# Status and The Challenge of Japanese Materials Property Handbook <sup>ID:1485</sup> to Facilitate Structural Design Criteria for DEMO In-Vessel Components T. Nozawa<sup>1</sup>, H. Tanigawa<sup>1</sup>, T. Itoh<sup>2</sup>, N. Hiyoshi<sup>3</sup>, M. Ohata<sup>4</sup>, T. Kato<sup>1</sup>, M. Ando<sup>1</sup>, M. Nakajima<sup>1</sup>, T. Hirose<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology (QST), <sup>2</sup>Ritsumeikan University, <sup>3</sup>University of Fukui, <sup>4</sup>Osaka University nozawa.takashi@qst.go.jp

### ABSTRACT

- The current status of the material property handbook for a structural design using Japanese reduced activation ferritic/martensitic (RAFM) steel F82H was summarized. In particular, the details of the material strength standards newly determined based on statistical data are shown.
  - ✓ The key structural parameters, e.g., time-dependent/independent design stresses and fatigue design curves, were determined following the French structural design code RCC-MRx.
  - ✓ Under the Japan-U.S. collaboration, tensile data were newly added to the benchmark heavy irradiation up to 80 dpa, as a critical input in the intermediate C&R in Japan.
- The status of structural material data and the near- and long-term issues were clarified by the evaluation using the attribute guides.
- Several structural design approaches which were newly introduced and extended to cope with the structural design issues under the complex environmental conditions peculiar to the DEMO were noted with the initial R&D results.
- ✓ The multi-axial loading condition due to the complexity peculiar to the DEMO reactor as well as the coolant compatibility and the irradiation effect is mentioned. It is necessary to propose the basic concepts for handling these events step by step. ✓ For instance in this paper, the multi-axial fatigue-creep using the modified universal slope method and the brittle/ductile fracture approaches are explained. • The future work needs to address on the integration of microscopic irradiation effects to macroscopic evaluation of structural integrity.

## ASSESSMENT OF F82H MPH BY ATTRIBUTE GUIDES

#### **Status and challenges in development of MPH**

|           |                | Non-irradiated | Reactor irradiation (T <sub>irr</sub> =300、400、500°C) |                   |                  | 14MeV neutron<br>irradiation |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
|           |                | 0dpa           | ~5dpa<br>(N=1~3)                                      | ~80dpa<br>(N=1~3) | ~20dpa<br>(N>10) | ~20dpa and<br>more (N>10)    |
| Therma    | l expansivity  | (green)        | (orange)  | (white)           | (white)          | (red)                        |
| & Young's | modulus        | (green)        | (orange)  | (orange)          | (blue)           | (red)                        |
| Poisson   | 's ratio       | (green)        | (orange)  | (orange)          | (blue)           | (red)                        |
| Density   |                | (green)        | (white)   | (white)           | (white)          | (white)                      |
| Therma    | l conductivity | (green)        | (white)   | (white)           | (white)          | (white)                      |
| Electric  | al resistivity | (green)        | (orange)  | (white)           | (white)          | (red)                        |
| E Magnet  | ic properties  | (orange)       | (red)   | (white)           | (white)          | (red)                        |
| Swelling  | 9              | n/a            | (orange)  | (white)           | (white)          | (red)                        |
| Tensile   |                | (green)        | (orange)  | (orange)          | (blue)           | (red)                        |
| & Fatigue |                | (orange)       | (red)   | (white)           | (white)          | (red)                        |
| Therma    | l ageing       | (green)        | n/a   | n/a               | n/a              | n/a                          |
| o Creep   |                | (green)        | n/a   | n/a               | n/a              | (red)                        |
| Fatigue   | -creep         | (blue)         | n/a   | n/a               | n/a              | (red)                        |
| Ratchet   |                | (white)        | (white)   | (white)           | (white)          | (white)                      |
| Toughn    | ess            | (orange)       | (orange)  | (blue)            | (blue)           | (red)                        |
| ∑ Impact  | properties     | (green)        | (white)   | (white)           | (white)          | (white)                      |
| Irradiat  | ion creep      | n/a            | (orange)  | (white)           | (white)          | (red)                        |

Important to find out the color code of "white (blank)" items that cannot be classified due to the lack of clear materials for judgment

**Recognize and remove potential** showstoppers at an early stage

#### **Near-term issues:**

- post-irradiation magnetic properties and fatigue data.
- Fatigue-creep and ratcheting

