

#### Particle Assimilation during Shattered Pellet Injection

D. Shiraki Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA with J-TEXT<sup>1</sup>, KSTAR<sup>2</sup>, DIII-D<sup>3</sup>, and JET<sup>4</sup> teams

IAEA Technical Meeting on Plasma Disruptions and their Mitigation

20-23 July 2020

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

<sup>1</sup> Author list: https://conferences.iaea.org/event/214/contributions/17452/
 <sup>2</sup> Author list: https://conferences.iaea.org/event/214/contributions/17095/
 <sup>3</sup> Author list: https://conferences.iaea.org/event/214/contributions/17094/
 <sup>4</sup> Author list: https://conferences.iaea.org/event/214/contributions/17092/



#### Particle assimilation is an important metric for SPI performance Deuterium

- SPI achieves higher assimilation than equivalent MGI
  - Solid fragments penetrate plasma
  - More instantaneous particle delivery
- Injected particle quantities are typically large
  - JET plasma:  $n_e \cdot V \sim 8 \times 10^{21}$  electrons
  - 4.5 mm pellet: 4×10<sup>21</sup> Ne atoms 8 mm pellet: 3×10<sup>22</sup> Ne atoms 12.5 mm pellet:  $1 \times 10^{23}$  Ne atoms
- But not all of the injected material is assimilated by plasma





**Unassimilated fragments** (traveling ballistically through plasma)



# Net particle assimilation can be characterized during the disruption CQ

- CQ density is a direct indicator of particle assimilation
  - Strong density asymmetry exists early in the disruption, due to localized particle source and finite spreading of pellet ions
  - But relaxes later on (ablation during CQ is much lower)



- When direct measurements of CQ density are unavailable, Ip decay allows comparison <u>under otherwise similar</u> <u>conditions</u>
  - For high-Z injection, higher assimilation accelerates the CQ



### SPI data from multiple machines contribute to assimilation studies

	Plasma energy		SPI species			Diagnostics	
	W <sub>th</sub> (MJ)	W <sub>mag</sub> (MJ)	Ne	D <sub>2</sub>	Ar	CQ n <sub>e</sub>	CQ I <sub>p</sub>
J-TEXT	~ 0.03	0.05			1	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<i>✓</i>
KSTAR	0.3 - 0.5	1.2	1	1	*	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	1
DIII-D	0.1 - 2	1 - 3	1	1	*	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	1
JET	0.5 – 7	4 - 23	1	1	*		1



Including mixtures

\* Ar SPI typically for RE dissipation





SPI1

**FPP** 

#### Outline of talk

- Experimental scalings
- Modeling of SPI assimilation
- Assimilation of multiple SPIs
- Deuterium SPI





### Experimental scalings



# Experimental scalings for $n_{\rm CQ}$ have been derived from DIII-D database

 Assimilation can depend strongly on plasma parameters



CAK RIDGE

 Ablation/ionization driven by two energy sources

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} W_{\mathrm{th}} \sim \langle nT \rangle V \\ W_{\mathrm{m}} \sim LI_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} \end{array} 
ight]$$
 Constant geometric factors (Ignorable)

• Can fit for regression scaling based on remaining parameters:





### Scaling reproduces measured CQ densities throughout database



CAK RIDGE

8

• A small number of outliers suggest that hidden variables may exist



# Scaling identifies importance of various energy sources throughout disruption

- Dependence on (pre-disruption) temperature/ density are constant throughout CQ
  - These affect the early ablation, but the dependences cannot change after the TQ
- Early in the CQ, density is dominantly determined by electron temperature
  - Expected from pellet ablation physics:  $\dot{N} \sim T_e^{5/3} n_e^{1/3}$
- Early in the CQ, magnetic energy has little influence, but becomes significant by middle of CQ
  - Ohmic dissipation of poloidal magnetic energy becomes important later in sustaining CQ density





CAK RIDGE

### Additional factors such as penetration depth may play a role in determining assimilation





# Modeling of SPI assimilation



# SPI shutdown can be simulated using energy balance model

• KPRAD<sup>1,2</sup> simulates volume-averaged (0D) SPI-plasma interaction



### KPRAD simulates entire disruption from TQ to CQ

- Ablation of individual pellet fragments are tracked independently
  - Early fragments undergo more ablation
- Ablation process is self-regulated, with radiation causing plasma cooling which limits ablation
- This TQ leads to subsequent CQ including effects of inductive coupling to vessel wall
- Model calculates total assimilation, while simulated densities, I<sub>p</sub> decay can be compared to experiment



