

THEORY OF ELECTROMAGNETIC (EM) TURBULENCE DRIVEN INTRINSIC CURRENT IN TOKAMAK PLASMAS

Lu Wang 1), Wen He 1) and Ge Zhuang 2)

1) Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

2) University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

luwang@hust.edu.cn

Abstract

- Evolution equation of parallel current density is derived
- Intrinsic current driven by EM ETG turbulence in core plasmas
 - The turbulent flux driven intrinsic current density can reach about 80% of the local bootstrap (BS) current density
 - Less than 1% from turbulent source
- Intrinsic current driven by EM electron DW turbulence in pedestal plasmas
 - Adiabatic kinetic stress is the dominant drive; Non-adiabatic ES contributions are strongly cancelled by the EM effects
 - Scaling of intrinsic current to BS current is predicted

Background

- Current density profile important for MHD instability: NTM (core), ELM (pedestal)
- Measurements of bootstrap current NOT always consistent with neoclassical theory [De Bock et al, PPCF 2012]
- Intrinsic flow: self-generated rotation without external momentum input is of great interest - explained by turbulence [Diamond et al, NF 2013]
- Ion momentum \leftrightarrow electron momentum per electron mass (current)
- Turbulence driven intrinsic current? [Itoh PLA 1988; Hinton PoP 2004; McDevitt PRL 2013; Garbet JPCS 2014; Yi PoP 2016; Wang, NF 2019]
- Onset threshold of neoclassical tearing mode affected by intrinsic current has been found [Cai, NF 2019]
- Self-consistent EM effects on intrinsic current drive are missing

Evolution equation of parallel current density

- Starting from conservative form of EM drift-kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial(F_e B_{\parallel}^*)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt} F_e B_{\parallel}^* \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} \left(\frac{dv_{\parallel}}{dt} F_e B_{\parallel}^* \right) = 0$$

- Mean parallel current equation

$$\frac{\partial \langle J_{\parallel} \rangle}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \langle \delta \mathbf{v}_{E \times B, r} \delta J_{\parallel} \rangle - \nabla \cdot \left\langle \frac{e}{m_e} \delta \mathbf{b}_r \delta P_{\parallel} \right\rangle = - \frac{e^2}{m_e} \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \delta \phi \delta n_e \rangle - \frac{e^2}{cm_e} \left\langle \frac{\partial \delta A_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \delta n_e \right\rangle$$

- ✓ Turbulent flux terms: $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ enter this equation by their divergence

- $\Gamma_1 = \langle \delta \mathbf{v}_{E \times B, r} \delta J_{\parallel} \rangle$, **Reynold Stress-like term**
- $\Gamma_2 = - \frac{e}{m_e} \langle \delta \mathbf{b}_r \delta P_{\parallel} \rangle$, **Kinetic Stress-like term**

- ✓ Turbulent source terms $S = S_1 + S_2$ can't be written as a divergence of stress, so act as a local source or sink of parallel current

- $S_1 = - \frac{e^2}{m_e} \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \delta \phi \delta n_e \rangle$ driven by **electrostatic** electric field
- $S_2 = - \frac{e^2}{cm_e} \left\langle \frac{\partial \delta A_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \delta n_e \right\rangle$ driven by **inductive** electric field

- Balance the **negative divergence of residual turbulent flux** and residual **turbulent source** with the collisional friction force

$$-\nabla \cdot \Gamma_r^{res} + S^{res} - \nu_{ei} J_{turb} \approx 0$$

- The length scale of variation of the residual turbulent flux is taken as mesoscale L

$$\checkmark \text{ Turbulent flux driven: } J_{turb}^{\Gamma} = \mp \frac{\Gamma_r^{res}}{\nu_{ei} L}$$

$$\checkmark \text{ Turbulent source driven: } J_{turb}^S = \frac{S^{res}}{\nu_{ei}}$$

- Compare to the **neoclassical BS current**: $J_{BS} \approx 5 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{c q n_0 T_e}{BL_p}}$

Intrinsic current driven by EM ETG turbulence (core)

