radiative collapse by off-axis ECH was shown.