Applying Advanced Tokamak Principles to Enable a Compact Steady State Fusion Pilot Plant

By **RJ Buttery**

with key contributions from J.M. Park, J.T. McClenaghan, D. Weisberg, J. Canik, J. Ferron, A. Garofalo, C. Holcomb, P.B. Snyder

Presented at the IAEA Long-Pulse Technical Meeting, Vienna

November 15th 2022





Advanced Tokamak Principles Enable Efficient Compact Fusion Power Plant Concepts

Isteady state = Is

- Shaping, broad profiles and high β improve transport and stability
 - > High bootstrap fraction reduces need for expensive current drive
 - Lower current solutions with decreased loads, sustained noninductively



Advanced Tokamak Principles Enable Efficient **Compact Fusion Power Plant Concepts**

- Shaping, broad profiles and high β improve transport and stability
 - > High bootstrap fraction reduces need for expensive current drive
 - > Lower current solutions with decreased loads. sustained noninductively





Pulsed

Steady State

Normalized radius

bad

curv

turbulent

eddy twisted & stabilized

Current density 1.5

1.0

0.5

modes

dissipated

by wall

Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

Principles of the steady state approach

-Shaping, broad profiles & high $\beta \rightarrow$ high bootstrap

-Benefits to stability, transport, pedestal and fast ions

Pilot power plant projection

-Analytics, methodology, projections

Benefits and Research needs



Shaping raises ideal MHD limits

- Increases current carrying capacity
- Extends eigen-structure into wall



Shaping raises ideal MHD limits

- Increases current carrying capacity
- Extends eigen-structure into wall
- Broader pressure profiles place gradients in strong magnetic shear region



- Shaping raises ideal MHD limits
 - Increases current carrying capacity
 - Extends eigen-structure into wall
- Broader pressure profiles place gradients in strong magnetic shear region
- Broader current displaces mode further into the wall



- Shaping raises ideal MHD limits
 - Increases current carrying capacity
 - Extends eigen-structure into wall
- Broader pressure profiles place gradients in strong magnetic shear region
- Broader current displaces mode further into the wall
- Higher β increases Shafranov shift (axis moves outward)
 - Moves mode further to wall & raises shear



Shaping raises ideal MHD limits

- Increases current carrying capacity
- Extends eigen-structure into wall
- Broader pressure profiles place gradients in strong magnetic shear region
- Broader current displaces mode further into the wall
- Higher β increases Shafranov shift (axis moves outward)
 - Moves mode further to wall & raises shear

Effects combine to raise pressure in core by factor 5

- Self-consistently generate bootstrap currents aligned with required profiles for stability





- Particle drifts interact with low frequency electromagnetic waves causing instabilities and turbulence
- With peaked profiles, field lines align on bad curvature side → eddies grow radially
- Broad current profile drives negative local shear
 - Even though average shear is weak

Asymmetry in field pitch



- Particle drifts interact with low frequency electromagnetic waves causing instabilities and turbulence
- With peaked profiles, field lines align on bad curvature side → eddies grow radially
- Broad current profile drives negative local shear
 - Even though average shear is weak
 - Eddies twist into good curvature region



- Particle drifts interact with low frequency electromagnetic waves causing instabilities and turbulence
- With peaked profiles, field lines align on bad curvature side → eddies grow radially
- Broad current profile drives negative local shear
 - Even though average shear is weak
 - Eddies twist into good curvature region
 - Leads to turbulence stabilization •



- Particle drifts interact with low frequency electromagnetic waves causing instabilities and turbulence
- With peaked profiles, field lines align on bad curvature side → eddies grow radially
- Broad current profile drives negative local shear
 - Even though average shear is weak
 - Eddies twist into good curvature region
 - Leads to turbulence stabilization
 - -Accentuated by Shafranov shift:





• Peeling-ballooning modes well coupled at low shape



- Peeling-ballooning modes well coupled at low shape
- High shaping see drives separate in parameter space
 - Opens valley in pedestal stability



- Peeling-ballooning modes well coupled at low shape
- High shaping see drives separate in parameter space
 - Opens valley in pedestal stability



- Peeling-ballooning modes well coupled at low shape
- High shaping see drives separate in parameter space
 - Opens valley in pedestal stability
 - Sweet spots at higher pressure & density
 - More elongation moves nose right



- Peeling-ballooning modes well coupled at low shape
- High shaping see drives separate in parameter space
 - Opens valley in pedestal stability
 - Sweet spots at higher pressure & density
 - More elongation moves nose right



- Peeling-ballooning modes well coupled at low shape
- High shaping see drives separate in parameter space
 - Opens valley in pedestal stability
 - Sweet spots at higher pressure & density
 - More elongation moves nose right
- Super H-Mode discovered on DIII-D – Record β_N=3.1 with a quiescent edge



High shaping raises performance and density !