- For typical ITER parameters in core region: $q = 1$, $R/L_{Te} = 4$, $R/L_n = 1.5$, $\hat{s} = 0.3$, $\varepsilon = 0.16$, $R = 6.2\text{m}$, $B = 4.85\text{T}$, $\tau = 1$, $n_e = 1.3 \times 10^{20}/\text{m}^3$, $T_e = 12\text{keV}$, $\nu_{ei} = 5.8 \times 10^3\text{Hz}$, $\rho_e = 5.4 \times 10^{-5}\text{m}$, $\beta_e = 2.67\%$
- Typical ETG turbulence scale: $k_{\theta} \rho_e \approx 0.4$, $\gamma_k / \omega_{kr} = 3/10$, $w_k = \frac{1}{k_{\theta}}$, $\sum_k I_k = 10^{-4}$, $L_I = L_n$

- ✓ Ratio of turbulent flux driven current to BS current: $J_{turb}^{\Gamma} / J_{BS}$

$J_{turb}^{\Gamma} / J_{BS}$	non-resonant contribution	resonant contribution
ES contribution	$\mp 17.4\%$	$\mp 59.6\%$
EM contribution	$\mp (9.9\%)$	$\pm 3.7\%$

- ✓ Ratio of turbulent source driven current to BS current: $J_{turb}^S / J_{BS} < 1\%$

[Wen He, Lu Wang, et al., Nucl. Fusion 58 106004 (2018)]

Intrinsic current driven by EM DW turbulence (pedestal)

- For parameters in pedestal region @ DIII-D & ITER

Ratio of intrinsic current density to BS current density	ES contribution		EM contribution	
	DIII-D	ITER	DIII-D	ITER
$\langle \delta \mathbf{v}_{E \times B, r} \delta J_{\parallel}^{NA} \rangle$	$\mp 0.58\%$	$\mp 14.3\%$	$\pm 0.34\%$	$\pm 14.3\%$
$\langle - \frac{e}{m_e} \delta \mathbf{b}_r \delta P_{\parallel}^A \rangle$			$\pm 4.06\%$	$\pm 66.1\%$
$\langle - \frac{e}{m_e} \delta \mathbf{b}_r \delta P_{\parallel}^{NA} \rangle$			0	$\mp 1.46\%$
Total turbulent flux	$\mp 0.58\%$	$\mp 14.3\%$	$\pm 4.40\%$	$\pm 78.9\%$
$\langle - \frac{e^2}{m_e} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \delta \phi \delta n_e^{NA} \rangle$	-0.52%	-7.81%	0.30%	7.84%
$\langle - \frac{e^2}{cm_e} \frac{\partial \delta A_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \delta n_e^A \rangle$			0.38%	1.81%
$\langle - \frac{e^2}{cm_e} \frac{\partial \delta A_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \delta n_e^{NA} \rangle$			4.16×10^{-4}	-0.38%
Total turbulent source	-0.52%	-7.81%	0.72%	9.27%

- ✓ Scaling for the ratio of the intrinsic current to the BS current:

$$\text{○ Turbulent flux driven: } \frac{J_{turb}^{\Gamma}}{J_{BS}} = \mp \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\Gamma}{5\nu_{ei}\sqrt{\rho_s}L_n} \frac{L_p}{en_e v_{the} q \rho_e} \propto \frac{T_e^{3/4} T_i}{n_e}$$

$$\text{○ Turbulent source driven: } \frac{J_{turb}^S}{J_{BS}} = \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}S}{5\nu_{ei}} \frac{L_p}{en_e v_{the} q \rho_e} \propto \frac{T_e T_i}{n_e}$$

[Wen He, Lu Wang, and Ge Zhuang, PPCF 61, 115016 (2019)]

CONCLUSION

- For EM ETG turbulence in core region:
 - ✓ The turbulent flux driven intrinsic current density can reach about 80% of the local bootstrap current density
 - ✓ Less than 1% from turbulent source
- For EM electron DW turbulence in pedestal region:
 - ✓ Adiabatic kinetic stress driven intrinsic current is dominant as compared to the source driven part
 - ✓ Non-adiabatic contributions are strongly cancelled by the EM effects
 - ✓ Effects of ExB shear are not self-consistent in this work

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2017YFE0302000 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, HUST: 2019kfyXMBZ034.