

[REGULAR POSTER TWIN] Confinement in electron heated plasmas in Wendelstein 7-X and ASDEX Upgrade; the necessity to control turbulent transport

Thursday 13 May 2021 18:25 (20 minutes)

Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) is an optimized stellarator of the HELIAS type. ASDEX Upgrade is a medium sized tokamak. In electron cyclotron heated (ECRH) hydrogen plasmas the central ion temperature is clamped at $T_{i,0} \sim 1.5$ keV in both W7-X (Figure-1) and AUG. These findings are found to be virtually independent of heating power and electron density, and for W7-X, independent of magnetic configuration. In both devices ion scale turbulence (ITG/TEM) is thought to be responsible for the enhanced turbulent transport. In combination with the off-axis ion heating profile that stems from the electron-to-ion energy transfer-profile, the enhanced turbulent transport leads to the observed clamping of $T_{i,0}$. For future fusion reactors with dominant electron (i.e. α -particle) heating, of either stellarator or tokamak type, these W7-X and AUG experiments expose the potential performance limiting ion-temperature-“clamping” issue. Active turbulent suppression may then become a necessity.

The clamped ion temperature has been studied in an AUG deuterium plasma with high central density ($1.10 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$) and high plasma current (1 MA) in H-mode, and $P_{\text{ecrh}}=4$ MW. Central ECRH deposition yielded $T_{e,0} = 3.4$ keV and $T_{i,0} = 1.9$ keV. Moving $P_{\text{ecrh}} = 3.3$ MW off-axis to $r/a = 0.6$ and keeping only 0.7 MW in the core, resulted in unchanged pedestal parameters $T_e = T_i = 1$ keV. However, central $T_{e,0} = 2.3$ keV significantly reduced while $T_{i,0}$ remains at 1.9 keV within error bars, whereas the total heat flux to the ions is reduced by a factor of two. This behaviour has been predictively modelled with the trapped-gyro-Landau-fluid model (TGLF) for turbulent transport, and relates to the result that in tokamaks the ratio T_e/T_i has a strong effect on ITG stability. In a direct comparison to W7-X, in AUG experiments in hydrogen were conducted with 122 different heating and density variations between $P_{\text{ecrh}} = 0.5\text{-}5\text{ MW}$ and $n_{e,0} = 2\text{-}8 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, in which T_i clamped at 1.5 keV, independent of confinement H- or L-mode. Turbulence suppression in these scenarios would be required to obtain enhanced T_i .

In W7-X, neoclassical transport losses have been minimized through a reduction of the effective ripple down to $\epsilon_{\text{eff}} \sim 0.8\%$. Its design aims are steady state operation up to a pressure $\langle \beta \rangle \sim 5\%$ with mainly dominant electron heating. Neoclassical (NC) transport predictions show that this may be achievable with $P_{\text{ecrh}} \sim 10\text{ MW}$, and would have an energy confinement $\beta_{\text{ISS04}} = \tau_e / \tau_{\text{ISS04}} > 2$, compared to the ISS04 confinement scaling. Assuming $P_{\text{ecrh}} \sim 4.5\text{ MW}$ in such simulations, ion temperatures of up to $T_i = 2.8\text{-}3.5$ keV may be achieved, depending on the configuration chosen (Figure-1). In experiments after wall conditioning by means of boronization and with divertor operation, a wide operational window with $P_{\text{ecrh}} = 0.5\text{-}6\text{ MW}$ and $n_{e,0} = 0.2\text{-}1.4 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ in four different magnetic configurations with $\langle \epsilon_{\text{eff}} \rangle 0.8\% - 2.5\%$, was obtained. In contrast to the NC predictions, a confinement of only $\beta_{\text{ISS04}} = \tau_e / \tau_{\text{ISS04}} < 0.7$ was found, virtually independent of P_{ecrh} and ϵ_{eff} , and degrading with density compared to the scaling. Most notably, in W7-X the achieved central ion temperature across the standard ECRH database was at maximum $T_i \sim 1.5 \pm 0.2$ keV, independent of configuration, whereas the electron temperature could vary more widely as $T_e \sim 1$ to 10 keV (Figure-1). Radiation losses and charge exchange losses have been excluded beyond reasonable doubt as the cause of the increased core transport losses.

For W7-X, various candidate turbulent mechanisms are investigated using non-linear and linear gyrokinetic flux surface averaged simulations. At low-density gradients with $a/L_n \leq 1$, ion temperature gradients (ITG) are thought to dominate the ion heat transport, whereas trapped electron modes (TEMs) drive the electron heat transport. At high-density gradients $a/L_n > 3\text{-}4$ and low temperature gradients $a/L_T \leq 1$, TEMs dominate the overall transport. However, the so-called maximum-J property of W7-X entails that when the temperature and density gradients align like $a/L_{Ti} \sim a/L_n$, the turbulence growth rates are strongly reduced and improved confinement may be the result. This leads to the so called “stability valley”, as is thought to be observed in e.g. the post-pellet plasmas.