RJ Buttery/IAEA-LP/2022 20

Broad Current Profile Ensures Fusion Products Stay Confined

- Potential for Alfvénic resonances in weak magnetic shear regions
 - Overlapping modes lead to transport



Broad Current Profile Ensures Fusion Products Stay Confined

- Potential for Alfvénic resonances in weak magnetic shear regions
 - Overlapping modes lead to transport







Broad Current Profile Ensures Fusion Products Stay Confined



Benefits of Broadened Profiles Validated in AT Scenarios

- Most fast-ion transport eliminated
- + 15% higher β_N accessed





Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

Principles of the steady state approach

- -Shaping, broad profiles & high $\beta \rightarrow$ high bootstrap
- -Benefits to stability, transport, pedestal and fast ions

Pilot power plant projection

- Analytics, methodology, projections

Benefits and Research needs



Based on Steady State Concepts Reactor Analytics Show a More Efficient & Robust Path is Possible

Recall fusion power:

$P_{Fus} \propto Pressure^2 R^3 \propto \beta_N^2 B^4 R^3/q^2$

- Raising $\beta_N \& B$ will reduce required device size, R, and still leave net electric

Based on Steady State Concepts Reactor Analytics Show a More Efficient & Robust Path is Possible

Recall fusion power:

 $P_{Fus} \propto Pressure^2 R^3 \propto \beta_N^2 B^4 R^3/q^2$

- Raising $\beta_N \& B$ will reduce required device size, **R**, and still leave net electric

• Start from EU 'stepladder' DEMO – Adjust R to get $P_{net} = 200MW$ for given $\beta_N \& B$

 $P_{net} = \eta_{th}(P_{Fus} + P_{heat}) - P_{plant} - P_{CD}/\eta_{CD}$

- Rapid decrease in device size possible... lower P_{elec}, **higher B**



Based on Steady State Concepts Reactor Analytics Show a More Efficient & Robust Path is Possible

Recall fusion power:

 $P_{Fus} \propto Pressure^2 R^3 \propto \beta_N^2 B^4 R^3/q^2$

- Raising $\beta_N \& B$ will reduce required device size, **R**, and still leave net electric

- Start from EU 'stepladder' DEMO – Adjust R to get $P_{net} = 200MW$ for given $\beta_N \& B$ $P_{net} = \eta_{th}(P_{Fus} + P_{heat}) - P_{plant} - P_{CD}/\eta_{CD}$
 - Rapid decrease in device size possible... lower P_{elec} , higher B, higher β_N & less CD

Can we project such a device with reactor simulation?











Used Integrated Physics Model to Design Device that Proves Net Electric Viability and Conducts Long Pulse Nuclear Testing

- Goal: Prove key principles at low capital cost
 - Net electricity Nuclear materials Breeding

Constraints:



Target Parameters	Rationale
Net electric (200MW)	Show fusion reactors can power themselves
Compact scale: 3 – 6m, 5 – 9T	Affordable
High bootstrap fraction (90%)	Reduce recirculating power & scale
Tolerable/significant neutron load	Nuclear testing mission: materials, breeding
Tolerable divertor challenge	Viable target for divertor research

Set tractable challenges where we expect progress in the next few years

First predictive approach to reactor design!

Compact Pilot Plant Concept Drives Needs to Minimize Power Losses At Every Stage

Small device must minimize Iosses at every step

- Otherwise no electricity left
- Or they might melt!

