

www.cea.fr



On the possible injection schemes with the ITER SPI system

E. Nardon, A. Matsuyama, M. Lehnen,D. Hu, M. Hoelzl, D. Bonfiglio,F. Wieschollek, JOREK team

IAEA Technical Meeting on Plasma Disruptions and their Mitigation, 20-23 July 2020 (Virtual Meeting)

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.





Introduction (1/2)



- We will propose 2 « original » SPI schemes focused on the objective of avoiding RE beams in ITER
 - The compatibility of these schemes with other DMS objectives will not be addressed

2 main reasons why RE generation could be large in ITER:
 1) Strong hot tail due to high T_e [Paz-Soldan 2020]
 2) Enormous avalanche gain + small continuous seeds (Tritium β decay, Compton scattering of γ's from the activated wall)





The current RE avoidance strategy is based on raising n_e by a large factor (~20-40) [Martín-Solís, NF 2017]

- Hot tail generation assumed to be small
- Scheme 1: Pure H₂ SPI before TQ could be the best hope to raise n_e...
 ...and to avoid hot tail generation thanks to pre-TQ dilution cooling
 Impurity SPI would follow to trigger the TQ and radiate the energy
- But the validity of the current RE avoidance strategy for the Baseline 15 MA DT scenario is questioned by recent work !! [T. Fülöp, this conf.]
- An alternative idea to deal with the small seed + large avalanche issue: <u>Scheme 2: Post-TQ SPI to continuously deplete RE seeds</u>

Pure H₂ (or D₂) SPI before the TQ for fast plasma dilution

Experimental observations

Pre-TQ (or 'cooling') phase is observed to be longer for pure D₂ SPI than for impurity SPI on several machines (DIII-D, JET, ...)

D₂+Ne SPI in DIII-D



From D. Shiraki et al., Phys. Plasmas **23** 062516 (2016)

*Time between the arrival of initial pellet fragments and the I_p spike

Interpretation: cold front vs. 'lukewarm' front

Pure D₂ vs. D₂:Ne = 9:1

pure D_2 (2ms); - - -

pure D_2^2 (4ms)

n_e(10²⁰/m³)

0.2

D₂:Ne=9:1 (4ms): D₂:Ne=9:1 (2ms): D₂:Ne=9:1 (4ms): D₂:Ne=9:1 (6ms):

50

40

30

20

10

0.

0

n_e[10²⁰/m³]

INDEX 1.5D simulations, ITER baseline 15 MA H-mode plasma Single 28 mm pellet, V_p =200 m/s, N_{shards} = 300



Only dilution cooling (no radiative collapse)

0.4

ρ.

0.6

0.8

- \Rightarrow T_e remains > 100 eV
- \Rightarrow Resistive j decay time >> a/V_p
- \Rightarrow No modification of j profile



Interpretation: cold front vs. 'lukewarm' front

Pure D₂ vs. D₂:Ne = 9:1

pure D_2 (2ms); - - -

pure D_2^2 (4ms)

50

40

INDEX 1.5D simulations, ITER baseline 15 MA H-mode plasma Single 28 mm pellet, $V_p=200$ m/s, $N_{shards} = 300$



With Ne+D₂ SPI:

Radiative collapse in cold front

- T_e goes down to a few eV \Rightarrow
- Resistive j decay time $< a/V_p$ \Rightarrow
- Modification of j profile \Rightarrow
- Likely to trigger an early TQ \Rightarrow



Testing pure D₂ SPI with JOREK 3D non-linear MHD simulations

- ⇒ Experimental and early modelling results suggest that with pure H₂ (or D₂) SPI, shards may have the time to reach the plasma core and dilute the whole plasma before triggering a TQ
- What about the effect of:
 - **Background impurities** in the target plasma?
 - Lukewarm front → cold front?
 - Toroidal localization of ablation clouds?
 - Enhances MHD modes?
- \Rightarrow JOREK simulations
 - Target plasma = 15 MA L-mode
 - Injection of a 28 mm D_2 pellet with $V_p=200$ m/s, $N_{shards}=1000$

Shards can travel across the plasma without causing an MHD crash... below a certain background impurity density







