

## Data Forecasting of Gyro-Landau Extended Fluid Code Using Neural Networks

Wang Lian<sup>1)</sup>, Li Hui<sup>1,2)</sup>, Wang Tianbo<sup>1)</sup>, Li Jiquan<sup>1)</sup>
1) Southwestern Institute of Physics, Center for Fusion Science
2) Dalian University of Technology, Physics College

4th IAEA Technical Meeting on Fusion Data Processing, Validation and Analysis



## CONTENTS

### □ Background

- What is Extended Fluid Code
- Motivation: Why we need to use neuron network
- □ Flux Prediction Model
  - Model structure and evaluation
- □ Surrogate Model
  - Data processing
  - Model structure, traning, evaluation
  - Self-iteration

### □ Summary



### — Part I: Background

.....





- Extended Fluid Code (ExFC)
  - Based on multi-scale turbulence physics on Tokamaks
  - Using finite difference method to sovle 5-field electrostatic equation
  - Able to simulate distabilities including TM, ITG, TEM and KBM

$$\begin{split} \frac{dn_e}{dt} &= -\omega_{dte}(n_0\phi - T_{e0}n_e - n_0T_e) + D_n\nabla_{\perp}^2 n_e \\ \frac{dT_e}{dt} &= -T_{e0}\omega_{dte} \left[ (\Gamma - 1)\left(\phi + \frac{T_{e0}}{n_0}n_e\right) + (2\Gamma - 1)T_e \right] - (\Gamma - 1)\sqrt{\frac{8m_eT_{e0}}{m_i\pi}} |\nabla_{\parallel}|T_e + D_{Te}\nabla_{\perp}^2 T_e \\ \frac{d\Omega}{dt} &= aT_{i0}\left(\frac{\nabla_r n_0}{n_0} + \frac{\nabla_r T_{i0}}{T_{i0}}\right) \nabla_{\theta}\nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi + af_c \frac{\nabla_r n_0}{n_0} \nabla_{\theta} \phi - \nabla_{\parallel} \upsilon_{\parallel} + f_t \omega_{dte} \left(\phi - T_e - \frac{T_{i0}}{n_0} n_e\right) \\ &+ \omega_d \left((1 + f_c)\phi + T_i + f_t \frac{T_{i0}}{n_0} n_e\right) + D_U \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Omega \\ \frac{d\upsilon_{\parallel}}{dt} &= -\nabla_{\parallel} T_i - f_t \frac{T_{i0}}{n_0} \nabla_{\parallel} n - (1 + f_c) \nabla_{\parallel} \phi + D_v \nabla_{\perp}^2 \upsilon_{\parallel} \\ \frac{dT_i}{dt} &= -(\Gamma - 1) \nabla_{\parallel} \upsilon_{\parallel} + T_{i0} \omega_{di} \left[ (\Gamma - 1) \left( f_c \phi + f_t \frac{T_{i0}}{n_0} n \right) + (2\Gamma - 1) T_i \right] - (\Gamma - 1) \sqrt{\frac{8T_{i0}}{\pi}} |\nabla_{\parallel}| T_i + D_{Ti} \nabla_{\perp}^2 T_i \end{split}$$



### **Extended Fluid Code**

- $\succ$  Time cost
  - Grid size: 128 × 256 × 128
  - A time slice cost: 2 mins
  - A case cost: around 4 hours
  - Parameter scanning and threshold determination: A few days





### Part II: Flux Prediction Model

......





### **Model Structure**





### **Predict Turbulence Type**





### **Predict Radial Density Profile**





#### - Part III: Surrogate Model

......





- Data structure: [810, 99, 6, 128]
- [case, time, channel, radial location]

**China National Nuclear Corporation** 

Density flux, electron temperature flux, ion temperature flux, ion temperature, electron temperature, density





## **Data Processing**

- Problem analysis
  - Multivariate time-relevant regression problem: using data of former time slices to predict data of next time slices
  - Using data of 5 time slices to predict data of next 5 time slices shfited by 1 time slice
- Recurrent neuron network: Gated Recurrent Unit
- Database rearrange
  - Merging the channel dimensionn and radial location dimension into a single dimension of size 768
  - Using a window of size 5 to cut the time dimension: [76140, 5, 768]
  - Training set: [60912, 5, 768]
  - Validation set: [15228, 5, 768]



- > Input
  - [None, 5, 768]
  - [batch\_size, time\_step, channel \* location]
- Output
  - [None, 5, 768]
  - [batch\_size, time\_step, channel \* location]



### **Model Structure**

•	Number of neurons/units	Output Shape	
Input	768	[None, 5. 768]	
Dense	512	[None, 5, 512]	
GRU	256	[None, 5, 256]	
GRU	256	[None, 5, 256]	D 1 1
Dense	768	[None, 5, 768]	Residual connection
Concat	/	[None, 5, 1536]	
Dense	768	[None, 5, 768]	
	1 p		
NE	China National N	clear Corporation	

## 

## **Training Process**





## **Model Evaluation**

- Evaluation method
  - Using the trained model to predict adjacent samples
  - Merge the last time slice of each predicted results
- > Relative error:  $\left|\frac{n_{NN} n_{ExFC}}{n_{ExFC}}\right|$





### **Model Evaluation**







- First row: density flux given by ExFC
- Second row: density flux given by neuron networks
- Third row: relative error
- A total relative error less than 16%
   Errors are mainly centered at areas where fine structures of turbulence exist



## **Model Evaluation**





### **Self-iteration**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Using output as input to call the predict method iteratively





### **Self-iteration**



111

ALC: NOT



### **Self-iteration**

- ≻ Flux
  - NN model is only able to forecast data of 1-3 time slices ahead within a relative error of about 20%
- Density/Temperature
  - NN model is able to forecast data of 10-15 time slices ahead within a relative error of about 5%
- > NN model is suitable for density/temperature data forcasting
- Time cost for NN model
  - Seraval microseconds for a time slice
  - If run NN model and ExFC alternatively, about 50-75% less time will be consumed for a single case (if we neglect the error caused by NN model)



### — Part IV: Summary

.....





## Summary

- Flux prediction model
  - Sevaral flux prediction neuron networks have been developed to predict the type of turbulence and different macro parameters based on the database of ExFC.
  - These models achieve a high performance on prediction with a relative error of less than 5%.
- Surrogate model
  - A recurrent neuron network has been established using the Gated Recurrent Unit.
  - This model is able to predict data of one step ahead with a relative error less than about 20%.
  - Some parts of turbulent structures are preserved, while other parts are smoothed, leading to information loss in the predicted data.
  - At this moment, the model can only reproduce 1 to 3 time slices of the original data given by ExFC through self-iteration with an acceptable relative error.



# Thank you for your attention!