

# [REGULAR POSTER TWIN] Role of the separatrix density in the pedestal performance in JET-ILW and JET-C

Wednesday 12 May 2021 12:10 (20 minutes)

Since the initial JET operations with the metal wall (JET-ILW), the experimental results have shown a pedestal pressure in baseline plasmas that tends to be 10-20% lower than in the corresponding earlier carbon wall operations (JET-C) [1]. While this degradation seems mainly correlated with the high fueling rates typical of JET-ILW [2,3] and/or the lack of carbon impurity [4,5], an exhaustive and comprehensive explanation for the lower pedestal performance has not been achieved yet. This work will address the role of fueling and its goals are:

- to prove that the lower pedestal performance in D fuelled JET-ILW plasmas are due to the higher separatrix density ( $n_e^{sep}$ ) produced by the higher neutral pressure,
- to describe the corresponding physics mechanisms that lead to the pedestal degradation.

In the baseline scenario of JET-ILW, operations with no gas-fueling rate have been extremely challenging due to the problems related to tungsten influx and divertor heat loads. Since most of the JET-C plasmas have no gas fueling, a direct comparison of JET-ILW and JET-C pedestals obtained with identical engineering parameters is not possible. A further complication is related to the fact that the peeling-ballooning (PB) stability model (implemented with ideal MHD equations) does not describes correctly the experimental JET-ILW results (the experimental pedestal with high fueling rates does not seem to reach the stability boundary when the ELMs are triggered [1,2,3]). Therefore, the work is based on two levels. First, the work focuses on the empirical understanding of the pedestal behavior in JET. Then, based on these results, an investigation of the pedestal transport and an extension of the PB stability analysis is done with the GENE [6] and JOREK [7] codes.

Figure 1 shows the height of the electron pedestal pressure ( $p_e^{ped}$ ) versus  $n_e^{sep}$  for a set of JET plasmas with the same engineering parameters apart from fueling rate and divertor configuration. The JET-C dataset has higher  $p_e^{ped}$  than the JET-ILW dataset. However, the two datasets align very well in the  $p_e^{ped}$ - $n_e^{sep}$  diagram. Moreover, the JET-ILW pulses with lowest  $n_e^{sep}$  reach a pedestal pressure comparable to JET-C. This suggests that the separatrix density is one of the key parameters to understand the difference between carbon and metal wall. The higher  $n_e^{sep}$  in JET-ILW is likely due to the higher neutral pressure, as recently discussed for JET-ILW [8] and AUG [9], produced by the higher gas fueling and/or different recycling. In figure 1, note that the subsets with different divertor configurations show no systematic difference, strengthening the hypothesis that the neutral pressure plays the key role.

The standard PB stability analysis performed with ideal MHD can only partially explain the empirical trend. This is shown in figure 1 by the red line, which represents the pressure predictions obtained with the Europol code [10]. The increase in  $n_e^{sep}$  initially leads to a sharp reduction in the predicted  $p_e^{ped}$ . This is due to the fact that the increasing  $n_e^{sep}$  is intrinsically linked to the outward shift of the  $n_e$  position ( $n_e^{pos}$ ), shifting the  $p_e$  profile and destabilizing the PB modes [3,11]. While this explains rather well the JET-C trend, the effect saturates at high  $n_e^{sep}$ . The prediction significantly overestimates the experimental  $p_e^{ped}$  for the JET-ILW pedestal with high  $n_e^{sep}$ .

Therefore, the next steps are to understand the mechanisms that (1) set the pedestal gradient and (2) trigger the ELMs at high  $n_e^{sep}$ . First of all, we note from figure 1 and figure 2(a) that the reduction of pedestal gradient is correlated with the increase in  $n_e^{sep}$  and in  $n_e^{pos} \cdot T_e^{pos}$ . The increase in these parameters leads to the increase of  $\eta_e$  (ratio between  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  gradient length) [3], which in turn can destabilize microinstabilities, increase turbulent transport [12, 13] and hence reduce the pressure gradient. This hypothesis is under investigation with GENE and is supported by preliminary results shown in figure 2(b), where the growth rates (mainly of ETG modes) are higher in pedestals with higher  $n_e^{sep}$  and higher  $n_e^{pos} \cdot T_e^{pos}$  [14,15].

