



### IMPROVED FUSION PLASMA PERFORMANCE IN FUSION DEVICES ENABLED BY A NEW IMPURITY POWDER INJECTION SYSTEM

R. Maingi<sup>1</sup>, A. Bortolon<sup>1</sup>, E.P. Gilson<sup>1</sup>, R. Lunsford<sup>1</sup>, A. Nagy<sup>1</sup>, Z. Sun<sup>1</sup>, A. Diallo<sup>1</sup>, D.K. Mansfield<sup>1</sup>
J.S. Hu<sup>2</sup>, Y.Z. Qian<sup>2</sup>, W. Xu<sup>2</sup>, L. Zhang<sup>2</sup>
H.H. Lee<sup>3</sup>, S.H. Hong<sup>3</sup>, S.W. Yoon<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
<sup>2</sup> Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
<sup>3</sup> National Fusion Research Institute
IAEA FEC 2021: May 15-20, 2021
Paper TECH/P8-37





## The Advent of Impurity Powder Injectors has opened up new science areas in fusion devices

- A new impurity powder droppers (IPD) has been developed at PPPL over the past 4 years
  - Uses piezoelectric crystal motion to drop particles
  - Capable of injecting a wide range of impurity materials (Li, B,  $B_4C$ , BN, C, Si, Sn, ...) and sizes (10  $\mu$ m 1mm)
  - Builds on PPPL design that worked with metallic powders
  - Has an onboard set of diagnostics
- IPD deployed on six fusion devices worldwide
  - Used to improve wall conditions, enhance power exhaust, and control the pedestal and edge-localized modes (ELMs)
- EAST: B powder enables ELM-stable H-mode
- KSTAR: BN powder enables ELM quiescent phases

### Real-time impurity powder injection used for ELM control, wall conditioning and power exhaust

- ELM control: alter edge stability toward ELM-stable or small ELM regimes
  - EAST, KSTAR
- Wall conditioning: continuously repair wall coatings for improved PMI and core performance
  - AUG, DIII-D, EAST, KSTAR, LHD, W7-X, WEST
- Power exhaust: enhance divertor and boundary power dissipation to heat flux control
  - AUG, EAST, DIII-D

#### **Impurity Powder Dropper layout**





- Linear feed using piezoelectric crystals
  - 1 mm side to side motion drives particles over trough
  - Calibratable



(b) (b) (b) Drop rate (mg/s)





IPD: A. Nagy et al., RS/ 89 (2018) 10K121

LiPD: D.K. Mansfield et al., FED 85 (2010) 890

#### **IPD Installed on Multiple Devices**





### EAST summary: ELM suppression with B powder injection achieved with concomitant edge mode with harmonics

- Wide range of operation conditions
  - RF-only & RF + NBI heating: 2.8 MW 7.5 MW
  - q95 between 4.4 and 7.2 (typical 0.5 MA, 2.5 T)
  - Density range:  $2.5e19 7.5e19 (0.25 0.85 n_{GW})$
  - With D or He majority
  - Both directions of grad-B drift
  - ELM suppression achieved in every condition we tried! (> few shots)

#### Threshold B injection rate observed for suppression

- Increased with heating power

#### Mode triggered and observed on multiple diagnostics

- Multiple harmonics ~ 2-5 kHZ fundamental; only with Boron
- Localized near separatrix and drives particle transport
- Seen in AXUV, magnetics, divertor  $D_{\alpha}$  (in, out, top, bottom), tileembedded Langmuir probes, POINT, tangential  $D_{\alpha}$  (BES chords)

### Powder injection via IPD and related devices are being used in many tokamaks and stellarators

Device	Impurities used in	Wall Conditioning	Power exhaust	ELMs mitigated or
	IPD	e.g. $D_{\alpha}$ reduction	enhanced	pedestal improved
				or $\tau_E$ increased
EAST	B powder	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
	Li powder	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
	Li granules (0.7mm)	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
KSTAR	B powder			$\checkmark$
	BN powder	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
DIII-D	B powder	$\checkmark$		
	BN powder	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
	Li powder			$\checkmark$
ASDEX-Upgrade	B powder	$\checkmark$		
	BN powder	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
LHD	B powder	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
WEST	B powder	In progress		
NSTX* (LiPD)	Li powder	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
W7-X** (probe	B4C powder			
mounted injector)				

## EAST: Boron powder injection suppressed ELMs with constant density and stored energy



- Edge B-V emission when B injected (from T<sub>e</sub> ~ 150 eV)
- $D_{\alpha}$  reduced w/B inj.; no ELMs
- Density stable and matched
- Stored energy increased slightly
- Harmonic mode destabilized
  - Fundamental n=1
- I<sub>p</sub> = 0.5 MA, B<sub>t</sub> = 2.5 T, P<sub>heat</sub>~ 6 MW, USN, grad-B drift 个, toward X-point
  - Type-I ELMs,  $H_{98(y,2)} \sim 1$