# INTRODUCTION

- DEMO in-vessel components need to show sufficient structural integrity not only under normal conditions but also under accident conditions.
- It is necessary to complete the conceptual design without any experimental verification in the 14 MeV fusion at least at the timing of Japan's intermediate C&R in the mid-2020s, which will deliberate on the decision to move to the engineering design phase of the DEMO reactor.
  - --> Basic strategy = Fission reactor irradiation data + modeling & simulation
- It is also necessary to establish a reasonable logic (e.g., fusion in-vessel structural design criteria) to show the design feasibility specific to DEMO in-vessel components.
  - --> To be discussed in BA Phase II (2020-2025) to provide a draft guideline

# **RECENT UPDATES OF F82H MPH**

### TIME-INDEPENDENT MATERIAL STERNGTH STANDARDS





#### (\*) color code :

- ✓ White (blank) for properties not addressed, lack of data
- ✓ Black : potential showstopper identified
- ✓ Red : lack of data and potentially challenging
- ✓ Blue : lack of data, NOT challenging
- ✓ Orange : data available, results not good enough, further optimization needed
- ✓ Green : data available, results are good, concept is mature n/a : not applicable, N: number of valid data

### --> Extendedly applied to welds/joints in the next step

#### Long-term issues:

- Establishment of the remote-controlled test technique using miniature specimens
- Establishment of the irradiation techniques such as homogeneous dose and temperature control in 14 MeV neutron irradiation.

# **ISSUES AND CHALLENGES FOR DEMO STRUCTURAL DESIGN**

### **MULTI-AXIAL FATIGUE-CREEP TESTING AND EVALUATION**

#### Key issue: Non-proportional multi-axial loading

- The direction of principal stress and principal strain varies with time, resulting in reduction of the failure life.
- With the modified universal slope method, it is possible to evaluate the creep-fatigue failure life under non-proportional multiaxial loading within a factor of 2.





### **TIME-DEPENDENT MATERIAL STERNGTH STANDARDS**



### **BRITTLE AND DUCTILE FRACTURE TESTING AND EVALUATION**

#### Key issue: Irradiation embrittlement accompanied by a decrease in ductility

Small size fracture toughness specimens with deep and shallow crack Weibull stress concept Local Approach using small size toughness Tensile test on specimen for brittle fracture evaluation to evaluate the effect smooth round bar of plastic constraint on Step 2 Step I 3D FE-analysis Fracture toughness test Deep crack specimen the limit of brittle a/W=0.5 Statistical toughness data Initial *m*-value : m<sub>0</sub> fracture W=2B Maximum likelihood Detail of crack Numerical simulation estimation with Calculation of Weibull stress data censoring  $K_{\rm J} - \sigma_{\rm W}$  (Deep) Fatigue pre-crack S = 4Wby applying the ductile Deep Shallow  $K_{\rm J} - \sigma_{\rm W}$  (Shallow) Machined notch (=0.51mm) crack . crack Shallow crack specimer K<sub>0,exp.</sub>(Shallow) Toughness damage model as a K<sub>0,exp.</sub>(Deep) correction a/W=0.2  $\theta_1 = 30^\circ$ K<sub>0.coor</sub> (Deep) method to evaluate W=2B the effect of plastic Step 3 S = 4W $K_{0,\text{exp.}} = K_{0,\text{co}}$ constraint at the ductile fracture limit ↔ 大阪大学

1.E+03 1.E+04 1.E+05 1.E+06 1.E+02 1.E+07 Number of cycles to failure, Nf

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 LMP (C=30)

Ag: Percentage plastic extension at

maximum force

### **IRRADIATION EFFECTS**

Rp0.2: 0.2% proof strength Rm: Tensile strength FS: Fracture strength



OSAKA UNIVERSITY

#### YES 🛊 Weibull shape parameter : m

# SUMMARY

- The material strength standards were updated with increased statistical data. • Status of MPH with the near- and long-term issues was clarified with the attribute guides.
- Several structural design approaches were newly introduced to consider nonproportional multi-axial loading and brittle/ductile fracture of the structure.
- Important to integrate microscopic irradiation process to macroscopic structural design toward practical DEMO design

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- This work was mainly supported by the Broader Approach activity under IFERC-T3PA04 and IFERC2-T2PA01.
- Parts of this work were supported by the U.S. DOE and QST collaborative project under NFE-17-06547, with UT-Battelle, LLC.