# KPRAD simulations are in good agreement with DIII-D experiments, despite the 0D model



- Density evolution during the CQ is well matched
- Agreement over a wide range of pre-SPI plasma parameters



- Agreement found over entire Ne SPI database
  - With same outliers from the empirical scalings
- Simulated densities are systematically low by ~25%





# Simulations match measured CQ rates across multiple machines

- Pellet compositions can be freely varied based on Ne/D<sub>2</sub> mixtures
  - Plasma parameters are held constant
- A version of this experiment has been carried out on KSTAR, DIII-D, and JET







### KPRAD simulations yield typical assimilation rates

Range of net assimilation rates: (depending on mixture)

- Pellets with higher neon content have lower assimilation rate
  - Radiative cooling limits ablation
- Total neon assimilation ~ (Ne %) × (Assimilation fraction) so higher Ne% still leads to faster CQ
- These assimilation fractions are in agreement with more advanced extended MHD simulations









### Assimilation of multiple SPIs



## Multiple SPIs will be required for large injection quantities in ITER

• Multiple SPI capabilities currently exist on two devices







### Simulations reproduce dual SPI trend observed in DIII-D

- Trend: Presence of second pellet ( $\Delta t=0$ ) reduces Ne assimilation and slows CQ
- Pellet 1:7 mm with 100% Ne
- Pellet 2: 7 mm with 2.4% Ne
- Pellet mixtures are different, so second SPI lowers the total Ne/D<sub>2</sub> ratio
  - $\rightarrow$   $\Delta$ t=0 has less Ne assimilation and slower CQ
- Model is successful despite 0D simulation not accounting for SPI locations
  - 3D effects not likely to be important







### Simulations reproduce dual SPI trend observed in KSTAR

- Trend: Presence of second pellet ( $\Delta t=0$ ) accelerates CQ
- Pellet 1:7 mm with 5% neon
- Pellet 2: 7 mm with 5% neon
- Both pellets are identical, so Ne/D<sub>2</sub> ratio does not depend on timing
  - $\rightarrow$   $\Delta$ t=0 assimilates more Ne leading to faster CQ
- Model is successful despite 0D simulation not accounting for SPI locations (i.e. 180° apart)
  - 3D effects not likely to be important







### Deuterium SPI



### KPRAD does not fully capture the physics of D<sub>2</sub> SPI

- DIII-D 16 mm pellet ( $N_{SPI}/N_{plasma} = 420$ )
  - Good estimate of total assimilation
  - CQ rate does not match experiment (i.e. T<sub>e</sub> and resulting plasma resistivity)



- DIII-D 7 mm pellet:  $N_{SPI}/N_{plasma} = 17$ 
  - Simulation does not produce disruption
  - In absence of radiative collapse, model has insufficient physics (e.g. MHD)





National Laboratory

# Some experimental characterization of $\rm D_2\,SPI$ assimilation is available

- Assimilation studies with 16 mm pellets in DIII-D were carried out
- Measured assimilation fractions were not a strong function of plasma thermal energy
- Densities were determined using VB emission, but are lower bound estimates due to signal saturation



Commaux et al., NF 51 (2011) 103001





#### Summary

- Empirical scalings successfully match measured CQ densities in large DIII-D database
  - Electron temperature is dominant parameter affecting assimilation, with Ohmic heating becoming important later in CQ for sustaining the density
  - Suggests global energy balance plays a large role in determining assimilation rates
- KPRAD simulations match measurements in KSTAR, DIII-D, and JET
  - Reproduce CQ rates and densities, for a range of plasma parameters, pellet compositions
  - MHD effects are not considered in these simulations, implying lesser importance
  - Typical assimilation rates for moderate pellet sizes (~7 mm) are: ~10% for Ne SPI, or several times higher for mixtures (26 to 90%)
- Dual SPI results on DIII-D and KSTAR can be explained by KPRAD simulations
  - Suggests 3D effects are not as important as total species mixture
- D<sub>2</sub> SPI assimilation is more difficult to model
  - More complete physics model required in absence of radiative collapse
  - (Analysis of MHD signals, consideration of sputtered impurities are ongoing)