Minimize recirculating power

- Steady State approach
- Efficient technology



Initial Results Highlighted Importance of an Efficient System

- 'Conservative' present technology current drive & thermal efficiencies:
 - -5m, 5.3T, 12MA q~5, η_{th} =0.33, η_{CD} =0.25 (conservative)

- Raising β_{N} to drive fully non-inductive led to reduced net electric power
 - Auxiliary power needed to heat plasma

Higher β alone is not enough – energy confinement & device efficiency are key



Higher Field is Highly Levering to Confinement

Stored Energy (MJ) 300 Higher field improves core confinement Total 250 -- From gyrokinetic treatment 200 of core turbulence 150 Pedestal 100 50. 0+ 25 50 75 P_{H/CD} (MW) Benefits not captured by simple scaling law approach – comes from physics treatment

100

7T vs 6T, Ip = 9.5 MA, $n_{e}^{Ped}/n_{GW} = 0.9$
Increasing Density Enables More Bootstrap & Less CD Power

 Density gradients drive bootstrap current more efficiently than temperature gradients*

> *Temperature effect depends on flows & orbits



Increasing Density Enables More Bootstrap & Less CD Power

- Density gradients drive bootstrap current more efficiently than temperature gradients*
- For given β_N , higher density raises bootstrap fraction modestly: f_{BS} from 70% to 90%
 - Decreases auxiliary current drive: 30% to 10%

Requires density at pedestal to be close to the empirical tokamak 'Greenwald' density limit





Current Drive & Thermal Efficiency Highly Beneficial to Net Electricity

Higher efficiencies raise net electric power & Q

– Or permits lower β_N , current or field solutions

) • We increased values to $\eta_{CD}=\eta_{TH}=0.4$

- In line with other reactor studies

	η _{th}	η _{cd}	η _{th} . η _{cd}
EU DEMO	0.33	0.25	0.08
C-AT Pilot	0.33 →0.4	0.25 →0.4	0.08 → 0.16
ARC	0.4	0.43	0.28
ARIES ACT1	0.575	0.4	0.23
ARIES ACT2	0.45	0.4	0.18



Current Drive & Thermal Efficiency Highly Beneficial to Net Electricity

Higher efficiencies raise net electric power & Q

– Or permits lower β_N , current or field solutions

• We increased values to $\eta_{\mathit{CD}}=\,\eta_{\mathit{TH}}=0.4$

- In line with other reactor studies

	η _{th}	η _{cd}	η _{th} . η _{cd}
EU DEMO	0.33	0.25	0.08
C-AT Pilot	0.33 →0.4	0.25 →0.4	0.08 → 0.16
ARC	0.4	0.43	0.28
ARIES ACT1	0.575	0.4	0.23
ARIES ACT2	0.45	0.4	0.18

A research challenge to develop more efficient current drive





Steady State Approach Provides High Confinement Reactor Solutions at 6–7T with 200MWe

• Higher density, field & efficiencies $\Rightarrow \beta_N$ becomes highly levering to net electricity



Steady State Approach Provides High Confinement Reactor Solutions at 6–7T with 200MWe

• Higher density, field & efficiencies $\Rightarrow \beta_N$ becomes highly levering to net electricity



Steady State Approach Provides High Confinement Reactor Solutions at 6–7T with 200MWe

• Higher density, field & efficiencies $\Rightarrow \beta_N$ becomes highly levering to net electricity



Talk Outline – Path to a Compact Fusion Pilot Plant

Principles of the steady state approach

- -Shaping, broad profiles & high $\beta \rightarrow$ high bootstrap
- -Benefits to stability, transport, pedestal and fast ions

Pilot power plant projection

-Analytics, methodology, projections

Benefits and Research needs



- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
 - DIII-D discharge show promising parameters



- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
 DIII-D discharge show promising parameters
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
 - 80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power



- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
 DIII-D discharge show promising parameters
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
 80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Broad profile & lower current improves stability
 - Removes low order surfaces that tear and disrupt
 - High β wall-stabilized even with high wall distance
 - >Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk





- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement

 DIII-D discharge show promising parameters
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
 - 80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Broad profile & lower current improves stability
 - Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk
- Requires less <u>gross</u> fusion performance per MWe
 - Decreases neutron loads at wall



- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement
 DIII-D discharge show promising parameters
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
 80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Broad profile & lower current improves stability
 Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk
- Requires less <u>gross</u> fusion performance per MWe
 Decreases neutron loads at wall
- Lower fusion power and current reduce heat fluxes
 - Modest core radiation needed to reach ITER-like heat fluxes
 - While maintaining 'H-mode'
 - But a 24/7 fusion power plant will need to go further



	ITER	C-AT	Rad'n
$q_{ }$	85	85	20%
$q_{ heta}$	18	18	50%

- Broad profiles and higher field raise energy confinement

 DIII-D discharge show promising parameters
- Higher pressure & density increase bootstrap
 80-90% bootstrap current reduce recirculating power
- Broad profile & lower current improves stability
 Reduced disruptivity, stresses and device risk
- Requires less <u>gross</u> fusion performance per MWe
 Decreases neutron loads at wall
- Lower fusion power and current reduce heat fluxes
 - Modest core radiation needed to reach ITER-like heat fluxes
 - While maintaining 'H-mode'
 - But a 24/7 fusion power plant will need to go further

But key challenges remain...



