



Prospects for runaway electron avoidance with massive material injection in tokamak disruptions

T Fülöp, O Vallhagen, O Embreus, I Pusztai, L Hesslow, M Hoppe, S L Newton





"Runaway dynamics in the DT phase of ITER operations in the presence of massive material injection",

[Vallhagen, Embreus, Pusztai, Hesslow and Fülöp, ArXiv 2004.12861, submitted to JPP]

- Simplified disruption modelling based on the "GO framework"
- Neon injection
- Combined deuterium and noble gas injection
 - scan over the injected densities
 - time-dependent vs equilibrium
 - current and temperature evolution in representative cases
- Estimate of the effect of
 - opacity
 - transport of neutral particles
 - non-uniform radial deposition
 - hot-tail generation

Current density in elongated plasmas

$$\mu_0 \frac{\partial j_{\parallel}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{V'} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} V' \langle |\nabla r|^2 \rangle \frac{\partial E}{\partial r}$$

where $V(r)=2\pi^2R\kappa r^2$ so that $V'(r)=2\pi^2R(\kappa'r^2+2\kappa r)$ and

$$|\nabla r|^2 = \frac{\cos^2\theta + \kappa^{-2}\sin^2\theta}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa' r}{\kappa}\sin^2\theta\right)^2}$$

so that

$$\langle |\nabla r|^2 \rangle = \frac{\kappa^{-2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\kappa' r}{\kappa}}}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa' r}{2\kappa}\right) \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\kappa' r}{\kappa}}\right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\kappa' r}{\kappa}}}.$$

Runaway rate

$$\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t}\right)^{\mathsf{Dreicer}} + \left(\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t}\right)^{\mathsf{hot-tail}} + \left(\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t}\right)^{\mathsf{tritium}} + \left(\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t}\right)^{\mathsf{Compton}} + \left(\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t}\right)^{\mathsf{avalanche}}$$

- Dreicer seed: neural network trained on large database of kinetic simulations. https://github.com/unnerfelt/dreicer-nn
 [L Hesslow *et al*, JPP 2019]
- Tritium seed [R Martin-Solis et al, NF 2017]

$$\left(\frac{\partial n_{\rm RE}}{\partial t}\right)^{\rm tritium} = \ln\left(2\right)\frac{n_{\rm T}}{\tau_{\rm T}}f\left(W_{\rm crit}\right),$$

 \blacktriangleright Fraction of the electron spectrum above the critical runaway energy $W_{
m crit}$

$$f(W_{\rm crit}) = \frac{\int_{W_{\rm crit}}^{Q} I(W) \mathrm{d}W}{\int_{0}^{Q} I(W) \mathrm{d}W} = 1 - \frac{35}{8} \left(\frac{W_{\rm crit}}{Q}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{21}{4} \left(\frac{W_{\rm crit}}{Q}\right)^{5/2} - \frac{15}{8} \left(\frac{W_{\rm crit}}{Q}\right)^{7/2},$$

where $I(W) \propto (Q - W)^2 \sqrt{W}$, with $Q = 18.6 \,\mathrm{keV}$.

- In DT operation γ-rays emitted by the activated walls Compton scatter electrons to runaway region.
- Gamma flux energy spectrum in ITER [R Martin-Solis et al, NF 2017]

$$\Gamma_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) \propto \exp\left(-\exp\left(z\right) - z + 1\right)$$
 with $z = \left[\ln\left(E_{\gamma}(\text{MeV})\right) + 1.2\right]/0.8$

(Details of the spectra will depend on the final configuration of the first wall and blanket.) Total Compton cross-section

$$\begin{split} \sigma(E_{\gamma}) &= \frac{3\sigma_T}{8} \left\{ \frac{x^2 - 2x - 2}{x^3} \ln \frac{1 + 2x}{1 + x(1 - \cos \theta_c)} + \frac{1}{2x} \left[\frac{1}{\left[1 + x(1 - \cos \theta_c)\right]^2} - \frac{1}{(1 + 2x)^2} \right] \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{x^3} \left[1 - x - \frac{1 + 2x}{1 + x(1 - \cos \theta_c)} - x \cos \theta_c \right] \right\} \quad \text{with} \quad \cos \theta_c = 1 - \frac{m_e c^2}{E_{\gamma}} \frac{E_c / E_{\gamma}}{1 - (E_c / E_{\gamma})} \end{split}$$

Runaway rate

$$\left(\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{RE}}}{\partial t}\right)^{\gamma} = n_e \int \Gamma_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) \sigma(E_{\gamma}) dE_{\gamma}$$

- Hot-tail generation is expected to dominate over Dreicer generation in ITER.
- Some (but probably not all) of the hot-tail runaways are expected to be lost due to the breakup of magnetic surfaces that accompanies the thermal quench.
- Recent fluid+kinetic simulations show that taking into account all the hot-tail electrons overestimates the runaway current by a factor of four in ASDEX Upgrade [Hoppe et al, submitted to JPP 2020]
- Here we neglect both hot-tail generation and losses due to magnetic fluctuations, for simplicity → runaway seed is likely to be underestimated.
- Effect of remnant hot-tail will be discussed later.

