Overview of Recent and Planned DIII-D Research to Develop Steady-State Tokamak Operation for Fusion Energy

by Chris Holcomb* for the DIII-D Team

*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Presented at the IAEA Technical Meeting on Long Pulse Operation Vienna, Austria

14 November 2022



Work supported by US DOE under DE-FC02-04ER54698.

Talk Outline

- DIII-D Steady-State Scenario Development Goals
 - ITER & Fusion Pilot Plant
 - Steady state fundamentals
 - Range of scenarios
- Status & recent progress on select scenarios
 - High q_{min}
 - Hybrid
- DIII-D upgrade plans & predictions
 - Expand towards burning plasma parameters
 - High-performance core-edge integration



DIII-D Aims to Identify Long-Pulse Solutions For ITER & a Fusion Pilot Plant

- U.S. fusion community supports ITER & endorsed building a low capital cost Fusion Pilot Plant (FPP) in the 2030's
- A route to low-cost FPP is to make it compact, - ITER-sized or smaller
 - High B via HTS will help
 - High- β_N H steady-state operation for net-electric power with high availability and long plant lifetime
- DIII-D is developing steady-state operation compatible with exhaust requirements





DIII-D Program Aims to Develop High- β_N Steady-State Scenarios for ITER Q=5 Mission & a Compact FPP

- Indefinitely long burn durations require I_P = I_{EXT} + $I_{BS} \rightarrow I_{\Omega}$ =0
- Fusion power requires high β_T~β_N/q
- High bootstrap fraction requires high β_P~qβ_N

Together these drive solutions to high β_N & optimized q





DIII-D Is Investigating a Range of Possible Steady-State Scenarios

- Typically characterized by q- or j-profile
- Really a continuum, but four defined:



Possible

Advantages

Challenges/

Uncertainties

Talk Outline

- DIII-D Steady-State Scenario Development Goals
 - ITER & Fusion Pilot Plant
 - Steady state fundamentals
 - Range of scenarios

• Status & recent progress on select scenarios

- High q_{min}
- Hybrid
- DIII-D upgrade plans & predictions
 - Expand towards burning plasma parameters
 - High-performance core-edge integration



DIII-D is Exploring Two Approaches to Understanding Steady-State Operation with q_{min}>2

High- β _P Scenario	High-q _{min} Scenario
High density & low fast ion fraction	Low density & high fast ion fraction
(W _b /W _{tot} < 15-20%)	(W _b /W _{tot} > 15-20%)
ITB dominates, f _{BS} > 0.7, more self-	f _{BS} < 0.7, greater external ECCD &
organized	NBCD control of profiles
Working towards lower stationary q_{95} : 12 \rightarrow 6	Stationary q ₉₅ always between 5-7
More focus on thermal transport &	More focus on energetic particle
core-edge integration	transport & MHD stability
Garofalo talk Tuesday	Covered here



With a High Fast Ion Fraction, High-q_{min} Plasmas Can Have Significant Alfven Eigenmode Activity & Fast Ion Loss



Compared to q_{min}~1 case, q_{min}~2 case has:

- ~4x higher average amplitude of coherent Alfven eigenmodes
- 3-4x higher inferred anomalous fast ion loss
- This limits effective heating & ability to test higher β_{N}

8

N DIEGO

AE-Induced Fast-Ion Transport is Set by Critical Gradients

- Alfvén eigenmode are driven by gradients in the fast-ion distribution
- Multiple Alfvén eigenmodes clamp fast-ion pressure profile
 - Adding beam power causes fast ions to be redistributed or lost until gradient relaxes and Alfvén eigenmodes reach marginal stability
 - Consequence: fast-ion profile is 'stiff'

Fast-ion density measurements with on-axis NBI



Collins PRL 2016



Off-Axis NBI Lowers Gradients Leading to Higher Performance q_{min}>2 Plasmas

Doubled off-axis NBI power in 2019



- Using more off-axis NBI lowered classical ∇P_{beam} & AE-drive
- Measured neutrons
 closer to classical



Achieved higher $\beta_N \& H_{89}$ limited mostly by available power at $B_T=2 T$



Collins IAEA 2020

At Lower B_T and Higher β_N , Available Current Drive Was Insufficient to Robustly Maintain q_{min} >2 – Reduced Stability



- B_T=1.65 T, P_{ECCD}=1.9 MW
- $q_{min} \sim 2$ in β_N ramp
- At β_N~3.7, multiharmonic EP-driven off-axis fishbones appear and triggers m/n=3/1 NTM
- Planned increases in wave-H&CD will help