	ITER	C-AT	Rad'n
$q_{ }$	85	85	20%
$q_{ heta}$	18	18	50%

Key Plasma Physics Challenges Require Research

Critical plasma physics challenges

- Validate core physics solution in reactor regimes & relevant sources: stability, transport, EP, pedestal
- Scope the limits of density, pressure, confinement
- -24/7 power handling solution compatible with core
- Compatibility with wall materials
- Control of transients (disruptions, ELMs)
 - Issues common to many future concepts



Key Plasma Physics Challenges Require Research

Critical plasma physics challenges

- Validate core physics solution in reactor regimes & relevant sources: stability, transport, EP, pedestal
- Scope the limits of density, pressure, confinement
- -24/7 power handling solution compatible with core
- Compatibility with wall materials
- Control of transients (disruptions, ELMs)
 - Issues common to many future concepts



- Advanced bucking approach to engineering to handle high loads
- Demountable HTS for performance & nuclear testing mission
- **Broad technology program**: Materials, breeding, power extraction, RF, reactor design, licensing, safety, etc.

Multiple facilities needed to meet the challenge



A Major Upgrade to DIII-D is Proposed to Address Core-Edge Integrated Solutions

- Performance rise to address integration physics and solutions
 - -Hot, thermalized, opaque, low collisionality
- Flexibility to pioneer each part of core and edge solution, and marry them together
 - Profiles, shape, divertor, materials, 3D
- Technology testbed to resolve plasma compatibility
 - -Components, materials, diagnostics, RF, pellets, control
- ECH Shape HES Volume LHCD Helicon Current & Field Rise NBCD Statio Staged divertor
- Scientific investigative capability to project reactor

Equips DIII-D to discover the path to an FPP

Portfolio of Facilities Worldwide Have Capability to Resolve the Path to a Compact AT Fusion Pilot

Larger scale

Superconducting Long Pulse



- Material & PFC evolution
 - Long pulse control

- Projection to reactor
 Operation
 - Operational techniques
- Compatibility with metal walls
 - Key physics



- Aspect ratio
- Divertor magnetic geometry
- Super Alfvénic ions & high β
- Bulk W wall & high Z behavior



- Flexibility: Pioneer innovative exhaust & core solutions. Marry them together
- Relevance: Discover physics basis & techniques to project to future fusion reactors



Relevance

Flexibility

Reactor

Higher Field

- HTS integration
 - Core-edge demonstration

DIII-D

 Nuclear testing

An Attractive Compact Pilot Plant is Possible when Advanced Tokamak Physics Principles are Applied

Integrated physics simulation model show:

- High β_N permits high bootstrap to reduce recirculating power

-Mitigates divertor heat flux challenge and neutron wall loading

• High density highly levering in reducing auxiliary power needs and alleviating divertor challenge

-Permits operation at safety factor levels where disruptions are avoided

- Research needed to resolve this (or any) concept
 - Validate AT physics high β_N high density transient free scenario
 - -Efficient current drive and steady state divertor solution
 - -High temperature superconductors, reactor materials & engineering

A challenging but tractable mission for research to enable a fusion pilot plant



Cool CAT

Bonus Slides

With Key Upgrades DIII-D Can Meet The Challenges



RF rise for core

Explore FPP profiles, confinement, stability & edge compatibility in reactor-relevant physics reaimes

> Higher pressure & density



Modular Divertor **Series** to isolate & understand dissipation Higher local density





3D rise Control transients and runaways

Wall tests

Qualify materials &

New materials

& test facilities



Shape, volume & current rise

Higher pressure & density

Shape rise

Explore path to raise pressure & density

With Key Upgrades DIII-D Can Meet The Challenges These work synergistically to close physics gaps & resolve compatibility



