

## Mitigation of disruption electro-magnetic load with SPI on JET-ILW

Mitigation of disruption electro-magnetic load with SPI on JET-ILW

The disruption mitigation system at ITER consists of shattered pellet injectors (SPI) that can inject up to 24 pellets from three different equatorial port plugs, which will be dedicated to the mitigation of electro-magnetic loads (EML), thermal loads and the avoidance and suppression of runaway electrons. Recently the JETILW was equipped with an SPI with a wide capability. Specifically: pellet diameter  $d = [4.57, 8.1, 12.5]$  mm and effective length/d ratio = [1.4, 1.6, 1.54]; pellet compositions of D2, Ne with D2 shell, D2+Ne mixture and Ar; propellant gas or mechanical punch to release the pellets.

The experiment was performed with ohmic plasma with  $I_p = 1.1-2.9$  MA and D2+Ne pellet composition. The current quench (CQ) time,  $\tau_{80-20}$ , is the key characteristic of mitigation effectiveness. This study reveals (a) a marginal effect of pellet integrity prior to shattering and pellet size on  $\tau_{80-20}$ ; (b) strong dependence of  $\tau_{80-20}$  on Ne fraction; (c) SPI efficacy, in terms of  $\tau_{80-20}$ , does not depend on pre-disruptive  $I_p$  (in another words on the poloidal magnetic energy) for middle-sized pellets. The SPI was applied on plasmas with various statuses: normal ("healthy") plasma i.e., not prone to disruption and post-disruptive plasma. However, efficacy SPI on off-normal (e.g. affected by Locked mode) pre-disruptive plasma has not been studied yet. The preliminary results from the first study show that SPI effectiveness does not depend on plasma status. One of the results of the experiment was prevention of asymmetrical vertical displacement events (AVDEs) by SPI, which increases the safety factor  $q_{95}$  and, presumably, eliminates the excitation of the  $m=1, n=1$  kink mode, responsible for AVDE. In this regard, SPI is similar to the effect of Massive Gas Injection.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053 and from the RCUK Energy Programme [EP/T012250/1]. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

### Member State or International Organization

United Kingdom

### Affiliation

UKAEA/CCFE

**Primary author:** Dr GERASIMOV, Sergei (CCFE)

**Co-authors:** Dr BARANOV, Yuriy (UKAEA/CCFE); BAYLOR, Larry R. (Oak Ridge National Laboratory); Dr BOBOC, Alexandru (UKAEA/CCFE); Dr CARVALHO, Ivo (IPFN, Instituto Superior Técnico); Dr COFFEY, Ivor (UKAEA/CCFE); Mr CRAVEN, Douglas (UKAEA/CCFE); DE LA LUNA, Elena (Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT); HUBER, Valentina (Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Supercomputing Centre, 52425 Jülich, Germany); JACHMICH, Stefan (ITER Organization); JOFFRIN, Emmanuel (CEA); Dr KRUEZI, Uron (ITER Organization.); LEHNEN, Michael (ITER Organization); Dr LOMAS, Peter (UKAEA/CCFE); Prof. MANZANARES, Ana (Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT); MASLOV, Mikhail (UKAEA); MATVEEVA, Ekaterina (Institute of Plasma Physics of CAS); PAUTASSO, Gabriella; Dr PEACOCK, Alan (UKAEA/CCFE); Dr STUART, Chris (UKAEA/CCFE); Dr SILBURN, Scott (UKAEA/CCFE); SUN, Hongjuan (UKAEA/CCFE, Culham Science Centre); SHIRAKI, Daisuke (Oak Ridge National Laboratory); Dr SWEENEY, Ryan (MIT PSFC); Dr WILSON, James (UKAEA/CCFE); Dr ZAKHAROV, LEONID (LiWFusion, the US DoE subcontractor); Dr ABREU, Paulo (IPFN, Instituto Superior Técnico)

**Presenter:** Dr GERASIMOV, Sergei (CCFE)

**Track Classification:** Mitigation