Generalization of Rosenbluth-Putvinski calculation to account for the presence of weakly ionized impurities [L Hesslow *et al*, NF (2019)]

Asymptotic matching, valid also when $E \sim E_c^{\text{eff}}$ or $n_Z \ll n_D$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial n_{\rm RE}}{\partial t}\right)_{\rm aval}^{\rm screened} = \frac{e n_{\rm RE}}{m_{\rm e} c \ln \Lambda_{\rm c}} \frac{n_{\rm e}^{\rm tot}}{n_{\rm e}} \frac{E_{\parallel} - E_{\rm c}^{\rm eff}}{\sqrt{4 + \bar{\nu}_{\rm s}(p_{\star})\bar{\nu}_{\rm D}(p_{\star})}},$$

I Increased number of target electrons available for the avalanche process is only partially compensated by the increased friction force.

Reduction of runaway generation due to finite aspect ratio is negligible at high densities and electric fields, due to the high collisionality of electrons at the critical momentum [McDevitt&Tang EPL 2019].



- Energy loss: radial transport due to magnetic fluctuations and line radiation due to impurity influx.
- Initial part of thermal quench: $T_{e}(t, r) = T_{f} + [T_{0}(r) T_{f}] e^{-t/t_{0}}$ until the central temperature drops to 100 eV.
- After this, energy balance equation:

$$\frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial(nT)}{\partial t} = \frac{1+\kappa^{-2}}{2}\frac{3n}{2r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\chi r\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right) + \sigma(T, Z_{\text{eff}})E^2 - \sum_{i,k} n_{\text{e}}n_k^i L_k^i(T, n_{\text{e}}) - P_{\text{Br}} - P_{\text{ion}}.$$

- The effect of the heat diffusion and Bremsstrahlung radiation terms are negligible.
- The density of each charge state for every ion species is calculated from

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_k^i}{\mathrm{d}t} = n_{\rm e} \left[I_k^{i-1} n_k^{i-1} - (I_k^i + R_k^i) n_k^i + R_k^{i+1} n_k^{i+1} \right].$$

- The ionization, recombination and line radiation rates taken from ADAS.
- P_{ion} is the ionization energy loss.

DT plasma with initial plasma current $I_0 = 15$ MA, $j(r) = j_0 \left[1 - (r/a)^{0.41}\right]$ $\langle n_e \rangle = 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, flat

•
$$T_0 = 20 \text{ keV} \left[1 - (r/a)^2 \right]$$
, T_f flat.

Injected material uniformly distributed at the beginning of the simulation.



- Radiative losses (solid), radiative and ionization losses (green dash-dotted)
- Ohmic heating (blue dashed and blue dotted).
 - ► dashed: j_{ohm} = 1.69 MA/m² corresponding to the initial on-axis current density
 - ▶ dotted: j_{ohm} = 0.2 MA/m² representing a case where the Ohmic current has partially, but not completely, decayed
- The equilibrium temperatures for both current densities are in the order of a few eV.
- Large amount of partially ionized neon → large avalanche growth rate.
 - Large RE conversion \rightarrow 6.7 MA



- Two models for avalanche generation:
 - ► with partial screening [Hesslow *et al*, NF (2019)]
 - with complete screening (CS) [Rosenbluth and Putvinski, NF (1997)]
- Effect of screening increases the final runaway current for both argon and neon injections.
- Effect of elongation is very small.





- Below the green solid line CQ time is longer than 150 ms (insufficient material injection to induce a complete radiative collapse).
- Above the green dashed line, the Ohmic CQ time is less than 35 ms (boundary to avoid damage due to torques on the first wall).

- Time-dependent leads to higher runaway currents, especially for high deuterium contents.
- In the time-dependent case:
 - It takes some time to reach the equilibrium ionization distribution.
 - ► Low degree of ionization → larger radiative losses → lower temperature → higher induced electric fields → higher runaway currents.
 - ► If the temperature drops too low, deuterium starts to recombine → increases the avalanche.
 - Ionization energy loss matters.