Effect of the toroidal localization of ablation clouds



- Due to limited toroidal resolution in JOREK, ablation clouds toroidal extension $\Delta \phi_{cloud}$ is much larger than in reality
- A scan in $\Delta \varphi_{cloud}$ shows that low-n mode excitation decreases with $\Delta \varphi_{cloud}$
- Interpretation: low-n modes are primarily destabilized via a current profile modification, which is stronger for larger Δφ_{cloud}
 - Direct mode drive by helical cooling does not compensate



 JOREK predictions with artificially large Δφ_{cloud} probably overpredict low-n mode excitation, i.e. are
 « pessimistic »

Effect on hot tail generation



At low n_{imp}, dilution cooling in the core takes place on a multi-ms timescale, allowing the electron distribution to remain Maxwellian

- Hot tail generation during the TQ is very sensitive to τ_e/τ_{TQ}
 - = Electron collision time $\tau_e \sim T_e^{3/2}/n_e \sim D^{-5/2}$, where D = dilution factor
 - **—** TQ duration (radiative collapse) $\tau_{TQ} \sim 1/n_e \sim 1/D$

 $\Rightarrow \tau_e/\tau_{TQ} \sim D^{-3/2}$, i.e. dilution may dramatically reduce hot tail generation



Pre-TQ pure H₂ (or D₂) SPI: Summary and outlook



- JOREK simulations suggest that plasma can be diluted by a large factor (>10) all the way to the core without triggering a global MHD crash, provided n_{imp} is low enough
 - Best way to raise n_e (baseline RE avoidance strategy)
 - Dilution cooling may suppress the risk of hot tail RE generation
- For more detail: http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.16020
- Motivates more detailed studies, and in particular:
 - More accurate modelling of background impurities
 - Assessment of the effect of pre-existing islands
 - Validation against present experiments
 - Assessment of compatibility with other DMS objectives

Post-TQ SPI to deplete RE seeds

Principle and required conditions for success



<u>Principle:</u> REs travel across shards. When they do so, they lose an energy $\Delta E_{RE} = p\delta$, where p = stopping power, δ = distance travelled across shard.

 \Rightarrow Try to use this effect to deplete small RE seeds before they avalanche

Since seeds are continuously produced, need repeated or continuous injection.

Required conditions for success:

- 1) Shards should be able to stop RE seeds
- 2) Shards should be able to penetrate

3) Additional assimilated material should not make the CQ too short



Design choices



Many small shards or a few large shards?



Continuous or repeated injection?

 Note: « Few large shards » case assimilated to shard by shard injection at given freq.

Pellet material?

- \blacksquare Hydrogen \rightarrow lower stopping power p but less risk to make CQ too fast
- Neon or Argon \rightarrow larger p but more risk to make CQ too fast

Continuous passage of small shards vs. discrete passages of large shards



Can shards brake REs? Analytical estimates



Braking condition: $E_{gain/turn}/E_{loss/turn} < 1$, where:

$$E_{gain/turn} = eV_{loop}$$

E_{loss/turn} = energy lost per toroidal turn due to interaction with shards

For many small shards:
$$E_{loss/turn} \simeq \frac{V_s p}{2\pi r_{\psi}} \frac{dN_s}{dr_{\psi}}$$

 \rightarrow <u>Hydrogen</u>: need more than 5.3 large (28mm) pellet/meter

 \rightarrow <u>Neon or Argon</u>: need more than 0.9 pellet/meter

For a few large shards:
$$E_{loss/turn} \simeq \frac{V_s p}{2\pi r_\psi} \frac{2}{\pi r_s}$$

- \rightarrow <u>Hydrogen</u>: need r_s > 8.3 mm
- \rightarrow <u>Neon or Argon</u>: need r_s > 3.4 mm

 $(p_{H2}=38MeV/m, p_{Ne/Ar}=230MeV/m; Assumptions: V_{loop}=1.1kV, r_{\psi}=1m)$

Can shards fully stop REs? Analytical estimates



For case of <u>repeated injections with many small shards</u>, each injection needs to fully stop REs, otherwise they would re-accelerate between 2 injections