A power balance on a selected dataset ($P_{\text{ecrh}} = 2\text{-}6\text{ MW}$) and plasma density ($3.5\text{-}7 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) shows that, focussing on $r/a = 0.5\text{-}0.7$, the electron heat diffusivity remains virtually unchanged at $\chi_{e,\text{exp}} \sim 0.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ despite a large variation of P_{ecrh} . The electron transport therefore has a low degree of stiffness as seen in Figure-2a, as well as seen in separate heat pulse propagation experiments which show $S_e = \chi_{e,\text{HP}} / \chi_{e,\text{PB}} \leq 2$. The ion heat diffusivity ranges from $0.5\text{-}2 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, with an average $\chi_{i,\text{exp}} \sim 1.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, see Figure-2b. The low variation of a/L_{Ti} and moderate variation of $\chi_{i,\text{exp}}$ for a given radius, may imply some degree of ion profile stiffness $S = \Delta\chi/\chi$ consistent with ITG turbulence, but the variation of ion heatflux Q_i in gyrobohm units, is too small compared to experimental errors to be conclusive. Also, although the variation of $T_e/T_i = 1\text{-}5$ in the plasma center and $T_e/T_i = 1\text{-}2$ at mid radius, is expected to affect ITG turbulence, we have thus far not found

any evidence for this in our heat transport studies. The possible role of ITGs on transport, and its suppression, has however been experimentally shown by introducing a significant density gradient by e.g. hydrogen ice-pellet injection. In the post pellet phase, density and ion temperature gradients transiently increase and align as $a/L_n \approx a/L_{Ti} \approx 3-5$. Consistent with our gyrokinetic modelling given the maximum-J property of W7-X, transport is reduced and higher central $T_{i,0} \approx T_{e,0} \sim 3\text{keV}$ are achieved (green stars in Figure-1). A power balance analysis at the highest T_i indeed shows that the ion heat transport drops to neoclassical levels $\chi_{i,\text{exp}} \approx \chi_{i,\text{NC}}$ (stars in Figure-2b), implying (ITG) turbulence suppression. Simultaneously a reduction in density fluctuations is seen from our phase contrast imaging diagnostic, also implying reduced turbulence levels. Extrapolating the performance of W7-X plasmas for enhanced ECRH power is difficult. However, assuming the averaged experimental heat diffusivities, $\chi_e = 0.7 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and $\chi_i = 1.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, in our NTSS predictive transport model, one would require $P_{\text{ecrh}} > 50\text{MW}$ to approach the design aim of $\langle \beta \rangle = 5\%$. Therefore, turbulence suppression (through e.g. induced density gradients) is essential for future high performance electron heated scenarios in W7-X. New tools for scenario development in W7-X are: cryo-pumping; a continuous pellet injector; and enhanced ECRH power (8MW). The PNBI $\sim 7\text{MW}$ may yet reveal other venues to high performance.

Indico rendering error

Could not include image: [404] Error fetching image

Indico rendering error

Could not include image: [404] Error fetching image

Country or International Organization

Germany

Affiliation

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Greifswald

Authors: BEURSKENS, Marcus Nicolaas Arnoldus (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Greifswald, Germany); BOZHENKOV, Sergey (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Greifswald, Germany); FABLE, Emiliano (DeMPIPGarc); FUCHERT, Golo (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Greifswald, Germany); Dr FORD, Oliver (Max-Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik); REISNER, M (IPP Garching); Dr SCOTT, Evan (Department of Engineering Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA); Dr VON STECHOW, Adrian (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); STOBER, Joerg (IPP Garching); Dr TURKIN, Yuriy (Max-Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik); Dr WEIR, Gavin (Max-Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik); Dr XANTHOPOULOS, Pavlos (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik Greifswald); Dr ALCUSÓN, Jorge (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik Teilinstitut Greifswald,); ANGIONI, Clemente (Max-Planck-Institut fuer Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, D-85748 Garching, Germany); Dr BALDZUHN, Jurgen (Max-planck Institut fuer Plasmaphysik); BEIDLER, Craig (Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics, Greifswald, Germany); BIRKENMEIER, Gregor (Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany); Dr BURHENN, Rainer (Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics); DINKLAGE, Andreas (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); GRULKE, Olaf (MPI for Plasma Physics); HIRSCH, Matthias (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); JAKUBOWSKI, Marcin (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); LAQUA, Heinrich (Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics, Greifswald, Germany); LAZERSON, Samuel (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); SCHNEIDER, Philip A. (Max-Planck-Institiut für Plasmaphysik); Dr STANGE, Torsten (Max-Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik); STROTH, Ulrich (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); WARMER, Felix (Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics); WEGNER, Th. (DeMPIPGrif); Dr ZHANG, Daihong (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik Greifswald); WOLF, Robert (Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics); ZOHM, Hartmut (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); WENDELSTEIN 7-X AND ASDEX-UPGRADE TEAMS

Presenter: BEURSKENS, Marcus Nicolaas Arnoldus (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Greifswald, Germany)

Session Classification: P6 Posters 6

Track Classification: Magnetic Fusion Experiments