Some Progress Improving ~1 τ_{R} -Sustained q_{min}~1.5 With β_{N} ~3.8

Shifting ~50% NBI off-axis makes fast ions more classical



- j_{NI} & fast-ion p broadened
 - Ideal-wall n=1,2,3 kink β_N limits increased ~15-25%

0.8

1.0



Strong off-axis fishbones can limit high- β_N duration by triggering 2/1 NTMs



"Hybrid" Scenario Shown to Be Capable of Steady State Operation With β_N =3-3.8, q_{95} ~6

- 3/2 or 4/3 mode causes anomalous ψ_P-pumping & q_{min}>1
 - Better stability without sawteeth
- Typically f_{BS}~50%, f_{EXT}~50%
- Calculated ideal-wall n=1 β_{N} limits ~4-5
- Predicting how flux pumping will work at reactor scale has been a concern





A High- β_N Hybrid Version Has Been Developed Without Anomalous Poloidal Flux Evolution

Standard nearaxis ECCD with anomalous q_{min} evolution

ECCD farther off-axis: measured & simulated q_{min} agree





C. Holcomb IAEA TM LPO, 2022

Hybrid Scenario is Being Tested in More Reactor Relevant Regimes

ITER-shaped, non-inductive, Reducing torque at fixed







Above power threshold, increasing density increases pedestal & H₉₈



C. Holcomb IAEA TM LPO, 2022

Talk Outline

- DIII-D Steady-State Scenario Development Goals
 - ITER & Fusion Pilot Plant
 - Steady state fundamentals
 - Range of scenarios
- Status & recent progress on select scenarios
 - High q_{min}
 - Hybrid
- DIII-D upgrade plans & predictions
 - Expand towards burning plasma parameters
 - High-performance core-edge integration



DIII-D Plans Upgrades That Will Advance Science of Steady-State Operation With Acceptable Power Loading

Increase volume, shaping, & B_T to 2.5 T

- Obtain low v*, high density & opacity pedestals
- Test dissipative divertors with high performance core

 Higher wave- & NBI- H&CD power
 ➤ Test high-β_N steady-state with parameters closer to burning plasma



Pedestal density (10²⁰m⁻³)

CD tools:



Upgrades Are Predicted to Expand Range of j(R) & p(R) With q_{min}>2 to Test Predicted Performance Limits

- Use increased ECCD, Helicon, & Lower Hybrid to approach steady-state with β_N>4 & q₉₅<6
- Focus on profile requirements^{4.5} for high ideal MHD limits & 4.0 global confinement
- Evaluate control requirements for RWMs, NTMs, & energetic particle modes



18





projected targe

Need to Stretch to Burning Plasma Relevant Conditions: Assess & Adjust to Changes in Transport, Stability, CD

Compared to DIII-D, FPP's generally have:

- Higher e-heating, T_e/T_i
- Lower v^*
- Higher density, f_{GW}
- Lower rotation
- Lower W_{fast}/W_{tot}





Flexible DIII-D Upgrades Will Extend Variation Range Needed for Projections

5-Year Plan Upgrades:

- 20 ECH lines (14 MW)
- 6 top launch
- 105/140/170 GHz gyrotrons
- B_T up to 2.5 T
- High shaping
- 25 MW NBI (93 keV)
- 3 MW Helicon
- 4 MW HFS Lower Hybrid

Provides scope to optimize away from these examples to test physics



Upgrades Predicted to Access More Relevant Parameters for Core-Edge Integration

- Pedestal has high density with low ν^{\ast}
 - $v_{ped}^* = 0.07$
 - $n_{e,ped} = 8.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$
 - p_{e,ped}=48.6 kPa
- Neutral penetration on order of pedestal width, $\delta_{CX}/a=0.11$
- High performance core dominated by well-coupled thermal electrons & ions
 - $<T_e > / <T_i > = 1$
 - β_N=4.2
 - H₉₈=1.29
 - $W_{fast}/W_{total}=11\%$
 - f_{BS}=71%





Summary:

- DIII-D aims to identify steady-state or long pulse solutions for ITER and a Fusion Pilot Plant
- Significant progress being made on core steady-state scenarios
- Planned upgrades in next ~7 years will:
 - Increase profile flexibility for higher β
 - Push parameters to FPP-relevant values
 - Provide solutions for a high-performance core with an innovative exhaust solution









Example of Predicted Low-Rotation DIII-D Steady-State Operation:

- ω_0 =18 krad/s, f_{NI}=1, β_N =4, q₉₅=5.6, B_T=1.6 T
- 12.5 MW balanced NBI + 3 MW co-NBI +14 MW ECCD





A Staged Divertor Approach is Planned to Learn How to Optimize Divertors For Long Pulse Operation