Unique capability to prepare for FPP and ITER

"Enabling Research" Required in Seven Areas for Pilot Plant Decision & Design



ITER Provides Vital Learning for Path to a Fusion Reactor



- Exploration of the burning plasma concept !
- Testing physics & techniques at reactor scale & physics regimes
- Development of validated predicted models
- Understand how to build and operate a large scale nuclear fusion facility



ITER participation is vital if the U.S. is serious about fusion energy and building its own reactors

- Crucially informs U.S. approach to a D-T reactor
- Know-how you just don't get from reading the papers



Steady State

Simulations Provided Key Insights over Basic AT Logic

- \bullet Density levers high β approach by enhancing current drive
 - And helps maintain temperature close to optimum for fusion cross-section
- Toroidal field improves confinement by reducing turbulence,
 - In addition to (known) improvements in stability margins β (kink) and q (safety factor) limits
- Compatibility of plasma solution with heating & current drive systems
- Prediction of confinement and fusion performance, required scale, field etc.
 - Rather than choice in a systems code or flawed H₉₈ scaling

Identifies limits of configuration as we optimize to a more compact scale to find design points and set required scale and field

Shows trade-offs between various target parameters are possible

Fuel dilution due to core radiation remains a challenge for all DEMO concepts

 As core impurity fraction is increased, higher Z_{eff} drives down fuel ion fraction

$$f_i = 1 - 2f_{He} - Z_{imp}f_{imp}, \qquad P_{fus} \propto f_i^2 n^2 T^2 V_p$$

- even a small change in f_i dramatically reduces fusion power

• Kallenbach et. al. have predicted impurity profiles for a R = 9m, a = 2.25m DEMO

- scaling to C-AT DEMO parameters results in a 60% reduction in fusion power, 2x more than the 33% assumed in this study
- $f_{Kr} = 1 \times 10^{-2}$ needed for 172 MW of core radiation
- a radiative model is needed in GASC to ensure self-consistancy





The World is Focused on the Advanced Tokamak Path to Fusion Power But Not Advanced Enough I

- Presently envisaged steps beyond ITER are largely based on the conventional aspect ratio Advanced Tokamak
- But not very 'advanced' high recirculating power:
 - EU-DEMO: 5.2T, ~9m, ~500MWe, β_N ~2.6, q₉₅~3, H=1.1, f_{BS}~34%
 - Based on "what we can do now" technologies & pulsed
 - ARC: 9T, ~3.3m, ~200MWe, β_N ~2.6, q_{95} ~7, H=1.8, f_{BS} ~63%
 - Exploits high temperature superconductors, but optimistic confinement assumptions
 - Many devices proposed are large size & high fusion power
 - Does next step need to be so big?

Can Advanced Tokamak principles & different constraints be applied to enable a more cost attractive next step device?

- Reduce recirculating power to reduce required scale
- Combine required missions into single generation
- Minimum size for research mission



Power plants	ACT1	SlimCS	Korea DEMO	eu Demo
R (m)	6.3	5.5	6.8	9
IP (MA)	11	17	17	20
PFus (GW)	1.8	3	2.9	1.8
P _{Net} (GW)	1	1	0.5	0.5

Target: Low Capital Cost Pilot Plant To Close Gap on Future Power Plant

- Key challenges for self-sustaining reactor:
 - Breeding Nuclear materials Net electricity
- Address these in a single compact 'pilot plant' test facility
 - Combine missions to remove a generation
 - Low capital cost \rightarrow affordable
- U.S. proposals for ARC, Compact-AT and ST-pilot at similar scales
 - 100-200MWe, R~3-4m, A~2-3 & benefit from high temperature superconductors
 - Build on expertise being developed in ITER
 - May require additional research in parallel to ITER

Pilot to address critical issues prior to low COE power plant



A Compact Pilot Plant Could Work Alongside & Beyond ITER to Bridge The Gap To Large Scale Fusion Power

Demonstrate net electricity production

- Integration of heat \rightarrow electricity generation
- Proof of potential device can power itself
- Test nuclear materials in fusion reactor environment
- Demonstrate and optimize breeding technology
 - Ability to change out materials with demountable HTS
- · Configuration sustained in truly long pulse conditions (months)

Lay the groundwork for low COE successors





Fig 14: Self-consistent GASC solutions using krypton impurity seeding to limit divertor heat load below two variations of the TER divertor metric. Impurity fraction, total core radiated power fraction, power into the scrape-off layer, and L-H power threshold fraction are shown for $B_T = 6T$ and 8T design points

 $(f_{GW}^{ped} = 1, P_{net} = 200MWe, R_0 = 4m).$

Radiative Techniques to Meet Heat Flux Challenge

Fig 15: GASC parameter scans in confinement and density for four liferent design points. Consurs of limits in 1-Hi threshold power fraction and poloidal heat flax metric constrain the solution space for three levels of krypton impurity seeding. The neutron wall loading limit NW<4.MW/m² is also shown, but only constrains operation in a few cases. files: I for every shaded operational space.