Then, it is necessary to understand the ELM triggering mechanisms. The discrepancy between the experimental results and the ideal MHD results is quantified with the ratio  $\alpha_{crit}/\alpha_{exp}$  (where  $\alpha_{crit}$  is the normalized pressure gradient predicted by ELITE and  $\alpha_{exp}$  is the experimental one). Figure 3 shows that  $\alpha_{crit}/\alpha_{exp}$  increases with increasing resistivity. This suggests that resistivity might have a destabilizing effect on the PB modes, as theoretically discussed in [16]. This hypothesis is currently under investigation with the non-ideal MHD non-linear code JOREK.

The picture that is emerging is the following. Due to higher gas fueling rate / different re-cycling, JET-ILW has higher neutral pressure than JET-C. This leads to higher  $n_e^{sep}$  and higher  $n_e^{pos}$ , producing higher  $\eta_e$ , increasing the turbulent transport and reducing the pedestal gradient. In turn, the lower pedestal gradient leads to a lower temperature inside the separatrix, increasing the resistivity and making resistive effects on the MHD stability non-negligible.

#### References

- [1] Beurskens M. et al., NF 54 043001 (2014)
- [2] Maggi C. et al., NF 57, 116012 (2017)
- [3] Frassinetti L. et al., NF 59 076038 (2019)
- [4] Giroud C et al., IAEA FEC, EX/3-4 (2018)
- [5] Beurskens M. et al., NF 56 056014 (2016)
- [6] T.Görler et al., JCP 230, 7053-71 (2011)
- [7] Huysmans G. et al. NF 47, 659 (2007)
- [8] Frassinetti L. et al, to be submitted to NF
- [9] Kallenbach A. et al., NME 18, 166 (2019)
- [10] Saarelma et al., PPCF 60, 014042 (2018)
- [11] Dunne M. et al., PPCF 59, 014017 (2017)
- [12] Hatch D.R et al., NF 57, 036020 (2017)
- [13] Kotschenreuther M. et al., NF 57 064001 (2017)
- [14] Chapman B. et al., to be presented at the 47th EPS conference
- [15] Hatch D.R et al., NF 59, 086056 (2019)
- [16] Wu et al., PoP 25, 092305 (2018)




Figure 1: Correlation between  $p_e^{ped}$  and  $n_e^{sep}$  for a JET-C and a JET-ILW dataset with similar engineering parameters apart gas fueling rate and strike point position. The red line shows the predicted correlation between  $p_e^{ped}$  and  $n_e^{sep}$  obtained with Europed.




Figure 2: (a) Correlation between  $\nabla p_e$  and  $n_e^{pos} - T_e^{pos}$ . (b) Growth rates of microinstabilities at  $\rho_t = 0.99$  obtained with GENE for a case with high  $n_e^{pos} - T_e^{pos}$  and high  $n_e^{sep}$  (red line) and a case with low  $n_e^{pos} - T_e^{pos}$  and low  $n_e^{sep}$  (black line).




Figure 3: Correlation between  $\alpha_{crit}/\alpha_{exp}$  and Spitzer resistivity at  $\rho_t = 0.99$

## Country or International Organization

Sweden

## Affiliation

**Author:** FRASSINETTI, Lorenzo (KTH, Royal Institute of Technology)

**Co-authors:** Dr CHAPMAN, Ben (CCFE); HUIJSMANS, Guido (CEA, IRFM); HILLESHEIM, Jon (Culham Centre for Fusion Energy); SAARELMA, Samuli (CCFE); MAGGI, Costanza (CCFE); PAMELA, Stanislas (CCFE - UKAEA); FIELD, Anthony (CCFE); FIL, Alexandre (CCFE-UKAEA); ROACH, Colin (Culham Centre for Fusion Energy); HATCH, David (Institute for Fusion Studies, University of Texas at Austin); Dr PEREZ VON THUN, Christian (IPPLM)

**Presenter:** FRASSINETTI, Lorenzo (KTH, Royal Institute of Technology)

**Session Classification:** P3 Posters 3

**Track Classification:** Magnetic Fusion Experiments