Stopping condition:
$$\frac{t_{stop}}{t_{pass}} \simeq \frac{4\pi^2 R r_{\psi} E_{RE}^0 \langle v_s \rangle}{c N_s V_s p} < 1$$

(assuming $E_{gain/turn}/E_{loss/turn} << 1$)

- \rightarrow <u>Hydrogen</u>: need more than 5 large (28mm) pellet / injection
- \rightarrow <u>Neon or Argon</u>: need more than 0.9 pellet / injection

(Assumptions: R=6m, r_{ψ} =1m, E_{RE}^{0} =10MeV, $\langle v_{s} \rangle$ =100m/s)



Can shards fully stop REs? Analytical estimates



Stopping condition: $\frac{t_{stop}}{t_{pass}} \simeq \frac{\pi^3 R E_{RE}^0 r_{\psi} v_s}{c V_s p} < 1$ (assuming E_{gain/turn}/E_{loss/turn} << 1)

→ <u>Hydrogen</u>: need $r_s > 16 \text{ mm}$ → <u>Neon or Argon</u>: need $r_s > 8.6 \text{ mm}$

 \Rightarrow More stringent than braking condition

(Assumptions: R=6m, r_{ψ} =1m, E_{RE}^{0} =10MeV, v_{s} =100m/s)

Numerical simulations are consistent with, but less optimistic than analytical estimates



Model:

- REs assumed to follow field lines and tracked by steps of 1 toroidal turn
- **—** RE energy evolved at each turn: $\Delta E_{RE} = eV_{loop} p\delta$, where δ = distance travelled across shards (calculated from position of shards at current time)
 - E_{RE} saturated at 10 MeV
- ⇒ Trends from analytical estimates confirmed, but less optimistic results due to realistic equilibrium geometry

Effect of rational surfaces is very limited in the absence of islands



- REs perform a large number of toroidal turns over the passage of shards
- ⇒ Magnetic shear makes the effect of rational q surfaces very localized
- The presence of islands could be a concern
- Another possible concern is the vertical plasma motion

- When there are gaps between (clouds of) shards, the avalanche during gaps may lead to a large enough RE population to vaporize shards
- Energy into shard from REs (per unit volume):

 $exp(\Delta t_{gap}/\tau_{e-fold}) \times (j_{RE}^{seed}/e) \times p \times 2a/v_s$

Comparison to shard heat of vaporization \rightarrow Upper limit on $\Delta t_{gap} \rightarrow$ Lower limit on injection rate

(Input numbers:

 $= j_{\text{RE}}^{\text{seed}} \sim 0.1 \text{A/m}^2 \text{ [Boozer PPCF 2019; Martín-Solís NF 2017]}$ $= \tau_{\text{e-fold}} \sim 2\text{ms} \left(\Delta \psi_{\text{e-fold}} = 2.3 \text{V.s [Boozer PPCF 2019] and V}_{\text{loop}} = 1.1 \text{kV} \right)$

Consequences of material assimilation on CQ timescale?

Exponential CQ timescale vs. amount of assimilated material

- Estimate based on a simple 0D model, assuming balance between Ohmic heating and radiative losses
- Note: H (or D) radiation not considered but could shorten CQ drastically when injecting several H pellets (recombination)...

Summary and outlook

Injection rate required to penetrate and stop RE seeds (Unit = equivalent # of 28 mm pellets over 100 ms)

	Н	Ne	Ar
Many small shards, continuous	60	9	9
Many small shards, repeated	100	18	18
A few large shards	30	6	6

Tolerable amount of assimilated material (Unit = equivalent # of 28 mm pellets)

Н	Ne	Ar
5-10	0.03	0.01

(Main assumptions: E_{RE}^{0} =10MeV, v_s=100m/s)

- Pellet number appears prohibitive for H, but might be within DMS capabilities for Ne or Ar (up to 24 pellets of 28 mm)
- Ablation from thermal plasma would have to be extremely small
 - Need a model for ablation in the CQ plasma ($T_e \sim 5 \text{ eV}$)
 - Experiments on SPI during CQ in present machines would be useful
 Look for better pellet material (i.e. with large stopping power, poor radiation at low T_e, small ablation rate, …)
- For more detail: paper on arXiv (lookup 'Nardon')