- 1st stage: pump high- δ for high pedestal performance
- 2nd stage: larger divertor volume
 - impacts of closure & drifts, higher density and f_{rad}, possibly other materials
- 3rd stage: optimize for a hot core & cold edge





Two Bursty Energetic Particle Modes Begin When 2/1 Surface Enters the Plasma

- n=1 and n=3 bursty modes observed
- Different magnetic signatures observed of n=1 modes with same poloidal structure
 - Typical benign n=1 "saturated"
 - Virulent n=1 "fishbone-like"
 - Exponential growth until something stops it (i.e. triggering of tearing mode)
 - More often triggers tearing modes
 - Usually drops neutron signal





n=1 Bursty Modes Are "Off-Axis Fishbones" → a New Branch of External Kink Mode Driven by Trapped Fast Ions

 Referred to as off-axis fishbone mode because of frequency chirping similar to classical fishbone →

- MHD-kinetic Hybrid modeling with MARS-K code suggests that
 - Driven by precessional drift motion of trapped fast ions
 - mode has a global eigenmode structure: (m=1-2,n=1) dominant, similar to fluid RWM





Peeling-Ballooning Theory Guides Pedestal Optimization Balancing High Core Performance and Dissipative Divertor

- Strong plasma shaping opens access to high pedestal pressure gradient and current
 - ITER and reactor relevant low v^* are on peeling boundary
 - Increasing pedestal density and pressure improves core fusion performance
- Higher pressure decouples opacity and v^*
 - Achieve low $v^* \sim n^3/p^2$ at high n_e
 - High density increases divertor dissipation
- Peeling limit enables pressure to increase with density
 - Motivates increased triangularity

Prediction of High Pedestal Requires Experimental Validation







Shape & Volume Rise (SVR) Naturally Reduces Neutral Penetration at High Pressure \rightarrow More ITER Relevant

- Shape & volume rise provides higher $I_{\rm p}$ and higher density at the same $f_{\rm GW}$
- EIRENE modeling indicates shape & volume rise accesses shallower, more ITER relevant neutral penetration
- Coupled with closed pumped divertor will further reduce pedestal ionization
- Opportunity to address role of transport vs fueling setting pedestal density structure

Reactor-relevant pedestal possible with high neutral opaqueness







Upgrades to DIII-D Will Provide Access to Relevant Regimes Important for Addressing Boundary and Core-Edge Challenges

- Increase to 40MW of injected power 2.5T
- Allow us to ask important physics questions to address underlying physics mechanisms important for dissipation
 - Shape and volume rise gives higher current, density at same $q_{\rm 95}$, $f_{\rm GW}$
 - For same $\rho^*,\,n,\,\beta t$ then $\nu^*\!\sim B^{-4}$
 - ITER v^* can be achieved at double the present DIII-D pedestal density

Exploration of ITER-like pedestal collisionality possible with machine upgrades





McLean/PAC/2022

~15 MW Neutral Beam Injection With a Mix of Injection Geometries Enables Many Things Feedforward & feedback control of: Plasma stored energy β_N=(2µ_o/B_T²)/(I/aB_T) Rotation, v

- Current density, J
- NBI-based measurements
 - Motional Stark Effect (J)
 - Charge Exchange (v, T_i, n_i)
 - others





Microwave Electron Cyclotron Heating & Current Drive Provides J-Profile Control

- Several 110 GHz gyrotrons amounting to ~3 MW delivered to plasma
- 2nd harmonic X-mode: aim radially for only e-heating, or tangentially to drive local current
- Outside and top launch
- Can use to control magnetic islands







New High Harmonic Fast Wave "Helicon" 476 MHz Antenna is Installed

- 1.2 MW source power from klystron
- Comb-line traveling wave antenna
 - 1 input port & 1 output port, power transfer through mutual inductance
- Predicted to provide efficient off-axis current drive at mid-radius for advanced j-profile control at high β_e
- No density cut-off like ECH
- New next year: 4.6 GHz lower hybrid antenna to do a similar job





If Helicon and/or Lower Hybrid Work Well, Upgrading Them to Higher Power Enables High Density AT Scenario Tests

- Adding 1 MW Helicon or LH raises stability margin
- Expands range of steady state current profiles accessible with balanced NBI
- Can use in place of ECCD at high density for core-edge integration
- 14 MW ECH will be used for Omode heating at high density

Co-lp NBI + 6 MW ECH $\Rightarrow \beta_N$ at limit = 4.6 Add 1 MW LH -> $\beta_{\rm N}$ =4.9, limit = 5.9 140 $\langle J_{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel} \rangle \left(A / m^2 \right)$ 120 100 Jtot 80 60 40 20 1MW 0.00.8 100.6 n₁₁=3



Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