Tokamak is Limited in Current and Pressure by Global MHD Modes

- Current in tokamak drives a field line twist
 - Measure through safety factor, $q \propto RB/I$
- Twist in field drives global MHD 'kink' mode
 - Leads to limit in current for given field
 - Pressure also drives this distortion
 - Increased field, **B** tensions & stabilizes mode
- Magnetic islands also emerge at modest q
- 'Ballooning' limit to pressure is stabilized by increased twist (current, 1)
- Leads to Pressure limit ~ BI / R

$$\Rightarrow \beta_N = 100 \frac{2\mu_0 < P >}{B I / R\varepsilon} \text{ typically ~3-5}$$

Q. Where and how to optimize in β_N and q?



Pressure pushes field line through surface



High Pressure Gradient Leads to a Net 'Bootstrap' Current

Gyro-orbits drift due to non-uniform field lead to banana orbits



Combine Bootstrap with Auxiliary Current Drive in Steady State Tokamak

- Bootstrap fraction: $f_{BS} \propto p/I^2 \propto C_{BS}\beta_N q_{95}$
- Additional current drive from RF heating
 - Requires suitable population \rightarrow high T
 - Collisions scatter electrons, reducing current
 - Requires low density

 $\Rightarrow f_{CD} \propto \frac{P_{CD}T}{nIR} \quad \propto C_{CD} \frac{P_{CD}\beta_N B}{n^2}$

• Solve for current drive $f_{BS} + f_{CD} = 1$:

<u>Radio Frequency Current Drive</u> Wave accelerates electrons preferentially decreasing their collisionality



 $\mathbf{Q}_{CD} \propto \frac{P_{fus}}{P_{CD}} \propto \frac{1}{(1 - C_{BS}\beta_N q_{95})} \frac{C_{CD} \beta_N^3 B^3}{(n/I)^2} \quad \boldsymbol{\leftarrow} \beta_N \text{ and } B \text{ always help!}$ More bootstrap removes need for current drive at high q₉₅ (lower current) Lower density \rightarrow higher f_{CD} Higher current raises $\mathbf{Q} \propto P_{fus} \sim \beta_N^2 I^2 B^2$

Alternate paths to steady state through **bootstrap** or current drive

β_{N} Limiting Global MHD Modes Can Be Stabilized by Device Wall



 Pressure driven kink displaces magnetic flux about the plasma



 Conducting wall converts this slower Resistive Wall Mode



 Mode gives energy to particles with rotational orbit resonances



 Magnetic feedback can control any residual mode

How do we increase wall stabilization of this pressure limit?

cles ces

Routine stable operation above no-wall limit



[[]Garofalo PoP 2006]

Compact Fusion Pilot Poses Critical Plasma Research and Integration Challenge

Compact scale requires higher power densities:

> High pressure and energy confinement

- To fuse sufficiently in smaller volume & retain heat

> Power handling and wall compatibility

- To mitigate hot plasma exhaust at high duty cycle

> Plasma interacting technologies and control

- To resolve in plasma & fusion environment

Each needs dramatically improved solutions over WWKN, requiring physics investigation

– & account for key cost drivers in an FPP \rightarrow

Different elements trade off against each other

- Test together to resolve integration physics

Plasma research vital to FPP design



Compact Approach Requires Advanced Engineering & Technology

- Requires advanced bucking approach to deal with forces
 - 'Bucks' toroidal field coil forces off solenoid & central plug to cancel out stress by >50%
- High Temperature Superconductors enables demountability
 - Permits changes out for nuclear materials mission
 - Raises performance and increases duty cycle

Broad technology program (CPP plan)

- Materials, breeding, power extraction, RF, reactor design, licensing, safety, etc.
- ITER plays key role in reactor scale expertise

Aggressive technology program required

Vertical change out scheme in Japanese SN design (C-AT is DN)



[Utoh, Fus. Eng. Des. 2017]