# Threshold B injection rate for ELM suppression measured with 3 MW of heating power (EAST)



- Matched NB power
- B<sub>v</sub> emission just below and above B threshold rate
- $D_{\alpha}$  shows ELM modification
- No Mode in no B reference
- Harmonic mode intermittent during ELM mitigation
  - Fundamental n=1
- Harmonic mode steady during ELM suppression

# Threshold B injection rate for ELM suppression measured with 6 MW of heating power (EAST)



- Matched NB power
- B<sub>v</sub> emission just below and above B threshold rate
- $D_{\alpha}$  shows ELM modification
- No Mode in no B reference
- Harmonic mode intermittent during ELM mitigation
- Harmonic mode steady during ELM suppression

### Required B threshold flow rate increases nonlinearly with heating power (EAST)



### KSTAR Summary: ELM quiescent phases triggered with B or BN injection

- B powder injection reduced ELM frequency and created a few short lived ELM quiescent phases
  - Baseline  $D_{\alpha}$  emission unchanged by B injection
- BN powder injection reduced ELM frequency and created ~ 5sec long ELM quiescent phases
  - Baseline  $D_{\alpha}$  emission reduced by BN injection when used in discrete, high amplitude bursts
  - Continuous burst resulted in an ELM frequency and size reduction, as measured by  $D_{\alpha}$  spikes
  - ELM quiescent phases could not be reproduced in 2019, despite a reduction in baseline  $D_{\alpha}$  emission
- Lack of reproducibility not understood

### KSTAR: B powder dropped in six discharges, with two intermixed reference no B discharges

- 21107 Reference shot #20999 1.5 MW, 500 kA standard ELMy H-mode, Bt = 1.82 T
- 21112 To ramp-down t = 10 s 3.5 mg/s powder 4 5 s, 7 8 s
- 21113 Disrupted t = 6 s 3.5 mg/s powder 4 5 s
- 21114 Disrupted t = 6 s 10 mg/s powder 4 5 s
- 21117 Reference 10 s, ELM-free phases No IPD
- 21118 Reference 10 s, ELM-free phases No IPD
- 21121 20 s pulse, ELM-free phases 5 mg/s 3.5 4 s, 8.5 9 s, 13.5 14 s
- 21122 Disrupted t = 5 s, 50% lower  $D\alpha$  5 mg/s 3.5 4 s,
- 21124 Disrupted t = 18 s 5 mg/s, 8.5 9 s, 13.5 14 s, 17.5 18 s

21108 – 21111, 21115 – 21116, 21119 – 21120, 21123 --- various startup and L-H timing problems



### ELM quiescent phase triggered by B powder injection in KSTAR

12.5

15.0

17.5

20.0

10.0



### ELM quiescent phase triggered by BN powder injection in KSTAR

0.1 s bursts @ 12 mg/s powder at t = 3, 7, 11, 15 s

- 21154 Ref. #21124 (#20999) 21155
- 1.5 MW, 500 kA standard ELMy 20 s H-mode, Bt = 1.82 T

E.P. Gilson et al., Nucl. Mater. Energy (2021) subm.

- 20 s, BN, ELM-free region 0.1 s bursts @ 25 mg/s powder at t = 2, 6, 11 s
- 21156 Failed startup
- 21157 20 s, BN
- 21158 Failed startup
- Disrupted at t = 6 s 21159 18 s, BN
- 21160

CW @ 2.5 mg/s from t = 5 - 15 s



### Divertor $D_{\alpha}$ emission dropped markedly in experiments with BN powder in KSTAR



#### **Summary and Future Work**

#### ✓ B suppresses ELMs quite easily in EAST

- Constant or increased  $W_{MHD}$ , works with SMBI density feedback, no wall hysteresis, over a range of conditions ( $P_{heat}$ , density, and  $v^*$ )
- Works with pure RF heating: paves the way for long-pulse usage at low B injection rates
- Easier to suppress ELMs with B than Li
- Need to investigate where B mass ends up
- $\blacktriangleright$  New experiment: inject C, B<sub>4</sub>C from IPD to see if Z<sub>i</sub> matters
- New experiment: compare X-point injection with LFS injection
- ✓ BN and B trigger ELM quiescent phases in KSTAR
  - More prominent with BN than B in 2018
  - Recycling reduced with BN but not B
  - Could not be reproduced in 2019 with BN, despite reduced recycling observation: need to examine a range of explanations