Injected material

Case	$n_{ m D}/n_{ m D0}$	$n_{ m Ne}/n_{ m D0}$	$I_{\rm RE}$ [MA]
2	3	0.03	0
3	40	0.08	7.3
4	7	0.08	3.7

The final column shows the runaway currents right before the dissipation phase.



No runaways, but too long CQ time.



- Plasma remains hot in the centre.
- Due to the temperature drop at the edge, a strong electric field is induced \rightarrow additional Ohmic heating.
- Peak in the temperature close to the boundary between the hot and cold regions.

- Equilibrium temperature around 200 eV.
- Inner part of the plasma hot → very long CQ time.
- High temperature → weak induced electric field → negligible RE conversion.





- Radiative losses are strong, resulting in very low temperatures.
- The boundary between the two regions (5 eV and 1 eV) moves radially inward.
- **E** Recombination \rightarrow higher avalanche growth rate \rightarrow large RE generation in the outer part of the plasma.
- The Ohmic current remaining in the more central part diffuses outward → a significant induced electric field is sustained in the cold region.
- Large RE conversion with an off-axis radial density profile.

- Large amount of deuterium leads to an overall enhancement of the radiative losses.
- Ionization energy loss matters (difference between solid black and green dash-dotted lines).
- Equilibrium temperature is shifted from a few eV down to only about 1 eV.





- Deuterium density is not high enough to result in temperatures low enough to make the deuterium recombine.
- But it is sufficiently high to dampen the avalanche, at least partially.

Compromise:

- injected densities are sufficiently large to avoid an equilibrium at high temperatures
- ▶ but not too large, such that equilibrium temperature does not drop too close to 1 eV.
- Acceptable CQ time.
- Runaway current lower than previous case.





Case 2: $n_{\rm Ne} = 3 \times 10^{18} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$, $n_{\rm D} = 3 \times 10^{20} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$ Case 3: $n_{\rm Ne} = 8 \times 10^{18} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$, $n_{\rm D} = 4 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$ Case 4: $n_{\rm Ne} = 8 \times 10^{18} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$, $n_{\rm D} = 7 \times 10^{20} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$ Plasma was assumed to be transparent to radiative losses.

- But plasmas at low temperature and high density (such as Case 3) are opaque to the Lyman lines of hydrogen isotopes.
- Estimate the effect by considering the extreme case, when all the deuterium radiation is trapped.
- If only deuterium line radiation is trapped, the runaway current is reduced from 7.3 MA to 6 MA.
- Trapping also all the ionization radiation in the plasma leads to 2.7 MA.



- If a fraction of the deuterium recombines, it may leave the plasma.
- Estimate the effect by removing their effect on radiation losses and runaway generation.
- The cold region in Case 3 will have less runaways, and the non-monotonic behaviour observed previously is missing.
- The resulting runaway current is similar to a case with a lower amount of injected deuterium.



Density profiles were varied from hollow to peaked. $n \propto 1 + c_1 \tanh (0.5(r/a - 0.5)).$

- negative c_1 : density peaked at the centre
- **\blacksquare** positive c_1 : density peaked at the edge



Total runaway current and CQ time are insensitive to the density profile if the number of injected atoms is constant.



Seed runaways

- Dreicer seed is negligible.
- Tritium seed decreases with increasing impurity content, due to the shorter CQ times and the increased critical energy.
- Compton seed increases with increasing impurity content, due to the increased number of target electrons available for Compton scattering.
- Tritium + Compton → a few amperes of seed current is obtained almost independently of the injected amount of material.



- Omission of hot-tail seed is motivated with radial losses due to the breakup of magnetic surfaces during the thermal quench.
- Maximum runaway current depends logarithmically on the seed.
- A seed current of a μA gives 1 MA final runaway current even in Case 4.



Maximum runaway current as function of seed current for Case 1, 3 and 4 (assuming a flat seed profile).

- No tritium decay or Compton sources.
- Only Dreicer: regions in the parameter space without large runaway currents



0.5 A remnant hot-tail seed is needed for a runaway current of 1 MA in Case 4.



- In the presence of partially ionized impurities, avalanche gain is higher than
- previously expected.
- If losses due to magnetic perturbations are neglected, impurity injection leads to high runaway currents in ITER, even if it is combined with deuterium injection.
- Large amount of injected material → low temperatures → recombination → high n_e^{tot}/n_e → large avalanche growth rate.
- Final runaway current is logarithmically weak function of seed.
- Runaway current and CQ time are fairly insensitive to the details of the density profile of the injected material (unless it peaks at the edge).
- Important to clarify details of the thermal quench, including hot-tail generation and effect of losses due to perturbations → see O Embreus' talk