## Fast modelling of turbulent transport in fusion plasmas using neural networks



SCIENCE FOR FUTURE ENERGY



### Using the QLKNN physics-informed surrogate model for integrated modelling

K.L. van de Plassche (DIFFER), J. Citrin (DIFFER), C. Bourdelle (CEA), Y. Camenen (CNRS), F.J. Casson (UKAEA), V.I. Dagnelie, F. Felici (EPFL-SPC), A. Ho (DIFFER), S. Van Mulders (EPFL-SPC), and JET contributors\*

k.l.vandeplassche@differ.nl

\*See the author list of 'Overview of JET results for optimising ITER operation' by J. Mailloux et al. to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: Overview and Summary Papers from the 28th Fusion Energy Conference (Nice, France, 10-15 May 2021)



## Need for a real-time capable tokamak simulator

High-fidelity gyrokinetic models (e.g. GENE [1]) are too computationally expensive for applications such as:

- Routine intershot analysis
- Large-scale reactor design
- Control oriented applications

Enable applications by:

- Use reduced model QuaLikiz [2,3]
   to generate dataset
   to seconds on a single core!
- Train Neural Network (NN) surrogate to 'learn' QuaLiKiz mapping, resulting in QLKNN [4, 5]
- Integrate NN in transport codes like RAPTOR [6] and JINTRAC [7]

#### From one second of plasma... to one year on 1000s of cores..



to one day on 24 cores..



## Capturing underlying physical system essential

Neural network training methodology chosen to ensure consistency with known physical constraints [4]. *Sharp instability thresholds* 

Only include unstable points in 'goodness' part of cost function

$$C_{good} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (QLK_i - NN_i)^2, & \text{if } QLK_i \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } QLK_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Clip negative heat flux q<sub>i,e</sub> to zero

*Matching thresholds for all transport channels* Use leading-heatflux style fitting. For example for ITG: train on  $q_{i,ITG}$  and  $q_{e,ITG}/q_{i,ITG}$ ,  $D_i$ , ITG/ $q_{i,ITG}$ , etc. and multiply the output of the networks.

*No spurious positive flux in stable region* Punish positive predictions with extra cost function term

## QLKNN-hyper-10D able to reproduce JET plasmas



 $C_{stab} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } QLK_i \neq 0\\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n NN_i - c_{stab}, & \text{if } QLK_i = 0 \end{cases}$ 

Enforce smoothness Punish model complexity using a L2 cost function.

$$C_{regu} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w$$

Sum costs together

 $C = C_{good} + \lambda_{regu} C_{regu} + \lambda_{stab} C_{stab}$ 



Alternatively use network structure: QLKNN-HornNet, see associated paper

# Extension to impurity transport ongoing

QLKNN-hyper dataset expanded with including impurity density gradients and their transport fluxes

variable	# points	$\min$	max
$k_{\theta}\rho_s \le 1.8$	10	0.1	1.8
$k_{\theta}\rho_s > 2$	8	3	45

												0.						
0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	
$\rho_{norm}$ [-]						$ ho_{norm}$	n [ <b>-</b> ]			$\rho_{norm}$ [-]								

Solve Ψ,T<sub>e</sub>,T<sub>i</sub> and n<sub>e</sub> in JINTRAC and RAPTOR [4]
 Boundary condition of kinetic profiles prescribed at ρ=0.85

### Summary and outlook

 Database of ~10<sup>8</sup> turbulent ITG, TEM, ETG heat and particle fluxes over wide parameter space was generated using QuaLiKiz
 Trained surrogate model QLKNN validated in RAPTOR and JINTRAC

#### Ongoing and future work:

- Creation of next generation QLKNN-hyper surrogate with impurity fluxes and impurity density gradients
- Now in production mode, being applied in wider regimes for JET, WEST, AUG, ITER analysis [9]

$R/L_{T_i}$		0	16
$R/L_{T_e}$	11	0	16
$R/L_{n_e}$	11	-5	5
$R/L_{n_{i,0}}$	12	-15	15
	8	0.66	10
$egin{array}{c} q \ \hat{s} \end{array}$	10	-1	4
r/R	7	0.1	0.95
$T_i/T_e$ $ u^*$	7	0.25	2.5
$ u^*$	11	1e <b>-</b> 5	1
Dilution $(n_i/n_e)$	4	0	0.3

Total flux calculations  $2.8 \times 10^9 \approx 4$  MCPUh  $\approx 2$  TiB netCDF

Run impurities in the trace limit using QuaLiKiz
 Improved collision operator with QuaLiK-2.8.1 [8]
 For higher dimensionality, constrain to JET subspace, for example QLKNN-jetexp-15D for JET [5]

#### References

[1] F. Jenko, *et al.* PoP 7, 1904 (2000)
[2] J. Citrin, *et al.* PPCF 59, 12405 (2017)
[3] C. Bourdelle *et al.*PPCF 36, 580140 (2016)
[4] K. L. van de Plassche *et al.* PoP 27, 022310 (2020)
[5] A. Ho *et al.* PoP 28, 032305 (2021)

[6] F. Felici *et al.* PPCF **54**, 025002 (2014)
[7] M. Romanelli *et al.* Plasma and Fusion research **9**, 3403023-3403023 (2014)
[8] C. D. Stephens *et al.*, to be submitted
[9] P. Manas, J. Citrin (this conference)