

Summary of the Working Group on Al for Nuclear Data

WG Coordinators Christian Hill, Ludmila Marian, Georg Schnabel

Technical Meeting on Artificial Intelligence for Nuclear Technology and Applications #AI4Atoms Virtual Event 25–29 October 2021

Relevant tasks in Nuclear Data



- Efficient information extraction from experimental measurements and associated publications
- UQ for all input of nuclear data evaluations including outlier detection, uncertainty estimate of model parameters & experimental data, etc.
- Validation of nuclear data libraries
- Generation/Improved accessibility of databases of sensitivities of integral responses to nuclear data and experimental data
- Trainings on how to apply ML methods in the nuclear data pipeline
- Consideration of physics constraints, e.g., through probability distributions, on nuclear theory model parameters (method developments)

Technical requirements



- Good databases
- Efficient use of computer simulations
- Use of emulators where necessary
- Documentation of APIs

State of the art



- Nuclear and atomic data evaluation:
 - Generalized Least Squares method still prevalent
 - Gaussian processes applied and show promise
 - Monte Carlo approaches (e.g., BMC) continue to improve
 - ML methods applied to determine parameters of physical models and fitting functions (e.g., R-Matrix)
 - First successful applications of neural networks (e.g., mass evaluations)

State of the art



- Validation:
 - ML approaches can identify incorrect nuclear data, problematic features of experiments and potential biases
 - Bayesian experimental design process (MLdriven approach to find optimal designs)

Limitations



- Common Gaussian distribution assumption is often not justified (e.g., outliers)
- ML approaches require **high-quality data**, which is an obstacle at present
- Information in databases very difficult to parse automatically
- Unbalanced UQ and quality control efforts in different domains of data (weakest link determines overall quality)

Possible next steps



- Continue identifying important open questions/issues that could benefit from the application of ML (our WG already made significant progress in this respect)
- Enrich experimental databases (e.g., EXFOR, ALADDIN) with welldocumented API and rich meta data to facilitate the development and testing of ML approaches
- **Define diverse and broad test sets** with domain-specific data to validate ML models (relevant physics quantities) for comparison studies
- Educational activities, e.g., workshops, to teach ML methods, nuclear physics knowledge relevant for the application of ML
- **Crowd-sourcing campaigns** / open-science challenges to improve databases, models, etc. (e.g., hackathons, Kaggle as example)

Accelerating Progress – IAEA's role



- IAEA hosts prominent databases, e.g., EXFOR, RIPL, etc. so bring database maintainers, ML developers, and nuclear data users together to discuss how to make databases more accessible for ML studies.
- Draft a document laying out a **standard approach for data handling** (e.g., FAIR) and collaborative open-source practices with a focus on EXFOR and potentially others, considering input from nuclear data users, ML developers and database maintainers
- Organize meetings for comparison exercises and educational workshops
- Host repositories with reference datasets for domain-specific ML studies

Expected Outcomes



- Reference test sets to validate ML models
- Clear licensing of data in databases and repositories
- Roadmap to future measurements and theory needs
- **Detection of issues** in data and models impeding scientific progress
- Significant speed-up of evaluation and validation work through the application of ML



Thank you!